U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development 451 Seventh Street, SW Washington, DC 20410 www.hud.gov espanol.hud.gov

# Environmental Review for Activity/Project that is Categorically Excluded Subject to Section 58.5 Pursuant to 24 CFR 58.35(a)

#### Project Information

Project Name: 603-N.-Boylan-Avenue

HEROS Number: 900000010476711

**Start Date:** 06/12/2025

Responsible Entity (RE): RALEIGH, 222 W Hargett St Raleigh NC, 27601

State / Local Identifier:

**RE Preparer:** Will Hartye

**Certifying Office** Janet Cowell r:

**Grant Recipient (if different than Responsible Ent** Raleigh Housing Authority **ity):** 

Point of Contact: Kenya Pleasant

Point of Contact:Eric KloepferConsultant (if applicable):Dominion Due Diligence Group

40 CFR 1506.5(b)(4): The lead agency or, where appropriate, a cooperating agency shall prepare a disclosure statement for the contractor's execution specifying that the contractor has no financial or other interest in the outcome of the action. Such statement need not include privileged or confidential trade secrets or other confidential business information.

✓ By checking this box, I attest that as a preparer, I have no financial or other interest in the outcome of the undertaking assessed in this environmental review.

Project Location: 603 N Boylan Ave, Raleigh, NC 27603

# Additional Location Information:

The subject property is bounded by Revisn and commercial building to the north; North Boylan Avenue and Paramount Condo Home Owners to the east; West Johnson Street, Glenwood Towers, commercial building, and Faux Real Flowers to the south; and Johnson Street Yacht Club to the west. The subject property is located in an area of commercial and residential development.

# Direct Comments to:

# Description of the Proposed Project [24 CFR 50.12 & 58.32; 40 CFR 1508.25]:

The Sponsor is submitting this project to the HUD Special Applications Center (SAC), consisting of the disposition of the parking lot. 603 Boylan Avenue is a vacant 0.45 acre RHA property. RHA plans to reposition 603 Boylan Avenue using a Section 18 application and has provided for that action in its Annual Plan. Upon HUD approval of this application, RHA intends to disposition the property to a third-party entity to develop new housing on the site. RHA will engage resident council leadership, potential partners, advocate groups, other community stakeholders affordable housing developers/owners, and the City and County feedback and guidance on plans. Exterior property improvements include landscaped regions and asphalt parking areas. Utilities were observed within the area surrounding the subject property.

Maps, photographs, and other documentation of project location and description: <u>Site Maps.pdf</u> <u>Site Photographs.pdf</u>

#### Level of Environmental Review Determination:

Categorically Excluded per 24 CFR 58.35(a), and subject to laws and authorities at 58.5:

#### Determination:

This categorically excluded activity/project converts to **EXEMPT** per Section 58.34(a)(12), because it does not require any mitigation for compliance with any listed statutes or authorities, nor requires any formal permit or license; **Funds may be committed and drawn down after certification of this part** for this (now) EXEMPT project; OR

This categorically excluded activity/project cannot convert to Exempt status because one or more statutes or authorities listed at Section 58.5 requires formal consultation or mitigation. Complete consultation/mitigation protocol requirements, **publish NOI/RROF** and obtain "Authority to Use Grant Funds" (HUD 7015.16) per Section 58.70 and 58.71 before committing or drawing down any funds; OR

This project is not categorically excluded OR, if originally categorically excluded, is now subject to a full Environmental Assessment according to Part 58 Subpart E due to extraordinary circumstances (Section 58.35(c)).

## Approval Documents:

7015.15 certified by Certifying Officer on:

7015.16 certified by Authorizing Officer on:

#### Funding Information

Grant / Project Identification Number	HUD Program	Program Name	Funding Amount
TBD	Public Housing	Other Public Housing Program	\$0.00

**Estimated Total HUD Funded,** \$0.00 Assisted or Insured Amount:

Estimated Total Project Cost:

\$0.00

#### Compliance with 24 CFR §50.4, §58.5 and §58.6 Laws and Authorities

<b>Compliance Factors</b> : Statutes, Executive Orders, and Regulations listed at 24 CFR §50.4, §58.5, and §58.6	Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?	Compliance determination (See Appendix A for source determinations)
---	--	---

#### STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORDERS, AND REGULATIONS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.6

Airport Hazards	🗆 Yes 🗹 No	According to Federal Aviation
Clear Zones and Accident Potential		Administration (FAA) information
Zones; 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart D		accessed at
		https://oeaaa.faa.gov/oeaaa/external/s
		earchAction.jsp?action=showCircleSearc
		hAirportsForm and
		http://nepassisttool.epa.gov/nepassist/
		entry.aspx, there are no civil airport
		runways within 2,500 feet and no
		military airports within 15,000 feet of
		the subject property. As such, the
		proposed action is in compliance with
		Airport Hazard regulations and no
		mitigation measures nor further
		investigations are warranted. The
		project is in compliance with Airport
Coastal Barrier Resources Act		Hazards requirements.
	🗆 Yes 🗹 No	According to Coastal Barrier Resource
Coastal Barrier Resources Act, as		Area information accessed at
amended by the Coastal Barrier		http://www.fws.gov/CBRA/Maps/index.
Improvement Act of 1990 [16 USC		html, the subject property is not located
3501]		within a coastal barrier. Therefore, the
		project is in compliance with HUD's
		Coastal Barrier Resource Systems
		regulations and no mitigation is
		warranted. Therefore, this project has
		no potential to impact a CBRS Unit and
		is in compliance with the Coastal Barrier
		Resources Act.
Flood Insurance	🗆 Yes 🗹 No	According to FEMA Flood Insurance
Flood Disaster Protection Act of		Rate Map (FIRM) #372017-0400K, dated
1973 and National Flood Insurance		July 19, 2022, the subject property is
Reform Act of 1994 [42 USC 4001-		located in Unshaded Zone X, designated
4128 and 42 USC 5154a]		as an area outside the 100 and 500-year
		flood zones and the flood potential for
		the subject property is minimal.
		According to the FEMA Flood Map
		Service Center accessed at
		https://msc.fema.gov/portal/home,
		there are no preliminary or pending
		FIRMs for the subject property.
		According to the National Flood
		Insurance Program (NFIP) Community
		Status Book accessed at
		https://www.fema.gov/flood-
		insurance/work-with-nfip/community-
	l	mourance, work with mp/community-

		status-book, the subject property is located in Community ID #370243Nwhich is a participating community in the NFIP. However, as no structures or insurable property are located within a Special Flood Hazard Area (100-year flood zone), flood insurance is not required under the NFIP. While flood insurance may not be mandatory in this instance, HUD recommends that all insurable structures maintain flood insurance under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). The project is in compliance with flood insurance requirements.
		ONS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.5
Air Quality Clean Air Act, as amended, particularly section 176(c) & (d); 40 CFR Parts 6, 51, 93	□ Yes ☑ No	According to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Green Book County-Level Multi-Pollutant Information accessed at http://www.epa.gov/airquality/greenbk /ancl.html and the EPA NEPAssist tool accessed at http://nepassisttool.epa.gov/nepassist/ entry.aspx, the subject property is not located within a Non-Attainment or Maintenance Area of the State of North Carolina. Therefore, the proposed undertaking will be in compliance with Clean Air Regulations and the State Implementation Plan, and no mitigation measures nor further investigations are warranted. The project is in compliance with the Clean Air Act.
Coastal Zone Management Act Coastal Zone Management Act, sections 307(c) & (d)	□ Yes ☑ No	According to the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Office for Coastal Management (OCM) accessed at https://coast.noaa.gov/czm/mystate/, Wake County is not located within a Coastal Management Zone. Therefore, the proposed undertaking has no potential to impact a Coastal Management Zone and no mitigation

		measures nor further investigations are
		warranted. The project is in compliance
		with the Coastal Zone Management Act.
Contamination and Toxic	🗆 Yes 🗹 No	Dominion Due Diligence Group
Substances		performed a Phase I Environmental Site
24 CFR 50.3(i) & 58.5(i)(2)]		Assessment (ESA) in conformance with
		the scope and limitations of ASTM
		Practice E1527-21 of the 603 North
		Boylan Avenue located at 603 North
		Boylan Avenue in Raleigh, Wake County,
		North Carolina (subject property). Any
		exceptions to, or deletions from, this
		practice are described in Section 2.4 of
		the ESA. This assessment has revealed
		no evidence of recognized
		environmental conditions (RECs),
		controlled recognized environmental
		_
		conditions (CRECs), or significant data
		gaps in connection with the subject
		property at 603 North Boylan Avenue
		and no mitigation measures nor further
		investigations are warranted. In
		addition, D3G evaluated the following
		ASTM Non-Scope Considerations,
		including, but not limited to, asbestos-
		containing materials (ACMs), lead-based
		paint (LBP), and radon gas. As outlined
		within the Phase I ESA, the following
		discussions pertaining to ACMs, LBP,
		and radon gas are applicable to the
		subject property. Asbestos-Containing
		Materials (ACMs) The subject property
		consists of parking lots that are
		proposed for disposition with no man-
		made structures. Therefore, ACMs are
		not a concern at the subject property.
		Lead-Based Paint (LBP) The subject
		property consists of parking lots that are
		proposed for disposition with no man-
		made structures. Therefore, LBP is not a
		concern at the subject property.
		Radon Gas The subject property is
		located in an EPA Radon Zone 2,
		designated as an area of moderate
		radon gas potential with an average
		indoor radon level between 2 and 4

	1	
<b>Endangered Species Act</b> Endangered Species Act of 1973, particularly section 7; 50 CFR Part 402	□ Yes ☑ No	<ul> <li>picocuries per liter (pCi/L) of air. The subject property consists of parking lots that are proposed for disposition. The project is in compliance with contamination and toxic substances requirements.</li> <li>D3G obtained a Trust Resources Report for the subject property using the USFWS Information for Planning and Consultation (IPaC) website accessed at https://ecos.fws.gov/ipac/. According to the Report, seven (7) federally listed species have the potential to be present</li> </ul>
		within the project area. The subject property is undergoing a disposition through HUD SAC. As there are no tree- clearing or land-clearing activities proposed, the proposed activities have no potential to affect species or habitats. Therefore, the proposed undertaking will have No Effect on federally-listed species or critical habitats and consultation with the USFWS is not required under Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act. This project is in compliance with the Endangered Species Act.
Explosive and Flammable Hazards Above-Ground Tanks)[24 CFR Part 51 Subpart C	☐ Yes ☑ No	According to 24 CFR Part 51, Subpart C - Siting of HUD-Assisted Projects Near Hazardous Operations Handling Conventional Fuels or Chemicals of an Explosive or Flammable Nature, a HUD- assisted project involves the development, construction, rehabilitation or modernization involving an increase in residential unit densities, or conversion of any project that is intended for residential, institutional, recreational, commercial, or industrial uses. Based on the activities involved in the proposed undertaking (disposition through HUD SAC), the project is not considered a HUD-assisted project and compliance with 24 CFR Part 51, Subpart C is not warranted. Therefore, the project is in

		compliance with HUD's Explosive and
		Flammable Hazards requirements. The
		project is in compliance with explosive
		and flammable hazard requirements.
Farmlands Protection	□ Yes ☑ No	The proposed undertaking involves a
Farmland Protection Policy Act of		disposition through HUD SAC with no
1981, particularly sections 1504(b)		proposed new construction or land
and 1541; 7 CFR Part 658		conversion activities. Therefore, the
		proposed undertaking is does not
		involve the conversion of agricultural
		land and is not subject to the provisions
		of the Farmlands Protection Policy Act.
		In addition, according to the U.S. Census
		Bureau Urbanized Area Map, accessed
		at
		http://tigerweb.geo.census.gov/tigerwe
		b/, the subject property is located
		within an urbanized area; therefore, the
		subject property is already in an area
		committed to urban development and is
		•
		exempt from compliance with the
		Farmland Protection Policy Act per 7
		CFR Part 658.2. Therefore, the proposed
		undertaking has no potential to convert
		important farmland and no mitigation
		measures nor further compliance steps
		are warranted. The project is in
		compliance with the Farmland
		Protection Policy Act.
Floodplain Management	□ Yes ☑ No	According to FEMA Flood Insurance
Executive Order 11988, particularly		Rate Map (FIRM) #372017-0400K, dated
section 2(a); 24 CFR Part 55		July 19, 2022, the subject property is
		located in Unshaded Zone X, designated
		as an area outside the 100- and 500-
		year floodplain. According to the FEMA
		Flood Map Service Center accessed at
		https://msc.fema.gov/portal/home,
		there are no preliminary or pending
		FIRMs for the subject property. In
		order to determine the Federal Flood
		Risk Management Standard (FFRMS)
		floodplain for this project, the nearest
		flooding sources were evaluated to
		identify whether the associated 500-
		year floodplain has been
		mapped/defined by FEMA, thus
		mapped/defined by I LIVIA, thus

Historic Preservation         National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, particularly sections 106 and 110; 36 CFR Part 800	Yes 🗹 No	<ul> <li>allowing the project to utilize the 0.2%</li> <li>Annual Chance Flood Approach</li> <li>(0.2PFA). The nearest flooding sources is</li> <li>the Pigeon House Branch approximately</li> <li>1,417 feet to the northeast for which</li> <li>the 500-year floodplain has been</li> <li>mapped/defined. Therefore, the extent</li> <li>of the FFRMS floodplain via 0.2PFA</li> <li>corresponds to the mapped/defined</li> <li>500-year floodplain, which does not</li> <li>extend anywhere near the subject</li> <li>property. Therefore, no mitigation is</li> <li>required and the property is in</li> <li>compliance with HUD's Floodplain</li> <li>Management regulations. The project is</li> <li>in compliance with Executive Orders</li> <li>11988 and 13690.</li> <li>The subject property consists of a</li> <li>vacant parking lot and is situated on</li> <li>approximately 0.45 acres of land. The</li> <li>Sponsor is submitting this project to the</li> </ul>
National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, particularly sections 106 and	Yes 🗹 No	<ul> <li>(0.2PFA). The nearest flooding sources is the Pigeon House Branch approximately 1,417 feet to the northeast for which the 500-year floodplain has been mapped/defined. Therefore, the extent of the FFRMS floodplain via 0.2PFA corresponds to the mapped/defined 500-year floodplain, which does not extend anywhere near the subject property. Therefore, no mitigation is required and the property is in compliance with HUD's Floodplain Management regulations. The project is in compliance with Executive Orders 11988 and 13690.</li> <li>The subject property consists of a vacant parking lot and is situated on approximately 0.45 acres of land. The</li> </ul>
National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, particularly sections 106 and	Yes 🗹 No	<ul> <li>the Pigeon House Branch approximately 1,417 feet to the northeast for which the 500-year floodplain has been mapped/defined. Therefore, the extent of the FFRMS floodplain via 0.2PFA corresponds to the mapped/defined 500-year floodplain, which does not extend anywhere near the subject property. Therefore, no mitigation is required and the property is in compliance with HUD's Floodplain Management regulations. The project is in compliance with Executive Orders 11988 and 13690.</li> <li>The subject property consists of a vacant parking lot and is situated on approximately 0.45 acres of land. The</li> </ul>
National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, particularly sections 106 and	Yes 🗹 No	<ul> <li>1,417 feet to the northeast for which the 500-year floodplain has been mapped/defined. Therefore, the extent of the FFRMS floodplain via 0.2PFA corresponds to the mapped/defined</li> <li>500-year floodplain, which does not extend anywhere near the subject property. Therefore, no mitigation is required and the property is in compliance with HUD's Floodplain Management regulations. The project is in compliance with Executive Orders</li> <li>11988 and 13690.</li> <li>The subject property consists of a vacant parking lot and is situated on approximately 0.45 acres of land. The</li> </ul>
National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, particularly sections 106 and	Yes 🗹 No	the 500-year floodplain has been mapped/defined. Therefore, the extent of the FFRMS floodplain via 0.2PFA corresponds to the mapped/defined 500-year floodplain, which does not extend anywhere near the subject property. Therefore, no mitigation is required and the property is in compliance with HUD's Floodplain Management regulations. The project is in compliance with Executive Orders 11988 and 13690.The subject property consists of a vacant parking lot and is situated on approximately 0.45 acres of land. The
National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, particularly sections 106 and	Yes 🗹 No	<ul> <li>mapped/defined. Therefore, the extent</li> <li>of the FFRMS floodplain via 0.2PFA</li> <li>corresponds to the mapped/defined</li> <li>500-year floodplain, which does not</li> <li>extend anywhere near the subject</li> <li>property. Therefore, no mitigation is</li> <li>required and the property is in</li> <li>compliance with HUD's Floodplain</li> <li>Management regulations. The project is</li> <li>in compliance with Executive Orders</li> <li>11988 and 13690.</li> <li>The subject property consists of a</li> <li>vacant parking lot and is situated on</li> <li>approximately 0.45 acres of land. The</li> </ul>
National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, particularly sections 106 and	Yes 🗹 No	<ul> <li>mapped/defined. Therefore, the extent</li> <li>of the FFRMS floodplain via 0.2PFA</li> <li>corresponds to the mapped/defined</li> <li>500-year floodplain, which does not</li> <li>extend anywhere near the subject</li> <li>property. Therefore, no mitigation is</li> <li>required and the property is in</li> <li>compliance with HUD's Floodplain</li> <li>Management regulations. The project is</li> <li>in compliance with Executive Orders</li> <li>11988 and 13690.</li> <li>The subject property consists of a</li> <li>vacant parking lot and is situated on</li> <li>approximately 0.45 acres of land. The</li> </ul>
National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, particularly sections 106 and	Yes 🗹 No	of the FFRMS floodplain via 0.2PFA corresponds to the mapped/defined500-year floodplain, which does not extend anywhere near the subject property. Therefore, no mitigation is required and the property is in compliance with HUD's Floodplain Management regulations. The project is in compliance with Executive Orders 11988 and 13690.The subject property consists of a vacant parking lot and is situated on approximately 0.45 acres of land. The
National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, particularly sections 106 and	Yes 🗹 No	<ul> <li>corresponds to the mapped/defined</li> <li>500-year floodplain, which does not</li> <li>extend anywhere near the subject</li> <li>property. Therefore, no mitigation is</li> <li>required and the property is in</li> <li>compliance with HUD's Floodplain</li> <li>Management regulations. The project is</li> <li>in compliance with Executive Orders</li> <li>11988 and 13690.</li> <li>The subject property consists of a</li> <li>vacant parking lot and is situated on</li> <li>approximately 0.45 acres of land. The</li> </ul>
National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, particularly sections 106 and	Yes 🗹 No	<ul> <li>500-year floodplain, which does not extend anywhere near the subject property. Therefore, no mitigation is required and the property is in compliance with HUD's Floodplain Management regulations. The project is in compliance with Executive Orders 11988 and 13690.</li> <li>The subject property consists of a vacant parking lot and is situated on approximately 0.45 acres of land. The</li> </ul>
National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, particularly sections 106 and	Yes 🗹 No	<ul> <li>extend anywhere near the subject property. Therefore, no mitigation is required and the property is in compliance with HUD's Floodplain Management regulations. The project is in compliance with Executive Orders 11988 and 13690.</li> <li>The subject property consists of a vacant parking lot and is situated on approximately 0.45 acres of land. The</li> </ul>
National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, particularly sections 106 and	Yes 🗹 No	<ul> <li>property. Therefore, no mitigation is required and the property is in compliance with HUD's Floodplain Management regulations. The project is in compliance with Executive Orders 11988 and 13690.</li> <li>The subject property consists of a vacant parking lot and is situated on approximately 0.45 acres of land. The</li> </ul>
National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, particularly sections 106 and	Yes 🗹 No	<ul> <li>required and the property is in compliance with HUD's Floodplain Management regulations. The project is in compliance with Executive Orders 11988 and 13690.</li> <li>The subject property consists of a vacant parking lot and is situated on approximately 0.45 acres of land. The</li> </ul>
National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, particularly sections 106 and	Yes 🗹 No	<ul> <li>compliance with HUD's Floodplain</li> <li>Management regulations. The project is</li> <li>in compliance with Executive Orders</li> <li>11988 and 13690.</li> <li>The subject property consists of a</li> <li>vacant parking lot and is situated on</li> <li>approximately 0.45 acres of land. The</li> </ul>
National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, particularly sections 106 and	Yes 🗹 No	<ul> <li>Management regulations. The project is in compliance with Executive Orders 11988 and 13690.</li> <li>The subject property consists of a vacant parking lot and is situated on approximately 0.45 acres of land. The</li> </ul>
National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, particularly sections 106 and	Yes 🗹 No	<ul> <li>in compliance with Executive Orders 11988 and 13690.</li> <li>The subject property consists of a vacant parking lot and is situated on approximately 0.45 acres of land. The</li> </ul>
National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, particularly sections 106 and	Yes 🗹 No	11988 and 13690.The subject property consists of a vacant parking lot and is situated on approximately 0.45 acres of land. The
National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, particularly sections 106 and	Yes 🗹 No	The subject property consists of a vacant parking lot and is situated on approximately 0.45 acres of land. The
National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, particularly sections 106 and		vacant parking lot and is situated on approximately 0.45 acres of land. The
1966, particularly sections 106 and		approximately 0.45 acres of land. The
110; 30 CFR Part 800		sponsor is submitting this project to the
		LILID Special Applications Contar (SAC)
		HUD Special Applications Center (SAC),
		consisting of the disposition of the
		parking lot. A review of the National
		Register of Historic Places and the North
		Carolina State Historic Preservation
		Office HPOWEB GIS Service Map,
		accessed at
		https://nc.maps.arcgis.com/home/item.
		html?id=d2d04d8d7e03403f889419526
		e682529, indicates that the subject
		property is not listed on the National
		Register of Historic Places; is not located
		within, or adjacent to, a Historic District;
		and is not listed as a local landmark. In
		addition, as there are no ground-
		disturbing activities proposed, the
		project has no potential to impact
		archaeological resources. Per HUD
		CPD-12-006 Appendix A, tribal
		consultation is not required for the
		proposed undertaking, due to the scope
		of the project activities. Based on the
		foregoing information, Dominion Due
		Diligence Group (D3G) proposes the
		following final determination of effects:
		consultation is not required for the proposed undertaking, due to the scope of the project activities. Based on the foregoing information, Dominion Due

Noise Abatement and Control Noise Control Act of 1972, as amended by the Quiet Communities Act of 1978; 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart	□ Yes ☑ No	There will be no historic properties or cultural resources affected by the proposed undertaking. To assist the Responsible Entity in making its historic preservation determination, D3G submitted a package of supporting information to the appropriate State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) for their review. According to a response dated April 21, 2025, the North Carolina 
		<b>C</b>
		project is in compliance with Section
	⊔ Yes ⊻ No	
В		295 feet from West Peace Street,
		approximately 870 feet from a Norfolk
		Southern railway, approximately 1,542
		feet from a CSXT railway, approximately 8.93 miles from Raleigh-Durham
		International Airport, approximately
		11.66 miles from Triple W Airport-5w5,
		and approximately 11.95 miles from
		W17 - Raleigh East Airport. There are no
		additional major roads within 1,000
		feet, railways within 3,000 feet, or civil
		or military airports within fifteen (15)
		miles that would be considered noise sources to the subject property.
		However, according to HUD guidelines,
		However, according to HUD guidelines, a noise analysis is not necessary for a
		However, according to HUD guidelines, a noise analysis is not necessary for a disposition. The project is in compliance
Sole Source Aquifers	□ Yes ☑ No	However, according to HUD guidelines, a noise analysis is not necessary for a

	I	
amended, particularly section		unlikely to have an adverse impact on
1424(e); 40 CFR Part 149		groundwater resources. In addition,
		according to the Sole Source Aquifer
		layer obtained from EPA NEPAssist,
		accessed at
		http://nepassisttool.epa.gov/nepassist/
		entry.aspx, the subject property is not
		located within the boundaries of a Sole
		Source Aquifer. Therefore, the proposed
		undertaking has no potential to impact
		a Sole Source Aquifer and no mitigation
		measures nor further investigations are
		warranted. The project is in compliance
		with Sole Source Aquifer requirements.
Wetlands Protection	🗆 Yes 🗹 No	According to the USFWS National
Executive Order 11990, particularly		Wetlands Inventory Layer accessed at
sections 2 and 5		http://nepassisttool.epa.gov/nepassist/
		entry.aspx, there are no mapped
		wetland areas on the subject property.
		Based on the highly-developed nature
		of the subject property and visual
		observations during the site
		investigation performed by D3G, there
		are no suspected wetland areas present
		at the subject property. Therefore, the
		proposed undertaking will be in
		compliance with Executive Order (EO)
		11990, Protection of Wetlands, as well
		as the requirements of Federal Register
		24 CFR Parts 50, 55 and 58. The project
		is in compliance with Executive Order
		11990.
Wild and Scenic Rivers Act	🗆 Yes 🗹 No	According to the National Wild and
Wild and Scenic Rivers Act of 1968,		Scenic Rivers website accessed at
particularly section 7(b) and (c)		https://www.rivers.gov/map.php, there
		are no Wild and Scenic Rivers in the
		vicinity of the subject property. In
		addition, according to the Nationwide
		Rivers Inventory list accessed at
		https://www.nps.gov/subjects/rivers/na
		tionwide-rivers-inventory.htm, there are
		no North Carolina Rivers listed that are
		upstream or downstream of the subject
		property within one (1) mile. Therefore,
		the proposed undertaking has no
		potential to impact these resources and

		no mitigation measures nor further investigations are warranted. The project is in compliance with the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act.			
HUD H	HUD HOUSING ENVIRONMENTAL STANDARDS				
ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE					
Environmental Justice Executive Order 12898	□ Yes ☑ No	With the release of Executive Order 14173 - Ending Illegal Discrimination and Restoring Merit-Based Opportunity, dated January 21, 2025, which included the rescission of Executive Order 12898 - Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations, dated February 11, 1994, an evaluation of Environmental Justice is no longer warranted.			

# Mitigation Measures and Conditions [40 CFR 1505.2(c)]:

Summarized below are all mitigation measures adopted by the Responsible Entity to reduce, avoid or eliminate adverse environmental impacts and to avoid non-compliance or non-conformance with the above-listed authorities and factors. These measures/conditions must be incorporated into project contracts, development agreements and other relevant documents. The staff responsible for implementing and monitoring mitigation measures should be clearly identified in the mitigation plan.

Law,	Mitigation Measure or Condition	Comments on	Mitigation	Complete
Authority,		Completed	Plan	
or Factor		Measures		

Project Mitigation Plan

Supporting documentation on completed measures

# **APPENDIX A: Related Federal Laws and Authorities**

# **Airport Hazards**

General policy	Legislation	Regulation
It is HUD's policy to apply standards to		24 CFR Part 51 Subpart D
prevent incompatible development		
around civil airports and military airfields.		

**1.** To ensure compatible land use development, you must determine your site's proximity to civil and military airports. Is your project within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civilian airport?

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload the map showing that the site is not within the applicable distances to a military or civilian airport below

Yes

#### Screen Summary

#### **Compliance Determination**

According to Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) information accessed at https://oeaaa.faa.gov/oeaaa/external/searchAction.jsp?action=showCircleSearchAirp ortsForm and http://nepassisttool.epa.gov/nepassist/entry.aspx, there are no civil airport runways within 2,500 feet and no military airports within 15,000 feet of the subject property. As such, the proposed action is in compliance with Airport Hazard regulations and no mitigation measures nor further investigations are warranted. The project is in compliance with Airport Hazards requirements.

#### Supporting documentation

# Airport Hazards.pdf

#### Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

# **Coastal Barrier Resources**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD financial assistance may not be	Coastal Barrier Resources Act	
used for most activities in units of the	(CBRA) of 1982, as amended by	
Coastal Barrier Resources System	the Coastal Barrier Improvement	
(CBRS). See 16 USC 3504 for limitations	Act of 1990 (16 USC 3501)	
on federal expenditures affecting the		
CBRS.		

# 1. Is the project located in a CBRS Unit?

✓ No

Document and upload map and documentation below.

Yes

## Screen Summary

## **Compliance Determination**

According to Coastal Barrier Resource Area information accessed at http://www.fws.gov/CBRA/Maps/index.html, the subject property is not located within a coastal barrier. Therefore, the project is in compliance with HUD's Coastal Barrier Resource Systems regulations and no mitigation is warranted. Therefore, this project has no potential to impact a CBRS Unit and is in compliance with the Coastal Barrier Resources Act.

#### Supporting documentation

# Coastal Barrier Resources.pdf

# Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

- Yes
- ✓ No

# **Flood Insurance**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Certain types of federal financial assistance may not be	Flood Disaster	24 CFR 50.4(b)(1)
used in floodplains unless the community participates	Protection Act of 1973	and 24 CFR 58.6(a)
in National Flood Insurance Program and flood	as amended (42 USC	and (b); 24 CFR
insurance is both obtained and maintained.	4001-4128)	55.1(b).

# 1. Does this project involve <u>financial assistance for construction, rehabilitation, or</u> <u>acquisition of a mobile home, building, or insurable personal property</u>?

No. This project does not require flood insurance or is excepted from flood insurance.

✓ Yes

# 2. Upload a FEMA/FIRM map showing the site here:

FEMA FIRM.pdf No Preliminary or Pending FIRMs.pdf

The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) designates floodplains. The <u>FEMA</u> <u>Map Service Center</u> provides this information in the form of FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs). For projects in areas not mapped by FEMA, use the best available information to determine floodplain information. Include documentation, including a discussion of why this is the best available information for the site. Provide FEMA/FIRM floodplain zone designation, panel number, and date within your documentation.

## Is the structure, part of the structure, or insurable property located in a FEMAdesignated Special Flood Hazard Area?

🗸 No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

4. While flood insurance is not mandatory for this project, HUD strongly recommends that all insurable structures maintain flood insurance under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). Will flood insurance be required as a mitigation measure or condition?

Yes

✓ No

## Screen Summary

#### **Compliance Determination**

According to FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) #372017-0400K, dated July 19, 2022, the subject property is located in Unshaded Zone X, designated as an area outside the 100 and 500-year flood zones and the flood potential for the subject property is minimal. According to the FEMA Flood Map Service Center accessed at https://msc.fema.gov/portal/home, there are no preliminary or pending FIRMs for the subject property. According to the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) Community Status Book accessed at https://www.fema.gov/flood-insurance/work-with-nfip/community-status-book, the subject property is located in Community ID #370243Nwhich is a participating community in the NFIP. However, as no structures or insurable property are located within a Special Flood Hazard Area (100-year flood zone), flood insurance is not required under the NFIP. While flood insurance may not be mandatory in this instance, HUD recommends that all insurable structures maintain flood insurance under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). The project is in compliance with flood insurance requirements.

#### Supporting documentation

NFIP Community Status Book.pdf

#### Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

# Air Quality

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Clean Air Act is administered	Clean Air Act (42 USC 7401 et	40 CFR Parts 6, 51
by the U.S. Environmental	seq.) as amended particularly	and 93
Protection Agency (EPA), which	Section 176(c) and (d) (42 USC	
sets national standards on	7506(c) and (d))	
ambient pollutants. In addition,		
the Clean Air Act is administered		
by States, which must develop		
State Implementation Plans (SIPs)		
to regulate their state air quality.		
Projects funded by HUD must		
demonstrate that they conform		
to the appropriate SIP.		

# **1.** Does your project include new construction or conversion of land use facilitating the development of public, commercial, or industrial facilities OR five or more dwelling units?

Yes

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

# Screen Summary

# **Compliance Determination**

According to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Green Book County-Level Multi-Pollutant Information accessed at

http://www.epa.gov/airquality/greenbk/ancl.html and the EPA NEPAssist tool accessed at http://nepassisttool.epa.gov/nepassist/entry.aspx, the subject property is not located within a Non-Attainment or Maintenance Area of the State of North Carolina. Therefore, the proposed undertaking will be in compliance with Clean Air Regulations and the State Implementation Plan, and no mitigation measures nor further investigations are warranted. The project is in compliance with the Clean Air Act.

# Supporting documentation

# Air Quality.pdf

# Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

- Yes
- ✓ No

# **Coastal Zone Management Act**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Federal assistance to applicant	Coastal Zone Management	15 CFR Part 930
agencies for activities affecting	Act (16 USC 1451-1464),	
any coastal use or resource is	particularly section 307(c)	
granted only when such	and (d) (16 USC 1456(c) and	
activities are consistent with	(d))	
federally approved State		
Coastal Zone Management Act		
Plans.		

# 1. Is the project located in, or does it affect, a Coastal Zone as defined in your state Coastal Management Plan?

Yes

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload all documents used to make your determination below.

# Screen Summary

#### **Compliance Determination**

According to the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Office for Coastal Management (OCM) accessed at https://coast.noaa.gov/czm/mystate/, Wake County is not located within a Coastal Management Zone. Therefore, the proposed undertaking has no potential to impact a Coastal Management Zone and no mitigation measures nor further investigations are warranted. The project is in compliance with the Coastal Zone Management Act.

#### Supporting documentation

# Coastal Zone Management.pdf

# Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

# **Contamination and Toxic Substances**

General Requirements	Legislation	Regulations
It is HUD policy that all properties that are being		24 CFR
proposed for use in HUD programs be free of		58.5(i)(2)
hazardous materials, contamination, toxic		24 CFR 50.3(i)
chemicals and gases, and radioactive substances,		
where a hazard could affect the health and safety of		
the occupants or conflict with the intended		
utilization of the property.		
Reference		
https://www.onecpd.info/environmental-review/site-contamination		

# 1. How was site contamination evaluated?\* Select all that apply.

✓ ASTM Phase I ESA

ASTM Phase II ESA

Remediation or clean-up plan

✓ ASTM Vapor Encroachment Screening.

None of the above

\* HUD regulations at 24 CFR § 58.5(i)(2)(ii) require that the environmental review for multifamily housing with five or more dwelling units or non-residential property include the evaluation of previous uses of the site or other evidence of contamination on or near the site. For acquisition and new construction of multifamily and nonresidential properties HUD strongly advises the review include an ASTM Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) to meet real estate transaction standards of due diligence and to help ensure compliance with HUD's toxic policy at 24 CFR §58.5(i) and 24 CFR §50.3(i). Also note that some HUD programs require an ASTM Phase I ESA.

# 2. Were any on-site or nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive substances\* (excluding radon) found that could affect the health and safety of project occupants or conflict with the intended use of the property? (Were any recognized environmental conditions or RECs identified in a Phase I ESA and confirmed in a Phase II ESA?)

Provide a map or other documentation of absence or presence of contamination\*\* and explain evaluation of site contamination in the Screen Summary at the bottom of this screen.

✓ No

Explain:

Dominion Due Diligence Group performed a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) in conformance with the scope and limitations of ASTM Practice E1527-21 of the 603 North Boylan Avenue located at 603 North Boylan Avenue in Raleigh, Wake County, North Carolina (subject property). Any exceptions to, or deletions from, this practice are described in Section 2.4 of the ESA. This assessment has revealed no evidence of recognized environmental conditions (RECs), controlled recognized environmental conditions (CRECs), or significant data gaps in connection with the subject property.

#### Yes

\* This question covers the presence of radioactive substances excluding radon. Radon is addressed in the Radon Exempt Question.

\*\* Utilize EPA's Enviromapper, NEPAssist, or state/tribal databases to identify nearby dumps, junk yards, landfills, hazardous waste sites, and industrial sites, including EPA National Priorities List Sites (Superfund sites), CERCLA or state-equivalent sites, RCRA Corrective Action sites with release(s) or suspected release(s) requiring clean-up action and/or further investigation. Additional supporting documentation may include other inspections and reports.

# 3. Evaluate the building(s) for radon. Do all buildings meet any of the exemptions\* from having to consider radon in the contamination analysis listed in CPD Notice <u>CPD-23-103</u>?

Yes

Explain:

✓ No

\* Notes:

• Buildings with no enclosed areas having ground contact.

• Buildings containing crawlspaces, utility tunnels, or parking garages would not be exempt, however buildings built on piers would be exempt, provided that there is open air between the lowest floor of the building and the ground.

• Buildings that are not residential and will not be occupied for more than 4 hours per day.

• Buildings with existing radon mitigation systems - document radon levels are below 4 pCi/L with test results dated within two years of submitting the application for HUD assistance and document the system includes an ongoing maintenance plan that includes periodic testing to ensure the system continues to meet the current EPA recommended levels. If the project does not require an application, document test results dated within two years of the date the environmental review is certified. Refer to program office guidance to ensure compliance with

program requirements.

• Buildings tested within five years of the submission of application for HUD assistance: test results document indoor radon levels are below current the EPA's recommended action levels of 4.0 pCi/L. For buildings with test data older than five years, any new environmental review must include a consideration of radon using one of the methods in Section A below.

# 4. Is the proposed project new construction or substantial rehabilitation where testing will be conducted but cannot yet occur because building construction has not been completed?

Yes

Compliance with this section is conditioned on post-construction testing being conducted, followed by mitigation, if needed. Radon test results, along with any needed mitigation plan, must be uploaded to the mitigation section within this screen.

✓ No

5. Was radon testing or a scientific data review conducted that provided a radon concentration level in pCi/L?

Yes

✓ No

If no testing was conducted and a review of science-based data offered a lack of science-based data for the project site, then document and upload the steps taken to look for documented test results and science-based data as well as the basis for the conclusion that testing would be infeasible or impracticable.

Explain:

The subject property is located in an EPA Radon Zone 2, designated as an area of moderate radon gas potential with an average indoor radon level between 2 and 4 picocuries per liter (pCi/L) of air. The subject property consists of parking lots that are proposed for disposition.

File Upload:

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Screen Summary at the bottom of this screen.

Non-radon contamination was found in a previous question.

#### Screen Summary

#### **Compliance Determination**

Dominion Due Diligence Group performed a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) in conformance with the scope and limitations of ASTM Practice E1527-21 of the 603 North Boylan Avenue located at 603 North Boylan Avenue in Raleigh, Wake County, North Carolina (subject property). Any exceptions to, or deletions from, this practice are described in Section 2.4 of the ESA. This assessment has revealed no evidence of recognized environmental conditions (RECs), controlled recognized environmental conditions (CRECs), or significant data gaps in connection with the subject property at 603 North Boylan Avenue and no mitigation measures nor further investigations are warranted. In addition, D3G evaluated the following ASTM Non-Scope Considerations, including, but not limited to, asbestos-containing materials (ACMs), lead-based paint (LBP), and radon gas. As outlined within the Phase I ESA, the following discussions pertaining to ACMs, LBP, and radon gas are applicable to the subject property. Asbestos-Containing Materials (ACMs) The subject property consists of parking lots that are proposed for disposition with no man-made structures. Therefore, ACMs are not a concern at the subject property. Lead-Based Paint (LBP) The subject property consists of parking lots that are proposed for disposition with no man-made structures. Therefore, LBP is not a concern at the subject property. Radon Gas The subject property is located in an EPA Radon Zone 2, designated as an area of moderate radon gas potential with an average indoor radon level between 2 and 4 picocuries per liter (pCi/L) of air. The subject property consists of parking lots that are proposed for disposition. The project is in compliance with contamination and toxic substances requirements.

#### Supporting documentation

Phase I ESA Glenwood Towers 603 N Boylan Avenue and 616 Tucker Street.pdf

# Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

- Yes
- ✓ No

# **Endangered Species**

General requirements	ESA Legislation	Regulations
Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act (ESA)	The Endangered	50 CFR Part
mandates that federal agencies ensure that	Species Act of 1973	402
actions that they authorize, fund, or carry out	(16 U.S.C. 1531 et	
shall not jeopardize the continued existence of	seq.); particularly	
federally listed plants and animals or result in	section 7 (16 USC	
the adverse modification or destruction of	1536).	
designated critical habitat. Where their actions		
may affect resources protected by the ESA,		
agencies must consult with the Fish and Wildlife		
Service and/or the National Marine Fisheries		
Service ("FWS" and "NMFS" or "the Services").		

# **1.** Does the project involve any activities that have the potential to affect specifies or habitats?

✓ No, the project will have No Effect due to the nature of the activities involved in the project.

> This selection is only appropriate if none of the activities involved in the project have potential to affect species or habitats. Examples of actions without potential to affect listed species may include: purchasing existing buildings, completing interior renovations to existing buildings, and replacing exterior paint or siding on existing buildings.

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

No, the project will have No Effect based on a letter of understanding, memorandum of agreement, programmatic agreement, or checklist provided by local HUD office

Yes, the activities involved in the project have the potential to affect species and/or habitats.

# Screen Summary

# **Compliance Determination**

D3G obtained a Trust Resources Report for the subject property using the USFWS Information for Planning and Consultation (IPaC) website accessed at https://ecos.fws.gov/ipac/. According to the Report, seven (7) federally listed species have the potential to be present within the project area. The subject property is undergoing a disposition through HUD SAC. As there are no tree-clearing or land-

clearing activities proposed, the proposed activities have no potential to affect species or habitats. Therefore, the proposed undertaking will have No Effect on federallylisted species or critical habitats and consultation with the USFWS is not required under Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act. This project is in compliance with the Endangered Species Act.

#### Supporting documentation

# Endangered Species Act.pdf

#### Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

# **Explosive and Flammable Hazards**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD-assisted projects must meet	N/A	24 CFR Part 51
Acceptable Separation Distance (ASD)		Subpart C
requirements to protect them from		
explosive and flammable hazards.		

**1.** Is the proposed HUD-assisted project itself the development of a hazardous facility (a facility that mainly stores, handles or processes flammable or combustible chemicals such as bulk fuel storage facilities and refineries)?

✓ No

Yes

2. Does this project include any of the following activities: development, construction, rehabilitation that will increase residential densities, or conversion?

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

#### Screen Summary

#### **Compliance Determination**

According to 24 CFR Part 51, Subpart C - Siting of HUD-Assisted Projects Near Hazardous Operations Handling Conventional Fuels or Chemicals of an Explosive or Flammable Nature, a HUD-assisted project involves the development, construction, rehabilitation or modernization involving an increase in residential unit densities, or conversion of any project that is intended for residential, institutional, recreational, commercial, or industrial uses. Based on the activities involved in the proposed undertaking (disposition through HUD SAC), the project is not considered a HUDassisted project and compliance with 24 CFR Part 51, Subpart C is not warranted. Therefore, the project is in compliance with HUD's Explosive and Flammable Hazards requirements. The project is in compliance with explosive and flammable hazard requirements.

# Supporting documentation

## Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

# **Farmlands Protection**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Farmland Protection	Farmland Protection Policy	7 CFR Part 658
Policy Act (FPPA) discourages	Act of 1981 (7 U.S.C. 4201	
federal activities that would	et seq.)	
convert farmland to		
nonagricultural purposes.		

1. Does your project include any activities, including new construction, acquisition of undeveloped land or conversion, that could convert agricultural land to a non-agricultural use?

Yes

✓ No

If your project includes new construction, acquisition of undeveloped land or conversion, explain how you determined that agricultural land would not be converted:

The proposed undertaking involves a disposition through HUD SAC with no proposed new construction or land conversion activities. Therefore, the proposed undertaking is does not involve the conversion of agricultural land and is not subject to the provisions of the Farmlands Protection Policy Act.

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload all documents used to make your determination below.

# Screen Summary

# **Compliance Determination**

The proposed undertaking involves a disposition through HUD SAC with no proposed new construction or land conversion activities. Therefore, the proposed undertaking is does not involve the conversion of agricultural land and is not subject to the provisions of the Farmlands Protection Policy Act. In addition, according to the U.S. Census Bureau Urbanized Area Map, accessed at

http://tigerweb.geo.census.gov/tigerweb/, the subject property is located within an urbanized area; therefore, the subject property is already in an area committed to urban development and is exempt from compliance with the Farmland Protection Policy Act per 7 CFR Part 658.2. Therefore, the proposed undertaking has no potential to convert important farmland and no mitigation measures nor further compliance steps are warranted. The project is in compliance with the Farmland Protection Policy Act.

## Supporting documentation

# Farmlands Protection.pdf

# Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

# **Floodplain Management**

General Requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Executive Order 11988,	Executive Order 11988	24 CFR 55
Floodplain Management,	* Executive Order 13690	
requires Federal activities to	* 42 USC 4001-4128	
avoid impacts to floodplains	* 42 USC 5154a	
and to avoid direct and	* only applies to screen 2047	
indirect support of floodplain	and not 2046	
development to the extent		
practicable.		

# 1. Does this project meet an exemption at 24 CFR 55.12 from compliance with HUD's floodplain management regulations in Part 55?

Yes

(a) HUD-assisted activities described in 24 CFR 58.34 and 58.35(b).

(b) HUD-assisted activities described in 24 CFR 50.19, except as otherwise indicated in § 50.19.

(c) The approval of financial assistance for restoring and preserving the natural and beneficial functions and values of floodplains and wetlands, including through acquisition of such floodplain and wetland property, where a permanent covenant or comparable restriction is place on the property's continued use for flood control, wetland projection, open space, or park land, but only if:

(1) The property is cleared of all existing buildings and walled structures; and

(2) The property is cleared of related improvements except those which:

(i) Are directly related to flood control, wetland protection, open space, or park land (including playgrounds and recreation areas);

(ii) Do not modify existing wetland areas or involve fill, paving, or other ground disturbance beyond minimal trails or paths; and

(iii) Are designed to be compatible with the beneficial floodplain or wetland function of the property.

(d) An action involving a repossession, receivership, foreclosure, or similar acquisition of property to protect or enforce HUD's financial interests under previously approved loans, grants, mortgage insurance, or other HUD assistance.

(e) Policy-level actions described at 24 CFR 50.16 that do not involve site-based decisions.

(f) A minor amendment to a previously approved action with no additional adverse impact on or from a floodplain or wetland.

(g) HUD's or the responsible entity's approval of a project site, an incidental portion of which is situated in the FFRMS floodplain (not including the floodway, LiMWA, or coastal high hazard area) but only if: (1) The proposed project site does not include any existing or proposed buildings or improvements that modify or occupy the FFRMS floodplain except de minimis improvements such as recreation areas and trails; and (2) the proposed project will not result in any new construction in or modifications of a wetland .

(h) Issuance or use of Housing Vouchers, or other forms of rental subsidy where HUD, the awarding community, or the public housing agency that administers the contract awards rental subsidies that are not project-based (i.e., do not involve site-specific subsidies).

(i) Special projects directed to the removal of material and architectural barriers that restrict the mobility of and accessibility to elderly and persons with disabilities.

Describe:

✓ No

2. Does the project include a Critical Action? Examples of Critical Actions include projects involving hospitals, fire and police stations, nursing homes, hazardous chemical storage, storage of valuable records, and utility plants.

Yes

Describe:

🗸 No

**3.** Determine the extent of the FFRMS floodplain and provide mapping documentation in support of that determination

The extent of the FFRMS floodplain can be determined using a Climate Informed Science Approach (CISA), 0.2 percent flood approach (0.2 PFA), or freeboard value approach (FVA). For projects in areas without available CISA data or without FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs), Flood Insurance Studies (FISs) or Advisory Base Flood Elevations (ABFEs), use the best available information<sup>1</sup> to determine flood elevation. Include documentation and an explanation of why this is the best available information<sup>2</sup> for the site. Note that newly constructed and substantially improved<sup>3</sup> structures must be elevated to the FFRMS floodplain regardless of the approach chosen to determine the floodplain.

Select one of the following three options:

CISA for non-critical actions. If using a local tool , data, or resources, ensure that the FFRMS elevation is higher than would have been determined using the 0.2 PFA or the FVA.

 ✓ 0.2-PFA. Where FEMA has defined the 0.2-percent-annual-chance floodplain, the FFRMS floodplain is the area that FEMA has designated as within the 0.2-percent-annual-chance floodplain.

FVA. If neither CISA nor 0.2-PFA is available, for non-critical actions, the FFRMS floodplain is the area that results from adding two feet to the base flood elevation as established by the effective FIRM or FIS or — if available — a FEMA-provided preliminary or pending FIRM or FIS or advisory base flood elevations, whether regulatory or informational in nature. However, an interim or preliminary FEMA map cannot be used if it is lower than the current FIRM or FIS.

<sup>1</sup> Sources which merit investigation include the files and studies of other federal agencies, such as the U. S. Army Corps of Engineers, the Tennessee Valley Authority, the Soil Conservation Service and the U. S. Geological Survey. These agencies have prepared flood hazard studies for several thousand localities and, through their technical assistance programs, hydrologic studies, soil surveys, and other investigations have collected or developed other floodplain information for numerous sites and areas. States and communities are also sources of information on past flood 'experiences within their boundaries and are particularly knowledgeable about areas subject to high-risk flood hazards such as alluvial fans, high velocity flows, mudflows and mudslides, ice jams, subsidence and liquefaction.

<sup>2</sup> If you are using best available information, select the FVA option below and provide supporting documentation in the screen summary. Contact your <u>local environmental officer</u> with additional compliance questions.

<sup>3</sup> Substantial improvement means any repair or improvement of a structure which costs at least 50 percent of the market value of the structure before repair or improvement or results in an increase of more than 20 percent of the number of dwelling units. The full definition can be found at 24 CFR 55.2(b)(12).

5. Does your project occur in the FFRMS floodplain?

Yes

✓ No

## Screen Summary

#### **Compliance Determination**

According to FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) #372017-0400K, dated July 19, 2022, the subject property is located in Unshaded Zone X, designated as an area outside the 100- and 500-year floodplain. According to the FEMA Flood Map Service Center accessed at https://msc.fema.gov/portal/home, there are no preliminary or pending FIRMs for the subject property. In order to determine the Federal Flood Risk Management Standard (FFRMS) floodplain for this project, the nearest flooding sources were evaluated to identify whether the associated 500-year floodplain has been mapped/defined by FEMA, thus allowing the project to utilize the 0.2% Annual Chance Flood Approach (0.2PFA). The nearest flooding sources is the Pigeon House Branch approximately 1,417 feet to the northeast for which the 500-year floodplain has been mapped/defined. Therefore, the extent of the FFRMS floodplain via 0.2PFA corresponds to the mapped/defined 500-year floodplain, which does not extend anywhere near the subject property. Therefore, no mitigation is required and the property is in compliance with HUD's Floodplain Management regulations. The project is in compliance with Executive Orders 11988 and 13690.

#### Supporting documentation

# FFRMS Assessment and Documentation.pdf

#### Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

# **Historic Preservation**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Regulations under	Section 106 of the	36 CFR 800 "Protection of Historic
Section 106 of the	National Historic	Properties"
National Historic	Preservation Act	https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/CF
Preservation Act	(16 U.S.C. 470f)	R-2012-title36-vol3/pdf/CFR-2012-title36-
(NHPA) require a		vol3-part800.pdf
consultative process		
to identify historic		
properties, assess		
project impacts on		
them, and avoid,		
minimize, or mitigate		
adverse effects		

## Threshold

## Is Section 106 review required for your project?

No, because the project consists solely of activities listed as exempt in a Programmatic Agreement (PA). (See the PA Database to find applicable PAs.) No, because the project consists solely of activities included in a No Potential to Cause Effects memo or other determination [36 CFR 800.3(a)(1)].

 ✓ Yes, because the project includes activities with potential to cause effects (direct or indirect).

#### Step 1 – Initiate Consultation Select all consulting parties below (check all that apply):

✓ State Historic Preservation Offer (SHPO) Completed

Indian Tribes, including Tribal Historic Preservation Officers (THPOs) or Native Hawaiian Organizations (NHOs)

**Other Consulting Parties** 

#### Describe the process of selecting consulting parties and initiating consultation here:

Federal undertakings require the Responsible Entity (RE) to make a determination of no effect, no adverse effect, or adverse effect upon historic properties. To assist the RE in making its historic preservation determination, D3G submitted a package of supporting information to the appropriate State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) for their review. Per CPD-12-006 Appendix A, tribal consultation is not required for the proposed undertaking, due to the scope of the project activities.

Document and upload all correspondence, notices and notes (including comments and objections received below).

#### Was the Section 106 Lender Delegation Memo used for Section 106 consultation?

Yes No

#### Step 2 – Identify and Evaluate Historic Properties

 Define the Area of Potential Effect (APE), either by entering the address(es) or uploading a map depicting the APE below: The Area of Potential Effects is limited to the subject property, as delineated on the attached map.

In the chart below, list historic properties identified and evaluated in the APE. Every historic property that may be affected by the project should be included in the chart.

Upload the documentation (survey forms, Register nominations, concurrence(s) and/or objection(s), notes, and photos) that justify your National Register Status determination below.

Address / Location	National Register	SHPO Concurrence	Sensitive
/ District	Status		Information

#### Additional Notes:

None.

2. Was a survey of historic buildings and/or archeological sites done as part of the project?

Yes

✓ No

#### Step 3 –Assess Effects of the Project on Historic Properties

Only properties that are listed on or eligible for the National Register of Historic Places receive further consideration under Section 106. Assess the effect(s) of the project by applying the Criteria of Adverse Effect. (<u>36 CFR 800.5</u>)] Consider direct and indirect effects as applicable as per guidance on <u>direct and indirect effects</u>.

# Choose one of the findings below - No Historic Properties Affected, No Adverse Effect, or Adverse Effect; and seek concurrence from consulting parties.

✓ No Historic Properties Affected

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload concurrence(s) or objection(s) below.

#### **Document reason for finding:**

✓ No historic properties present.

Historic properties present, but project will have no effect upon them.

No Adverse Effect

Adverse Effect

#### Screen Summary

#### **Compliance Determination**

The subject property consists of a vacant parking lot and is situated on approximately 0.45 acres of land. The Sponsor is submitting this project to the HUD Special Applications Center (SAC), consisting of the disposition of the parking lot. A review of the National Register of Historic Places and the North Carolina State Historic Preservation Office HPOWEB GIS Service Map, accessed at https://nc.maps.arcgis.com/home/item.html?id=d2d04d8d7e03403f889419526e6825 29, indicates that the subject property is not listed on the National Register of Historic Places; is not located within, or adjacent to, a Historic District; and is not listed as a local landmark. In addition, as there are no ground-disturbing activities proposed, the project has no potential to impact archaeological resources. Per HUD CPD-12-006 Appendix A, tribal consultation is not required for the proposed undertaking, due to

the scope of the project activities. Based on the foregoing information, Dominion Due Diligence Group (D3G) proposes the following final determination of effects: There will be no historic properties or cultural resources affected by the proposed undertaking. To assist the Responsible Entity in making its historic preservation determination, D3G submitted a package of supporting information to the appropriate State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) for their review. According to a response dated April 21, 2025, the North Carolina SHPO confirmed that there will be no historic properties affected by the proposed undertaking. As there are no ground-disturbing activities proposed and the proposed undertaking has no potential to impact archaeological/cultural resources, per CPD-12-006 Appendix A, consultation with the Tribal Historic Preservation Officers (THPOs) is not warranted. The project is in compliance with Section 106.

## Supporting documentation

SHPO Submittal Package.pdf SHPO Response.pdf

#### Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

# **Noise Abatement and Control**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD's noise regulations protect	Noise Control Act of 1972	Title 24 CFR 51
residential properties from		Subpart B
excessive noise exposure. HUD	General Services Administration	
encourages mitigation as	Federal Management Circular	
appropriate.	75-2: "Compatible Land Uses at	
	Federal Airfields"	

# 1. What activities does your project involve? Check all that apply:

New construction for residential use

Rehabilitation of an existing residential property

A research demonstration project which does not result in new construction or reconstruction

An interstate land sales registration

Any timely emergency assistance under disaster assistance provision or appropriations which are provided to save lives, protect property, protect public health and safety, remove debris and wreckage, or assistance that has the effect of restoring facilities substantially as they existed prior to the disaster

✓ None of the above

# <u>Screen Summary</u>

# **Compliance Determination**

The subject property is located approximately 24 feet from North Boylan Avenue, approximately 506 feet from Glenwood Avenue, approximately 295 feet from West Peace Street, approximately 870 feet from a Norfolk Southern railway, approximately 1,542 feet from a CSXT railway, approximately 8.93 miles from Raleigh-Durham International Airport, approximately 11.66 miles from Triple W Airport-5w5, and approximately 11.95 miles from W17 - Raleigh East Airport. There are no additional major roads within 1,000 feet, railways within 3,000 feet, or civil or military airports within fifteen (15) miles that would be considered noise sources to the subject property. However, according to HUD guidelines, a noise analysis is not necessary for a disposition. The project is in compliance with HUD's Noise regulation.

#### Supporting documentation

Noise Abatement and Control.pdf

#### Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

# **Sole Source Aquifers**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974	Safe Drinking Water	40 CFR Part 149
protects drinking water systems	Act of 1974 (42 U.S.C.	
which are the sole or principal	201, 300f et seq., and	
drinking water source for an area	21 U.S.C. 349)	
and which, if contaminated, would		
create a significant hazard to public		
health.		

**1.** Does the project consist solely of acquisition, leasing, or rehabilitation of an existing building(s)?

✓ Yes

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

No

## Screen Summary

#### Compliance Determination

Based on the project description, the project consists of activities that are unlikely to have an adverse impact on groundwater resources. In addition, according to the Sole Source Aquifer layer obtained from EPA NEPAssist, accessed at http://nepassisttool.epa.gov/nepassist/entry.aspx, the subject property is not located within the boundaries of a Sole Source Aquifer. Therefore, the proposed undertaking has no potential to impact a Sole Source Aquifer and no mitigation measures nor further investigations are warranted. The project is in compliance with Sole Source Aquifer requirements.

#### Supporting documentation

# Sole Source Aquifers.pdf

#### Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

# Wetlands Protection

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Executive Order 11990 discourages direct or	Executive Order	24 CFR 55.20 can be
indirect support of new construction impacting	11990	used for general
wetlands wherever there is a practicable		guidance regarding
alternative. The Fish and Wildlife Service's		the 8 Step Process.
National Wetlands Inventory can be used as a		
primary screening tool, but observed or known		
wetlands not indicated on NWI maps must also		
be processed Off-site impacts that result in		
draining, impounding, or destroying wetlands		
must also be processed.		

1. Does this project involve new construction as defined in Executive Order 11990, expansion of a building's footprint, or ground disturbance? The term "new construction" shall include draining, dredging, channelizing, filling, diking, impounding, and related activities and any structures or facilities begun or authorized after the effective date of the Order

## ✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

# Screen Summary

#### Compliance Determination

According to the USFWS National Wetlands Inventory Layer accessed at http://nepassisttool.epa.gov/nepassist/entry.aspx, there are no mapped wetland areas on the subject property. Based on the highly-developed nature of the subject property and visual observations during the site investigation performed by D3G, there are no suspected wetland areas present at the subject property. Therefore, the proposed undertaking will be in compliance with Executive Order (EO) 11990, Protection of Wetlands, as well as the requirements of Federal Register 24 CFR Parts 50, 55 and 58. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 11990.

# Supporting documentation

# Wetlands Protection.pdf

# Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

- Yes
- ✓ No

# Wild and Scenic Rivers Act

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Wild and Scenic Rivers Act	The Wild and Scenic Rivers	36 CFR Part 297
provides federal protection for	Act (16 U.S.C. 1271-1287),	
certain free-flowing, wild, scenic	particularly section 7(b) and	
and recreational rivers	(c) (16 U.S.C. 1278(b) and (c))	
designated as components or		
potential components of the		
National Wild and Scenic Rivers		
System (NWSRS) from the effects		
of construction or development.		

# 1. Is your project within proximity of a NWSRS river?

## ✓ No

Yes, the project is in proximity of a Designated Wild and Scenic River or Study Wild and Scenic River.

Yes, the project is in proximity of a Nationwide Rivers Inventory (NRI) River.

## Screen Summary

#### **Compliance Determination**

According to the National Wild and Scenic Rivers website accessed at https://www.rivers.gov/map.php, there are no Wild and Scenic Rivers in the vicinity of the subject property. In addition, according to the Nationwide Rivers Inventory list accessed at https://www.nps.gov/subjects/rivers/nationwide-rivers-inventory.htm, there are no North Carolina Rivers listed that are upstream or downstream of the subject property within one (1) mile. Therefore, the proposed undertaking has no potential to impact these resources and no mitigation measures nor further investigations are warranted. The project is in compliance with the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act.

#### Supporting documentation

# Wild and Scenic Rivers.pdf

#### Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

# **Environmental Justice**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Determine if the project	Executive Order 12898	
creates adverse environmental		
impacts upon a low-income or		
minority community. If it		
does, engage the community		
in meaningful participation		
about mitigating the impacts		
or move the project.		

HUD strongly encourages starting the Environmental Justice analysis only after all other laws and authorities, including Environmental Assessment factors if necessary, have been completed.

**1.** Were any adverse environmental impacts identified in any other compliance review portion of this project's total environmental review?

- Yes
- ✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

#### Screen Summary

#### Compliance Determination

With the release of Executive Order 14173 - Ending Illegal Discrimination and Restoring Merit-Based Opportunity, dated January 21, 2025, which included the rescission of Executive Order 12898 - Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations, dated February 11, 1994, an evaluation of Environmental Justice is no longer warranted.

#### Supporting documentation

# Executive Order 14173.pdf

#### Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes