APPLICANT: AARON AND HANNAH BOCKOVER

Nature of Project:
Construct second story addition and rear addition; demolish shed; remove retaining walls, fencing, rear patio and deck; construct side deck; install walkway
APPLICATION FOR A CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS – STAFF REPORT

COA-0010-2019  600 LATHAM WAY
Applicant: AARON AND HANNAH BOCKOVER
Received: 1/15/2019  Meeting Date(s):
Submission date + 90 days: 4/15/2019  1) 2/28/2019  2)  3)

INTRODUCTION TO THE APPLICATION

Historic District: OAKWOOD HISTORIC DISTRICT
Zoning: GENERAL HOD
Nature of Project: Construct second-story addition and rear addition; demolish shed; remove retaining walls, fencing, rear patio and deck; construct side deck; install walkway and fence; remove and replace trees

DRAC: An application was reviewed by the Design Review Advisory Committee at the January 7, 2019 meeting. Members in attendance were Dan Becker, Elizabeth Caliendo, Sarah David, and Curtis Kasefang; also present were Aaron Bockover, applicant, Ashley Morris, architect; and Collette Kinane and Melissa Robb, staff.

Staff Notes:
- Unified Development Code section 10.2.15.E.1 provides that “An application for a certificate of appropriateness authorizing the demolition or destruction of a building, structure or site within any Historic Overlay District…may not be denied…. However, the authorization date of such a certificate may be delayed for a period of up to 365 days from the date of issuance…. If the Commission finds that the building, structure or site has no particular significance or value toward maintaining the character of the Historic Overlay District or Historic Landmark, it shall waive all or part of such period and authorize earlier demolition or removal.”
- COAs mentioned are available for review.

APPLICABLE SECTIONS OF GUIDELINES and DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sections</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Description of Work</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>Site Features and Plantings</td>
<td>Construct rear addition; demolish shed; remove retaining walls, fence, rear patio and deck; construct side deck; install walkway and fence; remove and replace trees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>Fences and Walls</td>
<td>Remove fence; install fence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>Decks</td>
<td>Remove rear patio and deck; construct side deck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>Additions to Historic Buildings</td>
<td>Construct second story addition and rear addition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>Demolition</td>
<td>Demolish shed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

STAFF REPORT

Based on the information contained in the application and staff’s evaluation:
A. Constructing a second story and rear addition, demolishing a shed, and removing and replacing trees are not incongruous in concept according to *Guidelines* 3.2.1, 3.2.2, 3.2.4, 3.2.5, 3.2.6, 3.2.7, 3.2.8, 3.2.9, 3.2.10, 3.2.11, 3.2.12, 4.2.2, 4.2.3, 4.2.4, 4.2.5, 4.2.6, 4.2.7, 4.2.8, and the following suggested facts:

1* The application includes a page from the “Inventory of Structures in The Oakwood National Register Historic Districts” Raleigh, North Carolina By Matthew Brown, Historian, Society for the Preservation of Historic Oakwood Researched and written from 2004 to 2015. That document states it was constructed in 1989 and is non-contributing to the historic district: “This frame bungalow was built for Richard Kolarov. The design is loosely inspired by the Craftsman style. It is of one and a half stories. It has a front-gabled saddle roof with very shallow eaves. The front porch has four battered square-section posts on brick piers. There is a triple window on the front porch. There are shed dormers on the half story. There is a saddle-roofed frame shed at the southeast corner of the lot, built in 2006 according to tax records.”

2* The property is one of three residences fronting on Latham Way, and part of a later development approved through the COA process in the late 1980s and early 1990s at the northeast edge of the Oakwood Historic District.

3* The applicants propose demolishing the non-contributing shed in the southeast corner of the site.

4* A tree protection plan prepared by an ISA-certified arborist was provided showing the locations, DBH, species and critical root zones of trees on the property.

5* Three trees are proposed for removal; a 14” magnolia, a 15” maple and a 14” red maple. An assessment of the trees and the impact of the proposed construction was provided by an ISA-certified arborist. Three replacement trees were specified; a magnolia, a maple and a redbud. Locations are shown on the new site plan, with the magnolia midway back on the east property line, the maple in the southeast corner and the redbud midway back on the west property line.

6* The application proposes converting the existing 1½-story house to a full 2-story with an attic. The house form is modified from an updated Craftsman bungalow to a foursquare form with a front dormer. Examples of other nearby 2-story houses were provided.
The proposed roof ridge is 7’ taller than the existing roof ridge, for a total height of approximately 33’.

The proposed addition includes slightly enlarging the footprint and enclosing an existing rear screened porch and adding a second floor above it, as well as constructing a second-story screened porch over a workshop in place of a portion of an existing deck. This is a traditional location to add to a house in the historic district.

The proposed rear screened porch structural members and trim are to be painted wood.

On the west elevation the application includes converting an attached storage space with exterior access into an interior access pantry with a modest increase in footprint. The existing brick fireplace and chimney are also proposed to be removed from the west side.

**Built mass to open space analysis:** According to the applicant, the lot is 5,669 SF. The existing built mass is 1,973 SF, with a ratio of built mass to open space of 35%. The proposed built mass is 1,984 SF, with a ratio of built mass to open space of 35%.

**Built area to open space analysis:** The existing built area is 3,452 SF, including buildings, driveway, walkways, patio, decks and stairs, with a ratio of built area to open space of 61%. The proposed built area is 2,831 SF. The proportion of built area to open space is proposed to be 50%.

The existing roof is a gable form with a shed-roofed dormer on the east side and hipped-roof porches on front and back. The proposed roof is a hipped form with a hipped dormer on the front and a gable roof over the rear second-story porch. The roofing is proposed to be architectural asphalt shingles; specifications were not provided.

The proposed new entry on the east side of the house features a shed roof over the new porch, as shown in the drawing labeled “new driveway side elevation.” The roof does not appear in either the front or rear elevation drawings, nor the roof plan.

The east side porch is shown with railings on the south and east sides. An elevation drawing of the railing was provided, but a detailed section drawing was not.

An eave and soffit detail drawing of the proposed 18” eave was provided.

The addition is proposed to be clad in smooth-faced fiber cement siding with a 4½” reveal, with 4½” trim and 5” corner board to match the existing house. The extended foundation is proposed to be of brick to match the existing.

The structure is proposed to be painted. Paint samples were not provided.
19* The existing house features both casement and one-over-one double-hung windows. Proposed new wood casement and one-over-one double-hung windows appear to match the proportions of other windows on the house. Specifications and section drawings were provided.

20* Two skylights are proposed for the rear roof. Specifications were not provided.

21* Full-lite wood doors are proposed on the east elevation. The west elevation shows a wood four-panel sliding door in place of the existing three-panel door. Specifications and section drawings were provided.

22* Exterior lighting was not shown on the drawings, nor were specifications provided.

23* Conflicting information was provided regarding gutters, with text stating that K-style gutters and downspouts are proposed for the addition to match the existing, while the new roof plan shows half-round gutters and downspouts.

B. Removing retaining walls, a fence, a rear patio and deck; constructing a side deck; and installing a walkway and fence are not incongruous in concept according to Guidelines 1.3.1, 1.3.2, 1.3.5, 1.3.6, 1.3.7, 1.3.8, 1.3.9, 1.3.13, 1.4.8, 3.1.1, 3.1.2, 3.1.4, 3.1.5, 3.1.6, 3.1.7, 3.1.8, and the following suggested facts:

1* The applicants propose removing retaining walls, a rear patio, and a deck, as well as demolishing the shed, in order to meet their goal of creating more open space for lawn in the rear and side yards.

2* Removal of retaining walls under 42” in height, patios, and decks under 42” in height are classified as minor work items approvable by staff and are included here for administrative efficiency.

3* The existing brick patio is set 11½” below grade. With its removal, the applicant proposes regrading to infill and level the rear and side yard areas.

4* The applicants propose removing and replacing fencing. The existing painted picket fence appears to be 42” in height and is proposed to be replaced with a 6’-tall privacy fence along the south and east property lines. The new fence is a neighbor-friendly design with the same design on both sides and is proposed to be stained wood. The fence is proposed to match the neighbor’s fence at 613 Polk St in design, height and material, which was approved under COA 118-11-CA. Stain samples were not provided.
A new low deck without railings is proposed for the west side of the house in an area that had previously featured a deck which was removed due to moisture issues. The application requests the deck and structural members be made of ipe wood or a composite decking material. Due to the location of the house on the cul-de-sac, the deck will have little or no visibility from the public right-of-way. Deck material and finish specifications were not provided.

The existing concrete driveway is proposed to be widened to create a walkway that extends to the side entry porch. Construction of new walkways is classified as a minor work item approvable by staff and is included here for administrative efficiency.

The existing HVAC unit on the east side of the house will be removed and replaced on the west side of the house. It will be screened from street view by the pantry bump out.

Staff suggests that the committee approve the application with the following conditions:

1. That there be no delay for the removal of the trees.
2. That tree protection plans be implemented and remain in place for the duration of construction.
3. That details and specifications for the following be provided to and approved by staff prior to issuance of the blue placard:
   a. Revised elevation and roof plan drawings accurately depicting the roof for the side entry porch
4. That details and specifications for the following be provided to and approved by staff prior to installation or construction:
   a. Side deck material and finish;
   b. Paint and stain color samples from the manufacturer;
   c. Roofing;
   d. A section view drawing of the proposed side porch railing;
   e. Skylights;
   f. Exterior lighting including location on the building;
   g. Gutters.

Staff Contact: Melissa Robb, melissa.robb@raleighnc.gov
□ Minor Work (staff review) – 1 copy
☑ Major Work (COA Committee review) – 10 copies
☐ Additions Greater than 25% of Building Square Footage
☐ New Buildings
☐ Demo of Contributing Historic Resource
☐ All Other

☐ Post Approval Re-review of Conditions of Approval

For Office Use Only

Transaction # 582873
File # COA-0010-2019
Fee $304
Amount Paid $304
Received Date 1/15/19
Received By [Signature]

Property Street Address 600 Latham Way

For applications that require review by the COA Committee (Major Work), provide addressed, stamped envelopes to owners of all properties within 100 feet (i.e. both sides, in front (across the street), and behind the property) not including the width of public streets or alleys (Label Creator).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property Address</th>
<th>Property Address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>611 Polk St</td>
<td>604 Leonidas Ct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>617 Polk St</td>
<td>505 Watauga St</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>605 Latham Way</td>
<td>609 Polk St</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>621 Polk St</td>
<td>601 Latham Way</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>513 Watauga St</td>
<td>600 Leonidas Ct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>605 Polk St</td>
<td>509 Watauga St</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>518 Elm St</td>
<td>521 Watauga St</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>613 Polk St</td>
<td>See additional addresses on mailing list attached</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
I understand that all applications that require review by the commission's Certificate of Appropriateness Committee must be submitted by 4:00 p.m. on the application deadline; otherwise, consideration will be delayed until the following committee meeting. An incomplete application will not be accepted.

Type or print the following:

Applicant  Aaron and Hannah Bockover

Mailing Address  600 Latham Way

City Raleigh  State NC  Zip Code 27604

Date 1/14/2019  Daytime Phone (919) 208-1437 (919) 633-1776

Email Address aaron.bockover@gmail.com  hannahbockover@gmail.com

Applicant Signature

Will you be applying for rehabilitation tax credits for this project?  □ Yes  ✗ No

Did you consult with staff prior to filing the application?  ✗ Yes  □ No

Office Use Only

Type of Work

3.15, 35, 55, 26, 26,

Design Guidelines - Please cite the applicable sections of the design guidelines (www.rhdc.org).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section/Page</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Brief Description of Work (attach additional sheets as needed)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.3/68-70</td>
<td>New Construction of Primary Structures</td>
<td>600 Latham Way was constructed in 1989 on part of tract 1 of the Greenhouse properties or also known as Oakwood Green. This is a non-historic house that sits on a very tight lot. The owners would like to turn the current one and a half story home into a two story home in order to get more square footage for their growing family. The half story becomes a true second story and a half story attic will be added above to provide storage which the current house is lacking. The rear sun porch will be rebuilt to be finished space, sides of the updated space are to align with the existing house footprint and a second story will be added. The existing garage will be demoed and a rear addition of a similar footprint to the garage will be added to the rear of the house. This move will open up the whole left rear side of the property to be open space. The owners plan to remove the existing brick patios and decking to create an open grass space that will start from the current concrete driveway back to the rear of the property and wrap around to the new rear addition. This will cut back on the number of impervious surfaces on the property and allow for a bit of regrading to be done to help with the drainage issues that currently exist around the house. The percentage of mass to open space changes only slightly and the built footprint is very similar to most of the houses that were built in the Oakwood Green area. This project seems to cross the guideline sections for new construction and additions so we are showing compatibility with both sections below.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2/66-67</td>
<td>Additions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1/64-65</td>
<td>Decks</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4/24-25</td>
<td>Fences and Site Walls</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3/22-23</td>
<td>Site Features + Plantings</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Minor Work Approval (office use only)

Upon being signed and dated below by the Planning Director or designee, this application becomes the Minor Work Certificate of Appropriateness. It is valid until ________________. Please post the enclosed placard form of the certificate as indicated at the bottom of the card. Issuance of a Minor Work Certificate shall not relieve the applicant, contractor, tenant, or property owner from obtaining any other permit required by City Code or any law. Minor Works are subject to an appeals period of 30 days from the date of approval.

Signature (City of Raleigh) ____________________________ Date ____________________________

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>N/A</th>
<th>TO BE COMPLETED BY CITY STAFF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Attach 8-1/2&quot; x 11&quot; or 11&quot; x 17&quot; sheets with written descriptions and drawings, photographs, and other graphic information necessary to completely describe the project. Use the checklist below to be sure your application is complete.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor Work (staff review) – 1 copy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major Work (COA Committee review) – 10 copies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Written description. Describe clearly and in detail the nature of your project. Include exact dimensions for materials to be used (e.g. width of siding, window trim, etc.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Description of materials (Provide samples, if appropriate)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Photographs of existing conditions are required. Minimum image size 4&quot; x 6&quot; as printed. Maximum 2 images per page</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Paint Schedule (if applicable)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Plot plan (if applicable). A plot plan showing relationship of buildings, additions, sidewalks, drives, trees, property lines, etc., must be provided if your project includes any addition, demolition, fences/walls, or other landscape work. Show accurate measurements. You may also use a copy of the survey you received when you bought your property. Revise the copy as needed to show existing conditions and your proposed work.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Drawings showing existing and proposed work</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Plan drawings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Elevation drawings showing the façade(s)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Dimensions shown on drawings and/or graphic scale (required)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ 11&quot; x 17&quot; or 8-1/2&quot; x 11&quot; reductions of full-size drawings. If reduced size is so small as to be illegible, make 11&quot; x 17&quot; or 8-1/2&quot; x 11&quot; snap shots of individual drawings from the big sheet.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Stamped envelopes addressed to all property owners within 100 feet of property not counting the width of public streets and alleys (required for Major Work). Use the Label Creator to determine the addresses.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Fee (See Development Fee Schedule)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Address</td>
<td>City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1704919375</td>
<td>BOCKOVER, AARON BOCKOVER, HANNAH KESTNER</td>
<td>600 LATHAM WAY, RALEIGH NC 27604-1900</td>
<td>Raleigh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1704917350</td>
<td>CRANE, JOHN PHILIP CRANE, PHYLLIS C</td>
<td>605 POLK ST, RALEIGH NC 27604-1961</td>
<td>Raleigh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1704917580</td>
<td>EAST LANE STREET LLC</td>
<td>1714 PARK DR, RALEIGH NC 27605-1611</td>
<td>Raleigh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1704917587</td>
<td>COLEMAN GROUP INC THE</td>
<td>115 S SAINT MARYS ST, RALEIGH NC 27603-1699</td>
<td>Raleigh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1704918099</td>
<td>HINTE, JAMES R HINTE, GAIL A</td>
<td>10728 DUNHILL TER, RALEIGH NC 27615-1439</td>
<td>Raleigh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1704918310</td>
<td>LILLEY, LAURENCE EASON III THOMPSON, LYRIC SERENA</td>
<td>609 POLK ST, RALEIGH NC 27604-1961</td>
<td>Raleigh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1704918360</td>
<td>IIDDINGS, SUSAN S</td>
<td>611 POLK ST, RALEIGH NC 27604-1961</td>
<td>Raleigh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1704918405</td>
<td>NORDSTROM, KRISTOPHER T BRONSTEIN, KATHERINE E</td>
<td>518 ELM ST, RALEIGH NC 27604-1934</td>
<td>Raleigh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1704919150</td>
<td>GREEN, M H JR</td>
<td>315 KINSEY ST, RALEIGH NC 27603-1931</td>
<td>Raleigh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1704919276</td>
<td>FINDLAY, WILLIAM JR STORCK, RICHARD ALAN</td>
<td>617 POLK ST, RALEIGH NC 27604-1961</td>
<td>Raleigh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1704919300</td>
<td>VLKOJAN, EMILY C REECE, KYLE M</td>
<td>613 POLK ST, RALEIGH NC 27604-1961</td>
<td>Raleigh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1704919417</td>
<td>BODENHEIMER, TED E JR</td>
<td>601 LATHAM WAY, RALEIGH NC 27604-1900</td>
<td>Raleigh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1704919561</td>
<td>THE COTHREN TRUST</td>
<td>PAULA G COTHREN TRUSTEE, 605 LATHAM WAY, RALEIGH NC 27604-1900</td>
<td>Raleigh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1704919578</td>
<td>IRVING, KATHRYN IRVING, DOUGLAS</td>
<td>604 LEONIDAS CT, RALEIGH NC 27604-1977</td>
<td>Raleigh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1704919620</td>
<td>RAGSDALE, THOMAS A</td>
<td>600 LEONIDAS CT, RALEIGH NC 27604-1977</td>
<td>Raleigh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1714010234</td>
<td>DELMONICO, JOSEPH R</td>
<td>621 POLK ST, RALEIGH NC 27604-1961</td>
<td>Raleigh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1714010340</td>
<td>MISNER, SCOTT A</td>
<td>505 WATAUGA ST, RALEIGH NC 27604-1969</td>
<td>Raleigh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1714010356</td>
<td>MAXWELL, JULIA E A</td>
<td>509 WATAUGA ST, RALEIGH NC 27604-1969</td>
<td>Raleigh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1714010475</td>
<td>BURCH, BENJAMIN CLAY BURCH, DEBORAH F</td>
<td>513 WATAUGA ST, RALEIGH NC 27604-1969</td>
<td>Raleigh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1714010580</td>
<td>COTHREN TRUST THE</td>
<td>605 LATHAM WAY, RALEIGH NC 27604-1900</td>
<td>Raleigh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1714010585</td>
<td>BENGEL, MORGAN BENGEL, NICHOLAS</td>
<td>521 WATAUGA ST, RALEIGH NC 27604-1969</td>
<td>Raleigh</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Disclaimer
iMaps makes every effort to produce and publish the most current and accurate information possible. However, the maps are produced for information purposes, and are NOT surveys. No warranties, expressed or implied, are provided for the data therein, its use, or its interpretation.
Disclaimer
iMaps makes every effort to produce and publish the most current and accurate information possible. However, the maps are produced for information purposes, and are NOT surveys. No warranties, expressed or implied, are provided for the data therein, its use, or its interpretation.
LATHAM WAY

=WA6868 (NC) 600 Latham Way Kolarov-Alford House 1989 This frame bungalow was built for Richard Kolarov. The design is loosely inspired by the Craftsman style. It is of one and a half stories. It has a front-gabled saddle roof with very shallow eaves. The front porch has four battered square-section posts on brick piers. There is a triple window on the front porch. There are shed dormers on the half story. There is a saddle-roofed frame shed at the southeast corner of the lot, built in 2006 according to tax records. BM1986:2214 this is part of tract 1 of Greenhouse properties 3833:477 J. J. Fallon & Co to Greenhouse Associates Sep 26, 1986 three tracts totaling slightly over 3 acres BM1988:570 Plat map of “Oakwood Green” recorded May 3, 1988, divides property into 21 or 22 tracts. 4255:151 Greenhouse Assoc. to Richard & Linda Kolarov May 6, 1988 $28K 4549:663 to Margareta Camicia Aug 18, 1989 $128K 5636:921 to Eugene & Marcia Alford 1993

=WA6869 (NC) 601 Latham Way Bock-Bodenheimer House 1989 This frame bungalow was built by Bock Construction Company. The design is loosely inspired by the Craftsman style. It is of one and a half stories. There is a tiny shed at the north end of the property, built in c.1995. There is a shed near the southwest corner of the property, built in 2006 according to tax records. BM1986:2214 this is part of tract 1 of Greenhouse properties 3833:477 J. J. Fallon & Co to Greenhouse Associates Sep 26, 1986 three tracts totaling slightly over 3 acres BM1988:570 Plat map of “Oakwood Green” recorded May 3, 1988, divides property into 21 or 22 tracts. 4463:478 Greenhouse Assoc to Bock Construction Mar 30, 1989 $57K what is now 601 & 605 4642:197 Bock Construction to Erna Glatkauskas Jan 30, 1990 $138K

=WA6870 (NC) 605 Latham Way Bock-Gwin House 1989 This frame bungalow was built by Bock Construction Company. The design is inspired by the Craftsman style. It is of one and a half stories. It has a side-gabled saddle roof with a large gabled dormer on the front. There is a saddle-roofed frame shed to the northeast of the house, actually on an un-numbered lot facing Watauga St., built in c.2000. BM1986:2214 this is part of tract 1 of Greenhouse properties 3833:477 J. J. Fallon & Co to Greenhouse Associates Sep 26, 1986 three tracts totaling slightly over 3 acres BM1988:570 Plat map of “Oakwood Green” recorded May 3, 1988, divides property into 21 or 22 tracts. 4463:478 to Bock Construction Mar 30, 1989 $57K what is now 601 & 605 4758:458 to Pauline Gwin and Paula G Crabtree (later Paula G Cothren) Aug 14, 1990 $130K

LEONIDAS COURT

=WA6871 (NC) 600 Leonidas Ct. Norman & Kay Olson House 1994 This Postmodern frame one-story was built for Norman and Kay Olson to serve as their family home. It was designed by B. A. Farrell, loosely inspired by the Gothic Revival style. It has board and batten siding, a steeply pitched side-gabled saddle roof, with side-gabled projections on each side, and full-height front-gabled projections projecting from the center body and from each of the two lateral projections. There is a triple window in the central projecting gable, and double windows in the other projecting gables. There is an entrance porch between the central projection and the projection on the right side. BM1986:2214 this is part of tract 1 of Greenhouse properties 3833:477 J. J. Fallon & Co to Greenhouse Associates Sep 26, 1986 three tracts totaling slightly over 3 acres BM1988:570 Plat map of “Oakwood Green” recorded May 3, 1988, divides property into 21 or 22 tracts. 6101:834 to Norman & Kay Olson Apr 27, 1994 $22.5K

=WA6872 (NC) 601 Leonidas Ct. Phillips-Hallam House 1994 This frame two-story was built for Madonna Phillips and Greg Hallam to serve as their home. It was designed by Mary Powers Ryan and inspired by the
**Proposed Changes to 600 Latham Way**

600 Latham Way was constructed in 1989 on part of tract 1 of the Greenhouse properties or also known as Oakwood Green. This is a non-historic house that sits on a very tight lot. The owners would like to turn the current one and a half story home into a two story home in order to get more square footage for their growing family. The half story becomes a true second story and a half story attic will be added above to provide storage which the current house is lacking. The rear sun porch will be rebuilt to be finished space, sides of the updated space are to align with the existing house footprint and a second story will be added. The existing garage will be demoed and a rear addition of a similar footprint to the garage will be added to the rear of the house. This move will open up the whole left rear side of the property to be open space. The owners plan to remove the existing brick patios and decking to create an open grass space that will start from the current concrete driveway back to the rear of the property and wrap around to the new rear addition. This will cut back on the number of impervious surfaces on the property and allow for a bit of regrading to be done to help with the drainage issues that currently exist around the house. The percentage of mass to open space changes only slightly and the built footprint is very similar to most of the houses that were built in the Oakwood Green area. This project seems to cross the guideline sections for new construction and additions so we are showing compatibility with both sections below.

### 3.3 New Construction of Primary Buildings: Guidelines

**.7 Design new buildings to be congruous with surrounding buildings that contribute to the special character of the historic district in terms of height, form, size, scale, massing, proportion, architectural style, and roof shape. The height of new buildings should generally fall within 10 percent of well related nearby buildings.** The proposed new elevations are similar in height, massing and proportion to the surrounding houses in the Oakwood Green Area. Neighboring houses along Latham Way and Watauga are primarily one and a half story (601 Latham Way + 605 Latham Way) to two and a half story houses (505 Watauga, 509 Watauga, and 513 Watauga). All of these houses are non-historic due to the property in this area being developed only in the late 1980’s and 1990’s. All of these houses were designed loosely based on architectural styles found throughout Oakwood. A few are more Craftsman in style while others harken back to Victorian styles. The proposed addition keeps the front porch as is and keeps the massing of the house simple in a box form similar to the Queen Anne style houses found on Lane St (601 E Lane St + 610 E Lane St) and throughout Oakwood.

**.8 Design the proportion of the proposed new building’s front facade to be compatible with the front facade proportion of surrounding historic buildings.** The proposed front building façade keeps the existing porch, front door and window locations the same as it exists now. The second floor windows are in keeping with other neighboring houses as single double hung window units that are visually arranged to work with the window locations on the main floor. The hip roof is a typical roof form seen throughout the historic neighborhood on houses such as the examples on Lane St and even on Craftsman style bungalows on Pell, Bloodworth, East and Elm St etc.
.9 Design the spacing, placement, scale, orientation, proportion, and size of window and door openings in proposed new construction to be compatible with the surrounding buildings that contribute to the special character of the historic district. The proposed new window sizes are consistent with the existing windows on the house currently and the surrounding neighbors. Most of the windows are rectangular double hungs and/or casements. Sizes and proportions are very similar to most of the neighboring houses and nearby historic houses.

.10 Select materials and finishes for proposed new buildings that are compatible with historic materials and finishes found in the surrounding buildings that contribute to the special character of the historic district. Trim and siding are to match what is currently on the house which is compatible with the neighboring houses and the nearby historic houses. See existing photos and detail dimension drawings. Siding is a smooth faced traditional depth Hardie siding with 4.5” exposure. Window and door trim is 4.5” and corner boards are 5”.

.11 Design new buildings so that they are compatible with but discernible from contributing buildings in the district. The existing window pattern and locations on the main floor really set this house apart from a historic house. The multiple ganging of windows (3 or 4 windows in a row w/ 2 mullions) was not historically used. Most historic windows are either single windows or if they are paired together there is a structural mull between each window, typically 7”-8”.

.12 It is not appropriate to introduce new buildings whose proportion of built mass to open space on their site significantly varies from the surrounding buildings that contribute to the special character of the historic district. The footprint of the existing house does not significantly change, approximately 1’x11’ is being added to either side of the rear porch footprint. The side exterior shed gets widened and lengthened to become interior square footage. The existing accessory building footprint of 11’-4”x11’-4” goes away and a similar sized rear addition (10’-4”x11’-4”) is added to the house in its place. Visually from the street the property will feel more open and less crowded.

3.2 Additions: Guidelines

.4 Protect large trees and other significant site features from immediate damage during construction and from delayed damage due to construction activities, such as loss of root area or compaction of the soil by equipment. It is especially critical to avoid compaction of the soil within the critical root zone. We will be obtaining the help of an arborist to create a tree protection plan and also help us with removing one tree that is really close to the rear of the house already. All other trees should be fine where they are, but need to be pruned to allow for the second story to be added.

.5 It is appropriate to implement a tree protection plan prior to the commencement of construction activities. We will be obtaining an arborist to help us with this and the contractor is aware of what is to be down before demo and construction are to begin onsite.
3.1 Decks: Guidelines

.1 Locate and construct decks so that the historic fabric of the structure and its character-defining features and details are not damaged or obscured. Install decks so that they are structurally self-supporting and may be removed in the future without damage to the historic structure. We are proposing to rebuild a deck that was removed due to water damage from drainage issues. The deck is located in the same location as it was before. The size will be similar as well.

.2 Minimize the visibility of new residential decks from the street by introducing them in inconspicuous locations, usually on the building’s rear face and inset from the rear corners. Design and detail decks and associated railings and steps to reflect the materials, scale, and proportions of the building. The new deck will be located on the side of the house that is the least visible from the street. The deck will be low to the ground, less than 30” so will not require railings. It will be hidden from the street by the front porch.

.3 In rare occasions where it is appropriate to site a deck in a location visible to the public right-of-way (i.e. the side of a building), it should be treated in a more formally architectural way. Careful attention should be paid to details and finishes, including painting or staining the deck’s rails and structural support elements in colors compatible with the colors of the building. The deck will have little to no visibility from the street even though it is sited to the side of the house. The deck will be app 24” above ground, no railings and will be lined with decorative plants to screen between the structural supports of the deck. We are requesting that the deck be made out ipe or a composite material that will not rot due to drainage issues on this side of the property.

.4 Align decks generally with the height of the building’s first-floor level. Visually tie the deck to the building by screening with compatible foundation materials such as skirt boards, lattice, masonry panels, and dense evergreen foundation plantings. The deck will be set 1.5”-2” below the finish floor level to prevent water infiltration into the interior of the house. See above for screening.

1.4 Fences and Walls: Guidelines

.8 Introduce compatible new fences and walls constructed of traditional materials only in locations and configurations that are characteristic of the historic district. Keep the height of new fences and walls consistent with the height of traditional fences and walls in the district or landmark. The owners would like to change out their existing fence to match the neighbor’s fence in height, material and design. The new fence will replace the existing fence near the rear property line and will wrap up the driveway side of the property for just a few feet. This will give the yard a little more privacy since the neighboring houses are so close together.

1.3 Site Features and Plantings: Guidelines

.8 In the residential historic districts, it is not appropriate to alter the residential character of the district by significantly reducing the proportion of the original built area to open space on a given site through new construction, additions, or surface paving. With the improvements that we are
requesting, the impervious surface area will be greatly reduced. We are asking the removal of all the
deked walkways, brick paths, and brick patios. This yard has a lot of drainage issues and a lot of this
stems from all the hard surfaces around the property. Drainage measures will need to be taken to help
protect the house, french drains and downspouts directing water to the street will make a huge
difference as well.

**Description of Materials to be used**

New materials will match the existing materials in size, composition, and aesthetic. See existing photos
and detail dimension drawings. Siding is a smooth faced traditional depth Hardie siding with 4.5”
exposure. Window and door trim is 4.5” and corner boards are 5”. Windows will be all wood double
hungs or casements similar in size and proportions to the existing windows and neighboring houses. The
brick foundation will remain as is and new brick will match. Screen porch structural members and trim
will be painted wood. Typical decking will be used for the screen porch floor and a painted bead board
ceiling will be installed. New fence will match the neighbor’s fence and will be made out of wood and
stained. It will be built neighbor friendly. K gutters are planned for the new sections of roof to match the
existing ones. Decking, we would like to request using ipe or a composite material that will not rot due
to the drainage issues on this side of the property.
Shed + Brick Patio at the end of the concrete driveway

Rear patio + decking, with tree to be removed + side of shed
Rear of house and tree that we will remove

Rear elevation of house
Neighbor's fence that we would like to install in the rear yard and a small section of the side yard

613 Polk St
deck was approved for a COA
600 Latham Way - Existing Front Elevation

Scale - 1/8" = 1'-0"
600 Latham Way - Existing Driveway Side Elevation

Scale - 1/8" = 1'-0"
600 Latham Way - Existing Rear Elevation

Scale - 1/8" = 1'-0"
600 Latham Way - Existing Side Elevation

Scale - 1/8" = 1'-0"
600 Latham Way - Existing Ground Floor

Scale - 3/32" = 1'-0"  Built Area to Open Space

Architect
Ashley Henkel Morris
306 Pell Street
Raleigh, NC 27604
919.696.0970

PELL ST studio

North Arrow
600 Latham Way - New Front Elevation

Scale - 1/8" = 1'-0"
600 Latham Way - New Rear Elevation

Scale - 1/8" = 1'-0"
Two and a half story house

621 Polk St
Location Map for neighboring houses
Two and a half story house with a hip roof and front dormer

505 Watauga St
Location Map for neighboring houses
Two and a half story house with a hip roof and front dormer

509 Watauga St
Location Map for neighboring houses
Two and a half story house

513 Watauga St
Location Map for neighboring houses
One and a half story house

605 Latham Way
Location Map for neighboring houses
One and a half story house

601 Latham Way
Location Map for neighboring houses
View of 509 + 505 Watauga from Latham Way driveway 600

Leonidas Ct one street over 2 and a half story
Location Map for neighboring houses
600 Latham Way - New Eave/Soffit Detail

Scale - 3/8" = 1'-0"
600 Latham Way - Fence Detail

Scale - 3/8" = 1'-0"
The area in yellow will have the brick pavers + decking removed, the grade will be feathered out to create a large open grass area for kids to play in.

The area in purple has drainage issues with water settling against the house and deck, causing sill plates and the deck to rot. We are suggesting a bed of gravel along the foundation to help with drainage + would like to ask about using a material like ipe or a composite material to prevent the deck from rotting out again.
600 Latham Way
Tree Removal Recommendations
Katie Rose Levin
ISA Board Certified Master Arborist
SO-6744B

**Tree A: Double Stem Southern Magnolia**

We recommend the removal of this tree for several reasons. The first is that it is planted in a spot which is too small for its mature size. The roots currently conflict with the HVAC system, and do not have sufficient space to grow. The crown is already impacting the roof, and will need to be continuously pruned back in order to prevent future damage from the tree striking the roof and the house. Magnolia trees are very poor compartmentalizers, which means extensive pruning opens them up to decay which they are not good at fighting off.

In addition to being in a poor space, this magnolia tree has structural liabilities which will be aggravated by the proposed extension. The double stem shows symptoms of having included bark. This makes the tree more likely to pull apart in storms. The stem closest to the house is the bigger stem and carries more than half of the canopy. As part of regular maintenance to prevent roof damage a significant portion of this canopy should be removed- the renovation will increase this amount further. This means the major leader will be significantly weakened and open to decay, aggravating previous structural liabilities. For these reasons we recommend removing this tree and replanting a magnolia somewhere else on site.
**Tree B: 15” Red Maple**

We recommend removing this maple due to several liabilities. Like the magnolia, it is planted in a spot which is less than ideal for a tree of this size. More important is the fact that this tree is in general poor condition with suboptimal structure. It has major decay spots on the trunk (shown at right), included bark in the branches, and some dieback in the canopy.

The renovation will require the removal of approximately 1/3 of the tree canopy, and provide significant impacts to the roots, even with care. We recommend removing this tree and planting another canopy tree elsewhere on site.

**Tree E: 14” Red Maple**

We recommend the removal of this maple tree due to the extensive decay in its base. A physical examination revealed that there is very little wood in the buttress area, and there are signs of decay organisms at the base. Although this tree will not experience significant impacts during construction, we do advise its removal.
Maintain patio until all work inside house is completed. Then demolish areas within the critical root zone by hand. Do not cut in this area, only add fill.

TPZ Plan by Katie Rose Levin
Board Certified Master Arborist SO-6744B

Notes:
1. All tree protection fencing shall be 4' orange snow fence. It shall be installed prior to construction and continuously maintained.
2. All work done within the CRZ of trees must be done by hand. No roots over 2" shall be cut.
3. Fencing shall be post in hole, hand dug, with posts shifted to avoid any roots over 1" discovered.
4. Spread 6" of fresh arborist wood chips within the tree protection zone surrounding trees E, D, G and H. Provide these same trees with supplemental water during the summer of construction and the year after to maintain moist (but not saturated) soil.
5. Please see notes in supplemental document

PELL ST studio

Architect
Ashley Henkel Morris
306 Pell Street
Raleigh, NC 27604
919.696.0970

Dumpster Location and Laydown Area

Note: Retain bricks and concrete during entirety of construction on building. Only demolish and replace as part of final stage.
Wood Standard Casement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frame Size</th>
<th>Glass Size</th>
<th>12 13/16&quot;</th>
<th>18 13/16&quot;</th>
<th>22 13/16&quot;</th>
<th>24 13/16&quot;</th>
<th>30 13/16&quot;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18 3/4&quot;</td>
<td>18&quot;</td>
<td>18 13/16&quot;</td>
<td>22 13/16&quot;</td>
<td>24 13/16&quot;</td>
<td>30 13/16&quot;</td>
<td>36&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 3/4&quot;</td>
<td>24&quot;</td>
<td>18 13/16&quot;</td>
<td>22 13/16&quot;</td>
<td>24 13/16&quot;</td>
<td>30 13/16&quot;</td>
<td>36&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 3/4&quot;</td>
<td>28&quot;</td>
<td>18 13/16&quot;</td>
<td>22 13/16&quot;</td>
<td>24 13/16&quot;</td>
<td>30 13/16&quot;</td>
<td>36&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 3/4&quot;</td>
<td>30&quot;</td>
<td>18 13/16&quot;</td>
<td>22 13/16&quot;</td>
<td>24 13/16&quot;</td>
<td>30 13/16&quot;</td>
<td>36&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36 3/4&quot;</td>
<td>36&quot;</td>
<td>18 13/16&quot;</td>
<td>22 13/16&quot;</td>
<td>24 13/16&quot;</td>
<td>30 13/16&quot;</td>
<td>36&quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No grille patterns to match existing

There will be 3 A's ganged with 2" mulls between

G-pair of windows ganged with a 2" mull, horizontal bar added to make window look like a DH egress

* Review for Egress. Refer to the "Egress Information Chart" PDF file.

- Standard units shown. Custom sizes in 1/8" increments.
- Any unit shown can be operable or stationary - Left hand shown as viewed from exterior.
- To obtain masonry openings on units with brickmould, add 3 3/8" to horizontal and 2 3/8" to vertical frame dimensions.

Updated: 5/10

Note: Sierra Pacific Windows reserves the right to change specifications without notice.

www.sierrapacificwindows.com
800-824-7744
**SIERRA PACIFIC WINDOWS**  
**All-Wood Casement Window**

**SECTION DETAILS**  
**DRAWN TO 1" = 1" SCALE**  
Printed Scale 3" = 1'

---

**HEAD DETAIL**  
**ALL-WOOD CASEMENT, OPERATING**

**2 1/2" Flat Casing**

**3-1/2" Flat Casing**

**Shown With 3-1/2" Brick Mould**

**5" Flat Casing**

---

**3 1/2" Brick Mould**

---

**Basic Unit to Brick Mould or Flat Casing Formulas**

**2 1/2" Flat Casing**

Height = Basic Unit Height + 3.405"

Width = Basic Unit Width + 4.00"

**3 1/2" Flat Casing & 3 1/2" Brick Mould Width**

Height = Basic Unit Height + 4.405"

Width = Basic Unit Width + 6.00"

**5" Flat Casing**

Height = Basic Unit Height + 5.905"

Width = Basic Unit Width + 9.00"

---

**SILL DETAIL**  
**ALL-WOOD CASEMENT, OPERATING**

**2 1/2" Flat Casing**

**3-1/2" Brick Mould**

**5" Flat Casing**

---

**GLAZING OPTIONS**

0.375" Exterior Wood Bar Height

0.375" Interior Wood Bar Height

---

PLEASE NOTE: STANDARD SPACER COLOR IS MILL FINISH
Wood Carmel Double Hung

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rough Opening Frame Size</th>
<th>Glass Size</th>
<th>Door Size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18 3/4&quot;</td>
<td>13 1/8&quot;</td>
<td>DHC-1872</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 3/4&quot;</td>
<td>19 1/8&quot;</td>
<td>DHC-2472</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 3/4&quot;</td>
<td>25 1/8&quot;</td>
<td>DHC-3072*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36 3/4&quot;</td>
<td>31 1/8&quot;</td>
<td>B, J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42 3/4&quot;</td>
<td>37 1/8&quot;</td>
<td>DHC-3672*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48 3/4&quot;</td>
<td>43 1/8&quot;</td>
<td>DHC-4872*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Review for Egress. Refer to the "Egress Information Chart" PDF file.

J-3 windows ganged with 2"mulls between
## Wood Carmel Double Hung

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18&quot;</td>
<td>13 1/8&quot;</td>
<td>24&quot;</td>
<td>20&quot;</td>
<td>30&quot;</td>
<td>36&quot;</td>
<td>42&quot;</td>
<td>48&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36 3/4&quot;</td>
<td>36&quot;</td>
<td>DHC-1836</td>
<td>DHC-2436</td>
<td>DHC-3036</td>
<td>DHC-3636</td>
<td>DHC-4236</td>
<td>DHC-4836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42 3/4&quot;</td>
<td>42 1/8&quot;</td>
<td>DHC-1842</td>
<td>DHC-2442</td>
<td>DHC-3042</td>
<td>DHC-3642</td>
<td>DHC-4242</td>
<td>DHC-4842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48 3/4&quot;</td>
<td>48 1/8&quot;</td>
<td>DHC-1848</td>
<td>DHC-2448</td>
<td>DHC-3048</td>
<td>DHC-3648</td>
<td>DHC-4248</td>
<td>DHC-4848</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54 3/4&quot;</td>
<td>54 1/8&quot;</td>
<td>DHC-1854</td>
<td>DHC-2454</td>
<td>DHC-3054</td>
<td>DHC-3654</td>
<td>DHC-4254</td>
<td>DHC-4854</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 3/4&quot;</td>
<td>60 1/8&quot;</td>
<td>DHC-1860</td>
<td>DHC-2460</td>
<td>DHC-3060</td>
<td>DHC-3660*</td>
<td>DHC-4260*</td>
<td>DHC-4860*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

K-3 windows ganged with a 2" mull between

* Review for Egress. Refer to the "Egress Information Chart" PDF file.

**Updated: 7/14**

Note: Sierra Pacific Windows reserves the right to change specifications without notice.

[Sierra Pacific Windows](www.sierrapacificwindows.com)
800-824-7744
All-Wood Tilt Double Hung Windows

Jamb Details

Drawn to Full Scale
Printed Scale 4" = 1'

GLAZING OPTIONS

Single & Dual Insulated Glass available in operating and fixed units.
Grille in Airspace

HDL, Surround and KD Grille Bar Chart

PLEASE NOTE: STANDARD INTERNAL SPACER COLOR IS MILL FINISH
All-Wood
Tilt Double Hung Windows with Sill Nosing & No Brickmould
ELEVATION NOTES
Door Size = Book Size Before Prefit
Daylight Opening (DLO) = Visible Glass
Values in brackets [ ] are millimeter conversions.

DOOR CROSS-SECTION
Moulding Profile = Ovolo
Panel Profile = n/a
See 500 Cross Section sheet for more detail.

Scale: 1/8" = 1'-0"
**500**

**WOOD DOOR CROSS SECTIONS**

Scale: 3" = 1'-0"

**Notes:**
Stile, Rail, Panel & Glass vary by Size & Model of Door. Typical Sizes are Shown.

**VERTICAL DOOR/SIDELIGHT CROSS SECTION**
Insulated Glass

**HORIZONTAL DOOR CROSS SECTION**
Engineered Stile Option (Upon Request or Doors 3'-6" X 6'-0")