Administrative Alternates



Development Services Customer Service Center | 1 Exchange Plaza, Suite 400 | Raleigh, NC 27601 | 919-996-2495 | efax 919-996-1831

Administrative Alternate Request: Requested in accordance with UDO Section 10.2.17	OFFICE USE ONLY
Section(s) of UDO affected:	Transaction Number
See attached.	
Provide an explanation of the alternate requested, along with an applicant's statement of the findings. See attached	
Provide all associated case plan numbers including zoning and site plan: SPR-0243-2020	

Property Address 416 Oberlin Road		Date April 15, 2021
Property PIN 1704-02-0882	Current Zoning CX-5-UG	
Nearest Intersection Oberlin Road and Cameron Street		Property size (in acres) 0.68
Property Owner Columbia Cameron Village, LLC	Phone	Mail 15720 Brisham Hill Ave #300, Charlotte, NC 28277
	Email	
Project Contact Person	Phone 919-845-4317	Mail 4509 Greedmoor Road, Suite 302, Rakigh, NC 27612
Michael Birch, Longleaf Law Partners	Email mbirch@longleaflp.com	
Property Owner Signature	PAUL MUNIANA PRÉVENCY CENTERS. Com	
Sworn and subscribed before me this 14th day of April . 20 21	Notary Signature and Seal Amanda Cuadeleck CRADOO CTARY	
		PUBLIC C

UDO Sections 3.4.8.C1, C2, E1, and 1.5.6.C.1.

Property owner requests: (i) a 19.7' administrative alternate to UDO Section 3.4.8.C1, which requires property with an Urban General frontage to locate the building within twenty feet (20') of the primary street, in order to construct a building 39.7' from Cameron Street; (ii) a 31% and 70% design alternate to UDO Section 3.4.8.C2, which requires property with an Urban General frontage to locate the building within the build-to range for seventy percent (70%) of its primary street frontage, in order to construct a building within the build-to range for 39% of its Oberlin Road frontage and 0% of its Cameron Street frontage, respectively; (iii) a design alternate to UDO Section 3.4.8.E1, which requires a primary street-facing entrance, in order to construct a building without a street-facing entrance along Cameron Street; and (iv) a design alternate to UDO Section 1.5.6.C.1., which requires that buildings on corner lots be placed within the build-to for the first 30 feet along the street extending from the block corner, in order to construct a building outside of the block corner.

UDO Section 1.5.6.D.

- 1. The approved alternate meets the intent of the build-to regulations
 - Response: The intent of the build-to regulations is to strengthen the street edge along the right-of-way and establish a sense of enclosure by providing spatial definition adjacent to the street. The proposed building is within the build-to range along Oberlin Road, consistent with other developments along Oberlin Road. Due to the proposed building's size, full compliance with the build-to regulations along Cameron Street cannot be met. Additionally, the site layout is compliant with the -UG frontage requirements for parking locations (i.e., no on-site parking or vehicular surface area between the building and the streets).
- 2. The approved alternate conforms with the Comprehensive Plan and adopted City plans Response: The requested alternate is consistent with the following Comprehensive Plan policies: LU 5.1 "Reinforcing the Urban Pattern"; LU 5.2 "Managing Commercial Development Impacts"; LU 6.2 "Complementary Land Uses and Urban Vitality"; LU 7.4 "Scale and Design of New Commercial Uses"; LU 7.6 "Pedestrian-friendly Development"; and LU 10.3 "Ancillary Retail Uses".
- 3. The approved alternate does not substantially negatively alter the character-defining street wall or establish a build-to pattern that is not harmonious with the existing built context Response: The requested alternate is consistent with building orientations along Oberlin Road and Cameron Street. Whereas many buildings along Oberlin Road are closer to the street, nearby buildings along Cameron Street have greater setbacks. Along both Oberlin Road and Cameron Street, there is a mix of buildings and surface parking along each street. Redevelopment of this property includes moving parking away from the streets, locating the proposed building closer to the road, and creating urban outdoor space.
- 4. The change in percentage of building that occupies the build-to area or increased setback does not negatively impact pedestrian access, comfort or safety; and Response: The proposed development includes pedestrian improvements that will

increase pedestrian safety at the intersection.

5. Site area that would have otherwise been occupied by buildings is converted to an outdoor amenity area under Sec. 1.5.3.B.

Response: As shown on the attached Exhibit, those areas that would have otherwise been occupied by buildings will be converted to outdoor amenity area.

