U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development 451 Seventh Street, SW Washington, DC 20410 www.hud.gov

espanol.hud.gov

Environmental Assessment Determinations and Compliance Findings for HUD-assisted Projects 24 CFR Part 58

Project Information

Project Name: 5507-&-5511-Hillsborough-Street-Demolition----

HEROS Number: 900000010490962

Start Date: 08/15/2025

Responsible Entity (RE): RALEIGH, 222 W Hargett St Raleigh NC, 27601

RE Preparer: William Hartye

State / Local Identifier: NC

Certifying Officer: Janet Cowell

Grant Recipient (if different than Responsible Ent

ity):

Point of Contact:

Consultant (if applicable): TRC Companies, Inc.

Point of Contact: Ryan Sadler

40 CFR 1506.5(b)(4): The lead agency or, where appropriate, a cooperating agency shall prepare a disclosure statement for the contractor's execution specifying that the contractor has no financial or other interest in the outcome of the action. Such statement need not include privileged or confidential trade secrets or other confidential business information.

> ✓ By checking this box, I attest that as a preparer, I have no financial or other interest in the outcome of the undertaking assessed in this environmental review.

Project Location: 5507 Hillsborough Street, Raleigh, NC 27526

Additional Location Information:

5507 Hillsborough St, Raleigh, NC 27606 and 5511 Hillsborough St, Raleigh, NC 27606

Direct Comments to:

Description of the Proposed Project [24 CFR 50.12 & 58.32; 40 CFR 1508.25]:

The project proposes to demolish and remove two, vacant commercial office buildings and associated outbuildings as described below: The following information regarding the structures is sourced from Wake County iMAPs GIS: * The building occupying 5507 Hillsborough St. has a heated area of 3.934-square feet (sf) and is 95 years of age (constructed in 1930). The building is two-stories with an unfinished basement. * The building occupying 5511 Hillsborough St. has a heated area of 3,211 sf and is 75 years old (constructed in 1950). The building is one-story with no basement. Three sheds are present on the subject property. Shed #1, located southeast of the 5507 Hillsborough St. building is wood framed and approximately 400 square feet. Shed #2, located east of the 5511 Hillsborough St. building, is wood framed, and approximately 400 square feet. Shed #3 is located south of the 5511 Hillsborough St. building, is wood framed with sheet metal exterior, and is approximately 96 square feet. Sheds #1 and #2 appear to date to at least 1998 by the historical aerial photographs reviewed. Available aerial photographs do not provide enough detail to determine the age of Shed #3. The property is owned by the City of Raleigh and no land aquisition is required for this project.

Statement of Purpose and Need for the Proposal [40 CFR 1508.9(b)]:

There is a widely documented need for affordable housing in the City of Raleigh ("City") and throughout the United States. The City is committed to creating, preserving, and encouraging the development of affordable housing units in Raleigh. In 2016, the City established an aspirational goal to create 570 affordable housing units per year for 10 years, for a grand total of 5,700 housing units by 2026. So far, the City has created and preserved 3,848 affordable housing units, completing over half of the 10-year goal. In total, there are 7,149 affordable units built and/or being built since 2016, with 3,301 units currently in the pipeline. The City's efforts primarily serve low- and moderate-income (LMI) individuals and families. Income limits are set each year by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development and are based on the Area Median Income (AMI) per city or county. (https://raleighnc.gov/housing/services/affordable-housing-goals) This proposed

demolition project is the first of many steps in the planned construction of a future affordable housing project on this site and adjoining vacant property that the City also owns.

Existing Conditions and Trends [24 CFR 58.40(a)]:

The project proposes demolishing two vacant commercial office buildings and associated outbuildings. * The building occupying 5507 Hillsborough St. has a heated area of 3.934-square feet (sf) and was constructed in 1930 (95-years old). The building is two-stories with a basement. * The building occupying 5511 Hillsborough St. has a heated area of 3,211 sf and was constructed in 1950 \(75-years old). The building is 1-story with no basement. * Shed #1, located southeast of the 5507 Hillsborough St. building is wood framed and approximately 400 sf. Shed #2, located east of the 5511 Hillsborough St. building, is wood framed, and approximately 400 sf. Shed #3 is located south of the 5511 Hillsborough St. building, is wood framed with sheet metal exterior, and is approximately 96 sf. Sheds #1 and #2 appear to date to at least 1998 by the historical aerial photographs reviewed. Available aerial photographs do not provide enough detail to determine the age of Shed #3. The subject property was historically residential as early as 1938 and transitioned to commercial office space around the 1990s. Notable subject property tenants include a pest control company operating for approximately twenty years and a used car dealer. As early as 1938, surrounding areas to the northeast have been utilized for commercial/industrial use. During the 1950s through the 1980s, the surrounding areas to the north, west, and southwest began to fill in with commercial/industrial developments. The nearby and adjoining areas to the east and south are currently, and have historically been, residential.

Maps, photographs, and other documentation of project location and description:

FIG 1 - Site Vicinity - Hillsborough St Demo.pdf 25 0606 Photo Log.pdf

Determination:

✓	Finding of No Significant Impact [24 CFR 58.40(g)(1); 40 CFR 1508.13] The
	project will not result in a significant impact on the quality of human
	environment
	Finding of Significant Impact

Approval Documents:

7015.15 certified by Certifying Officer on:

5507-&-5511-Hillsborough-Street-Demolition----

7015.16 certified by Authorizing Officer

on:

Funding Information

Grant / Project Identification Number	HUD Program	Program Name	Funding Amount
B-26-MW-37-0009	Community Planning and Development (CPD)	Community Development Block Grants (CDBG) (Entitlement)	\$133,000.00

Estimated Total HUD Funded,

\$133,000.00

Assisted or Insured Amount:

Estimated Total Project Cost [24 CFR 58.2 (a) \$133,000.00 (5)]:

Compliance with 24 CFR §50.4, §58.5 and §58.6 Laws and Authorities

Compliance Factors: Statutes, Executive Orders, and Regulations listed at 24 CFR §50.4, §58.5, and §58.6	Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?	Compliance determination (See Appendix A for source determinations)
STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORD	DERS, AND REGULATIO	ONS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.6
Airport Hazards Clear Zones and Accident Potential Zones; 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart D	☐ Yes ☑ No	The subject property is not within a civil or military airport runway clear zone nor does the proposed project included in this funding request propose construction of any new structures or other site features that could impact local airports. The project site is not within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civilian airport. The project complies with Airport Hazards requirements.
Coastal Barrier Resources Act	☐ Yes ☑ No	This project is not located in a CBRS
Coastal Barrier Resources Act, as		Unit. Therefore, this project has no
amended by the Coastal Barrier		potential to impact a CBRS Unit and is in

Improvement Act of 1990 [16 USC			compliance with the Coastal Barrier
3501]			Resources Act.
Flood Insurance	☐ Yes	☑ No	Based on the project description the
Flood Disaster Protection Act of			project includes no activities that would
1973 and National Flood Insurance			require further evaluation under this
Reform Act of 1994 [42 USC 4001-			section. The project does not require
4128 and 42 USC 5154a]			flood insurance or is excepted from
			flood insurance. While flood insurance
			may not be mandatory in this instance,
			HUD recommends that all insurable
			structures maintain flood insurance
			under the National Flood Insurance
			Program (NFIP). The project is in
			compliance with Flood Insurance
			requirements. July 1, 2025. Federal
			Emergency Management Agency
			(FEMA) Flood Map Service Center (MSC)
			FIRMette.
			https://msc.fema.gov/portal/home
·			ONS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.5
Air Quality	☐ Yes	☑ No	Based on the project description, this
Clean Air Act, as amended,			project includes no activities that would
particularly section 176(c) & (d); 40			require further evaluation under the
CFR Parts 6, 51, 93			Clean Air Act. The project is in
0		[7] N.	compliance with the Clean Air Act.
Coastal Zone Management Act	☐ Yes	☑ No	This project is not located in or does not
Coastal Zone Management Act,			affect a Coastal Management Blan. The
sections 307(c) & (d)			state Coastal Management Plan. The
			project is in compliance with the Coastal
Contamination and Toxic	☐ Yes	D No	Zone Management Act. Site contamination was evaluated as
	□ res	M INO	follows: ASTM Phase I ESA. On-site or
Substances 24 CFR 50.3(i) & 58.5(i)(2)]			nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive
24 CFK 30.3(1) & 38.3(1)(2)]			substances that could affect the health
			and safety of project occupants or
			conflict with the intended use of the
			property were not found. The project is
			exempt from radon consideration. The
			project is in compliance with
			contamination and toxic substances
			requirements.
Endangered Species Act	☐ Yes	☑ No	This project will have No Effect on listed
Endangered Species Act of 1973,			species due to the nature of the
			activities involved in the project. This

Demolition----

90000010490962

particularly section 7; 50 CFR Part 402		project is in compliance with the Endangered Species Act. July 2, 2025. US Fish & Wildlife Service Information for Planning and Consultation (IPaC) Endangered Species Review. https://ipac.ecosphere.fws.gov/user/log in July 28, 2025. The North Carolina Department of Environmental Quality (NCDEQ) Natural Heritage Program (NHP) Endangered Species Review. https://www.ncnhp.org/
Explosive and Flammable Hazards Above-Ground Tanks)[24 CFR Part 51 Subpart C	□ Yes ☑ No	Based on the project description the project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under this section. The project is in compliance with explosive and flammable hazard requirements.
Farmlands Protection Farmland Protection Policy Act of 1981, particularly sections 1504(b) and 1541; 7 CFR Part 658	☐ Yes ☑ No	This project does not include any activities that could potentially convert agricultural land to a non-agricultural use. The project is in compliance with the Farmland Protection Policy Act.
Floodplain Management Executive Order 11988, particularly section 2(a); 24 CFR Part 55	☐ Yes ☑ No	The following exception applies, therefore the project is in compliance with Executive Orders 11988 and 13690: 55.12(c) The approval of financial assistance for restoring and preserving the natural and beneficial functions and values of floodplains and wetlands, including through acquisition of such floodplain and wetland property, where a permanent covenant or comparable restriction is placed on the property's continued use for flood control, wetland protection, open space, or park land, but only if: (1) The property is cleared of all existing buildings and walled structures; and (2) The property is cleared of related improvements except those which: (i) Are directly related to flood control, wetland protection, open space, or park land (including playgrounds and recreation areas); (ii) Do not modify existing wetland areas or

Historic Preservation National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, particularly sections 106 and 110; 36 CFR Part 800	☐ Yes ☑ No	involve fill, paving, or other ground disturbance beyond minimal trails or paths; and (iii) Are designed to be compatible with the beneficial floodplain or wetland function of the property. July 1, 2025. Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Flood Map Service Center (MSC) FIRMette. https://msc.fema.gov/portal/home July 1, 2025. US Fish & Wildlife Service National Wetlands Inventory. https://www.fws.gov/program/national-wetlands-inventory/wetlands-mapper Based on Section 106 consultation there are No Historic Properties Affected because there are no historic properties present. The project is in compliance with Section 106. June 10, 2025. ER 25-1298. Correspondence from Ramona Bartos, Deputy State Historic Preservation Officer, North Carolina Department of Natural and Cultural Resources State Historic Preservation Office to City of Raleigh. June 16, 2025. 2025-703-2. Correspondence from Wenonah G. Haire, Tribal Historic Preservation Officer, Catawba Indian Nation.
Noise Abatement and Control Noise Control Act of 1972, as amended by the Quiet Communities Act of 1978; 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart B	□ Yes ☑ No	Based on the project description, this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under HUD's noise regulation. The project is in compliance with HUD's Noise regulation. The proposed project for funding consists of demolition only.
Sole Source Aquifers Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974, as amended, particularly section 1424(e); 40 CFR Part 149	□ Yes ☑ No	The project is not located on a sole source aquifer area. The project is in compliance with Sole Source Aquifer requirements. July 1, 2025. United States Environmental Protection Agency Map of Sole Source Aquifer Locations. https://www.epa.gov/dwssa/map-sole-source-aquifer-locations

Wetlands Protection	☐ Yes ☑ No	The project will not impact on- or off-
Executive Order 11990, particularly		site wetlands. The project is in
sections 2 and 5		compliance with Executive Order 11990.
		July 1, 2025. US Fish & Wildlife Service
		National Wetlands Inventory.
		https://www.fws.gov/program/national
		-wetlands-inventory/wetlands-mapper
Wild and Scenic Rivers Act	☐ Yes ☑ No	This project is not within proximity of a
Wild and Scenic Rivers Act of 1968,		NWSRS river. The project is in
particularly section 7(b) and (c)		compliance with the Wild and Scenic
		Rivers Act. July 1, 2025. National Wild
		and Scenic Rivers System.
		https://rivers.gov/north-carolina
HUD HO	DUSING ENVIRONMEN	ITAL STANDARDS
	ENVIRONMENTAL J	USTICE
Environmental Justice	☐ Yes ☑ No	No adverse environmental impacts were
Executive Order 12898		identified in the project's total
		environmental review. The project is in
		compliance with Executive Order 12898.

Environmental Assessment Factors [24 CFR 58.40; Ref. 40 CFR 1508.8 &1508.27]

Impact Codes: An impact code from the following list has been used to make the determination of impact for each factor.

- (1) Minor beneficial impact
- (2) No impact anticipated
- (3) Minor Adverse Impact May require mitigation
- **(4)** Significant or potentially significant impact requiring avoidance or modification which may require an Environmental Impact Statement.

Environment al	Impac t Code	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
Assessment			
Factor			
		LAND DEVELOPMENT	
Conformance with Plans / Compatible Land Use and Zoning / Scale and Urban Design	2	The CDBG demolition project is part of the overall plan of the City of Raleigh to demolish properties that pose a threat to public health and safety and to provide affordable housing opportunities for residents. Upon demolition and removal of the vacant, outdated structures, the properties could be redeveloped with adjoining	No mitigation would be required.

Environment al Assessment	Impac t Code	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
Factor			
Soil Suitability /	2	property owned by the City for new residential housing, which conforms to the established zoning requirements. The demolition of the vacant, outdated	Upon
Slope/ Erosion / Drainage and Storm Water Runoff		structures at 5507 and 5511 Hillsborough St. will not impact the slope or create drainage or stormwater issues for the property. The site soil is structurally stable, and no erosion will result due to the demolition and removal of the unsafe structure. Upon demolition and removal of the existing structures at 5507 and 5511 Hillsborough St. the building footprints will be filled with clean fill to natural grade and the entire site will be seeded to prevent erosion.	demolition and removal of the existing structures at 5507 and 5511 Hillsborough St. the building footprints will be filled with clean fill to natural grade and the entire site will be seeded to prevent erosion. The Sedimentatio n Pollution Control Act of 1973 must be properly addressed for any land disturbing activity. Based on the proposed project description, the limits of disturbance

Environment al Assessment	Impac t Code	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
Factor			
			will be less
			than an acre.
			Should these
			plans change,
			an erosion &
			sedimentatio
			n control plan
			will be
			required if
			one or more
			acres are to
			be disturbed.
			The ESC Plan
			must be filed
			with and
			approved by
			applicable
			Regional
			Office (NC
			DEMLR) at
			least 30 days
			before
			beginning
			activity. A
			NPDES
			construction
			Stormwater
			permit
			(NCG010000)
			is also usually
			issued with
			approval of
			the ESC Plan.
			Accounting
			for the
			applicable
			design and
			installation of
			appropriate
			perimeter

Environment al	Impac t Code	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
Assessment Factor			
	3	The demolition of the vacant, outdated structures at 5507 and 5511 Hillsborough St. will generate noise during demolition activities that could be a temporary nuisance to adjacent properties. Demolition activities also have the potential to generate dust as the structures are demolished and loaded into trucks. A Phase I ESA did not find any Recognizable Environmental Concerns (RECs) present at the site. The	sediment trapping devices as well as stable Stormwater conveyances and outlets, no adverse environment al impacts are anticipated. To mitigate the minor impact of noise created by the equipment performing the demolition of
		environmental database review did not identify any known hazards or nuisance (hazardous waste, water dischargers, toxic releases, Superfund or Brownfield sites, and toxic substances) violations that would create an unsafe environment for the workers of this project. The only project-related noise will be generated during construction by machinery and equipment. Activities will also generate minor amounts of dust and dirt; appropriate mitigation measures will be utilized to minimize dust and dirt. Garbage and construction debris will be collected and disposed of according to appropriate local, state, and federal regulations. Demolition or renovations of structures with asbestos containing materials (ACMs) must comply with 15 A NCAC 20.1110 (a) (1), which requires notification and removal prior to demolition. Contact Asbestos Control Group 919-707-5950.	the unsafe structures at 5507 and 5511 Hillsborough St., all demolition activities will take place between the hours of 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, with no demolition activities taking place on Saturday or Sunday. To

Environment	Impac	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
al	t Code		
Assessment			
Factor			
			mitigate the
			creation and
			dispersion of
			dust that may
			result from
			demolition
			and loading
			of the
			demolition
			material into
			trucks, the
			structures
			will be
			sprayed with
			water during
			demolition
			and the
			material will
			be watered
			down further
			as it is loaded
			onto trucks.
			To maintain
			site safety
			while
			demolition
			activities are
			taking place,
			all
			unauthorized
			individuals
			will be
			prevented
			from entry
			onto the
			subject
			property by
			the
			demolition

Environment al	Impac t Code	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
Assessment	Couc		
Factor			
ractor			contractor
			personnel.
	1	SOCIOECONOMIC	personnen
Employment	2	Demolition of the vacant, outdated structures at	No mitigation
and Income		5507 and 5511 Hillsborough St. will have no	would be
Patterns		impact on employment and income patterns.	required.
Demographic	2	Demolition of the vacant, outdated structures at	No mitigation
Character	_	5507 and 5511 Hillsborough St. will have no	would be
Changes /		impact on the demographic character and no	required.
Displacement		displacement will occur. The vacant buildings	required.
Displacement		served as office space for at least the last 25	
		vears.	
Environmental	1	There is a widely documented need for	No mitigation
Justice EA	_	affordable housing in the City of Raleigh ("City")	would be
Factor		and throughout the United States. The City is	required.
T detoi		committed to creating, preserving, and	required.
		encouraging the development of affordable	
		housing units in Raleigh. In 2016, the City	
		established an aspirational goal to create 570	
		affordable housing units per year for 10 years,	
		for a grand total of 5,700 housing units by 2026.	
		So far, the City has created and preserved 3,848	
		affordable housing units, completing over half of	
		the 10-year goal. In total, there are 7,149	
		affordable units built and/or being built since	
		2016, with 3,301 units currently in the pipeline.	
		The City's efforts primarily serve low- and	
		moderate-income (LMI) individuals and families.	
		Income limits are set each year by the U.S.	
		Department of Housing and Urban Development	
		and are based on the Area Median Income (AMI)	
		per city or county.	
		(https://raleighnc.gov/housing/services/affordab	
		le-housing-goals) This proposed demolition	
		project is the first of many steps in the planned	
		construction of a future affordable housing	
		project on this site and adjoining vacant property	
		that the City also owns. The demolition of the	
		vacant, outdated structures at 5507 and 5511	
		Hillsborough St will have a minor beneficial	

Environment	Impac	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
al	t Code		
Assessment Factor			
Factor		impact by removing a source of blight and	
		potential for the vacant property to be used for	
		illicit activities or unauthorized occupation.	
	C	OMMUNITY FACILITIES AND SERVICES	
Educational	2	The demolition of the vacant, outdated	No mitigation
and Cultural		structures at 5507 and 5511 Hillsborough St will	would be
Facilities		have no impact on educational and cultural	required.
(Access and		facilities.	
Capacity)			
Commercial	2	The demolition of the vacant, outdated	No mitigation
Facilities		structures at 5507 and 5511 Hillsborough St will	would be
(Access and		have no impact on commercial facilities.	required.
Proximity)			
Health Care /	2	The demolition of the vacant, outdated	No mitigation
Social Services		structures at 5507 and 5511 Hillsborough St will	would be
(Access and		have no impact on the access to health care and	required.
Capacity)		social services.	
Solid Waste	2	The demolition of the vacant, outdated	No mitigation
Disposal and		structures at 5507 and 5511 Hillsborough St will	would be
Recycling		have no impact on solid waste disposal and	required.
(Feasibility and		recycling. Construction activities will be	
Capacity)		conducted so as to minimize run-off and safely	
		dispose of waste products. Any waste generated	
		during demolition will be handled/disposed per	
		all applicable governmental regulations. Contact	
		the NCDEQ Solid Waste Section at 217 W Jones	
		Street Raleigh, NC 27603, (919) 707-8200 for	
		additional guidance.	
Waste Water	2	The demolition of the vacant, outdated	No mitigation
and Sanitary		structures at 5507 and 5511 Hillsborough St will	would be
Sewers		have no impact on the feasibility and capacity of	required.
(Feasibility and		the wastewater and sanitary sewers, as	
Capacity)		adequate wastewater and sewer capacity is	
10/ 1 6 1	2	available.	
Water Supply	2	The demolition of the vacant, outdated	No mitigation
(Feasibility and		structures at 5507 and 5511 Hillsborough St will	would be
Capacity)		have no impact on the feasibility and capacity of	required.
		the public water supply, as adequate water	
		capacity is available	

Environment al Assessment Factor	Impac t Code	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
Public Safety - Police, Fire and Emergency Medical	1	The demolition of the vacant, outdated structures at 5507 and 5511 Hillsborough St will have a minor beneficial impact by removing a source of blight and potential for the vacant property to be used for illicit activities or unauthorized occupation.	No mitigation would be required.
Parks, Open Space and Recreation (Access and Capacity)	2	The demolition of the vacant, outdated structures at 5507 and 5511 Hillsborough St will have no impact on access to parks, open space or other recreational areas.	No mitigation would be required.
Transportation and Accessibility (Access and Capacity)	2	The demolition of the vacant, outdated structures at 5507 and 5511 Hillsborough St will have no impact on access and capacity of transportation and accessibility.	No mitigation would be required.
		NATURAL FEATURES	
Unique Natural Features /Water Resources	2	The demolition of the vacant, outdated structures at 5507 and 5511 Hillsborough St will have no impact on unique natural features or water resources.	No mitigation would be required.
Vegetation / Wildlife (Introduction, Modification, Removal, Disruption, etc.)	2	The demolition of the vacant, outdated structures at 5507 and 5511 Hillsborough St will have no impact on vegetation or wildlife.	No mitigation would be required.
Other Factors 1	2	The demolition of the vacant, outdated structures at 5507 and 5511 Hillsborough St will have no impact on other factors as no other factors are known at this time.	No mitigation would be required.
Other Factors 2			
Climate Change	2	CLIMATE AND ENERGY The demolition of the vacant, outdated structures at 5507 and 5511 Hillsborough St will have no impact onClimate Change as no other factors are known at this time.	No mitigation would be required.

Environment al Assessment Factor	Impac t Code	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
Energy Efficiency	1	The vacant, outdated structures at 5507 and 5511 Hillsborough St are very energy inefficient and any potential new residential structure(s) constructed on the property would consume far less energy and would be constructed to modern, energy efficient standards.	No mitigation would be required.

Supporting documentation

Additional Studies Performed:

Field Inspection [Optional]: Date and completed

bv:

RYAN SADLER

6/6/2025 12:00:00 AM

25 0606 Photo Log.pdf

List of Sources, Agencies and Persons Consulted [40 CFR 1508.9(b)]:

July 1, 2025. USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service - Web Soils Survey, National Cooperative Soil Survey. https://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/ July 1, 2025. US Fish & Wildlife Service National Wetlands Inventory. https://www.fws.gov/program/national-wetlands-inventory/wetlands-mapper July 2, 2025. US Fish & Wildlife Service Information for Planning and Consultation (IPaC) Endangered Species Review. https://ipac.ecosphere.fws.gov/user/login 2025. The North Carolina Department of Environmental Quality (NCDEQ) Natural Heritage Program (NHP) Endangered Species Review. https://www.ncnhp.org/ July 1, 2025. United States Environmental Protection Agency Map of Sole Source Aquifer Locations. https://www.epa.gov/dwssa/map-sole-source-aquifer-locations July 1, 2025. The North Carolina Department of Environmental Quality (NCDEQ) Coastal Management. https://www.deq.nc.gov/about/divisions/coastal-management/aboutcoastal-management/cama-counties July 1, 2025. US Fish & Wildlife Service Coastal Barrier Resources Act. https://www.fws.gov/program/coastal-barrier-resourcesact/maps-and-data July 1, 2025. National Wild and Scenic Rivers System. https://rivers.gov/north-carolina July 1, 2025. Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Flood Map Service Center (MSC) FIRMette. https://msc.fema.gov/portal/home June 10, 2025. ER 25-1298. Correspondence

from Ramona Bartos, Deputy State Historic Preservation Officer, North Carolina Department of Natural and Cultural Resources State Historic Preservation Office to City of Raleigh.

List of Permits Obtained:

The contractor shall demolish the existing buildings located at 5507 and 5507 Hillsborough Street, including but not limited to chimneys, entry stoops and stairs, handicapped ramps, exterior stairs to the basement, sidewalks around the buildings, garages, sheds, and any brush or tree within three (3) feet of the foundation, as shown on the site plan. Work shall include disconnecting all utilities and capping water, gas, and sewer lines per current codes. The contractor shall raze all structures in accordance with current codes, remove all debris from the premises, and grade the site to a smooth condition with a positive drainage contour. All disturbed areas shall be seeded and mulched with wheat straw. The contractor shall be responsible for obtaining and paying for all necessary permits and approvals related to the demolition, including any required by state or local regulations. This includes: * Obtaining and purchasing a final survey to meet City of Raleigh inspection requirements. * Obtaining an erosion control plan. * Obtaining a land disturbance permit (i.e., mass grading permit), including payment of any related engineering fees. The contractor shall also: * Provide and place off-site borrow fill material to fill the basement area. Fill shall be compacted to 90% of the maximum modified Proctor density as determined by ASTM D1557. The contractor shall be responsible for hiring, testing, and paying for an independent engineer to perform soil testing. * Install silt fencing in accordance with current codes along the right (north) side of the property near Etta Burke Street to guard against erosion runoff. The contractor shall exercise care in minimizing erosion and runoff from the site.

Public Outreach [24 CFR 58.43]:

The City of Raleigh included this project in its Finding of No Significant Impact and Notice of Intent to Request Release of Funds, published in a newspaper of general circulation in the area on August 30 , 2025. The ERR was kept on file and made available for public examination and copying if requested. The public and interested agencies were entitled to a period of 15 calendar days for comment after publication of the Notice.

Cumulative Impact Analysis [24 CFR 58.32]:

The property is currently vacant, abandoned, and the former commercial office buildings are deteriorating, creating an unsafe environment. The viable option is to demolish the neglected property to restore the property and neighborhood to a safe condition and provide new affordable housing options on the property as specified in the City's 2016 10-year housing plan goals.

Alternatives [24 CFR 58.40(e); 40 CFR 1508.9]

Due to age and deterioration of the structures, the buildings are no longer fit for habitation and it would not be cost effective to renovate or restore them to a habitable condition. The construction of a new housing development would be most beneficial for the property land use.

No Action Alternative [24 CFR 58.40(e)]

The abandoned buildings will continue to deteriorate and continues to be a threat to the health and safety of the community/neighborhood. The abandoned buildings will continue to be a potential place of illicit activity, increasing need for police/first responder presence.

Summary of Findings and Conclusions:

The project will entail temporary noise, dirt, and dust, but it will benefit the community by securing a site of neighborhood concern. The project will comply with all environmental regulations outlined in this review. Therefore, the City concludes that the implementation of this project will have no significant impact upon the environment.

Mitigation Measures and Conditions [CFR 1505.2(c)]:

Summarized below are all mitigation measures adopted by the Responsible Entity to reduce, avoid or eliminate adverse environmental impacts and to avoid non-compliance or non-conformance with the above-listed authorities and factors. These measures/conditions must be incorporated into project contracts, development agreements and other relevant documents. The staff responsible for implementing and monitoring mitigation measures should be clearly identified in the mitigation plan.

Law,	Mitigation Measure or	Comments	Mitigation	Complete
Authority, or	Condition	on	Plan	
Factor		Completed		
		Measures		
Conformance	No mitigation would be	N/A		
with Plans /	required.			
Compatible				
Land Use and				
Zoning / Scale				

and Huban			
and Urban			
Design		21/2	
Soil Suitability	Upon demolition and removal	N/A	None required
/ Slope/	of the existing structures at		based on the
Erosion /	5507 and 5511 Hillsborough St.		description
Drainage and	the building footprints will be		and size of the
Storm Water	filled with clean fill to natural		proposed
Runoff	grade and the entire site will		project.
	be seeded to prevent erosion.		Should these
	The Sedimentation Pollution		plans change,
	Control Act of 1973 must be		an erosion &
	properly addressed for any		sedimentation
	land disturbing activity. Based		control plan
	on the proposed project		will be
	description, the limits of		required if
	disturbance will be less than an		one or more
	acre. Should these plans		acres are to
	change, an erosion &		be disturbed.
	sedimentation control plan will		The ESC Plan
	be required if one or more		must be filed
	acres are to be disturbed. The		with and
	ESC Plan must be filed with and		approved by
	approved by applicable		applicable
	Regional Office (NC DEMLR) at		Regional
	least 30 days before beginning		Office (NC
	activity. A NPDES construction		DEMLR) at
	Stormwater permit		least 30 days
	(NCG010000) is also usually		before
	issued with approval of the ESC		beginning
	Plan. Accounting for the		activity. A
	applicable design and		NPDES
	installation of appropriate		construction
	perimeter sediment trapping		Stormwater
	devices as well as stable		permit
	Stormwater conveyances and		(NCG010000)
	outlets, no adverse		is also usually
	environmental impacts are		issued with
	anticipated.		approval of
			the ESC Plan.
			Accounting for
			the applicable
			design and
			installation of
			appropriate
			αρριοριαίε

	I		
			perimeter
			sediment
			trapping
			devices as
			well as stable
			Stormwater
			conveyances
			and outlets,
			no adverse
			environmental
			impacts are
			anticipated.
Hazards and	To mitigate the minor impact	N/A	To mitigate
Nuisances	of noise created by the	,	the minor
including Site	equipment performing the		impact of
Safety and	demolition of the unsafe		noise created
Site-	structures at 5507 and 5511		by the
Generated	Hillsborough St., all demolition		equipment
Noise	activities will take place		performing
110.50	between the hours of 8:00 a.m.		the
	to 5:00 p.m., Monday through		demolition of
	Friday, with no demolition		the unsafe
	activities taking place on		structures at
	Saturday or Sunday. To		5507 and
	mitigate the creation and		5511
	dispersion of dust that may		Hillsborough
	result from demolition and		St., all
	loading of the demolition		demolition
	material into trucks, the		activities will
	•		
	structures will be sprayed with		take place
	water during demolition and		between the
	the material will be watered		hours of 8:00
	down further as it is loaded		a.m. to 5:00
	onto trucks. To maintain site		p.m., Monday
	safety while demolition		through
	activities are taking place, all		Friday, with
	unauthorized individuals will		no demolition
	be prevented from entry onto		activities
	the subject property by the		taking place
	demolition contractor		on Saturday
	personnel.		or Sunday. To
			mitigate the
			creation and
			dispersion of

Demolition----

dust that may result from demolition and loading of the demolition material into trucks, the structures will be sprayed with water during demolition and the material will be watered down further as it is loaded onto trucks. To maintain site safety while demolition activities are taking place, all unauthorized individuals will be prevented from entry onto the subject property by the demolition contractor personnel. N/A **Employment** No mitigation would be and Income required. Patterns N/A Demographic No mitigation would be Character required. Changes / Displacement

Environmental Justice EA Factor	No mitigation would be required.	N/A	
Educational and Cultural Facilities (Access and Capacity)	No mitigation would be required.	N/A	
Commercial Facilities (Access and Proximity)	No mitigation would be required.	N/A	
Health Care / Social Services (Access and Capacity)	No mitigation would be required.	N/A	
Solid Waste Disposal and Recycling (Feasibility and Capacity)	No mitigation would be required.	N/A	
Waste Water and Sanitary Sewers (Feasibility and Capacity)	No mitigation would be required.	N/A	
Water Supply (Feasibility and Capacity)	No mitigation would be required.	N/A	
Public Safety - Police, Fire and Emergency Medical	No mitigation would be required.	N/A	
Parks, Open Space and Recreation (Access and Capacity)	No mitigation would be required.	N/A	
Transportation and Accessibility (Access and Capacity)	No mitigation would be required.	N/A	

Unique Natural	No mitigation would be required.	N/A	
Features			
/Water			
Resources			
Vegetation / Wildlife (Introduction, Modification, Removal, Disruption, etc.)	No mitigation would be required.	N/A	
Other Factors	No mitigation would be required.	N/A	
Climate	No mitigation would be	N/A	
Change	required.		
Energy Efficiency	No mitigation would be required.	N/A	

Project Mitigation Plan

To mitigate the minor impact of noise created by the equipment performing the demolition of the unsafe structures at 5507 and 5511 Hillsborough St., all demolition activities will take place between the hours of 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, with no demolition activities taking place on Saturday or Sunday. To mitigate the creation and dispersion of dust that may result from demolition and loading of the demolition material into trucks, the structures will be sprayed with water during demolition and the material will be watered down further as it is loaded onto trucks. To maintain site safety while demolition activities are taking place, all unauthorized individuals will be prevented from entry onto the subject property by the demolition contractor personnel.

Supporting documentation on completed measures

APPENDIX A: Related Federal Laws and Authorities

Airport Hazards

General policy	Legislation	Regulation
It is HUD's policy to apply standards to		24 CFR Part 51 Subpart D
prevent incompatible development		
around civil airports and military airfields.		

1. To ensure compatible land use development, you must determine your site's proximity to civil and military airports. Is your project within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civilian airport?

√ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload the map showing that the site is not within the applicable distances to a military or civilian airport below

Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

The subject property is not within a civil or military airport runway clear zone nor does the proposed project included in this funding request propose construction of any new structures or other site features that could impact local airports. The project site is not within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civilian airport. The project complies with Airport Hazards requirements.

Supporting documentation

Airport Map.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Coastal Barrier Resources

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD financial assistance may not be	Coastal Barrier Resources Act	
used for most activities in units of the	(CBRA) of 1982, as amended by	
Coastal Barrier Resources System	the Coastal Barrier Improvement	
(CBRS). See 16 USC 3504 for limitations	Act of 1990 (16 USC 3501)	
on federal expenditures affecting the		
CBRS.		

1.	Is the project	located in a	CBRS Unit?
----	----------------	--------------	-------------------

√ No

Document and upload map and documentation below.

Yes

Compliance Determination

This project is not located in a CBRS Unit. Therefore, this project has no potential to impact a CBRS Unit and is in compliance with the Coastal Barrier Resources Act.

Supporting documentation

CBRS Exhibit.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Flood Insurance

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Certain types of federal financial assistance may not be	Flood Disaster	24 CFR 50.4(b)(1)
used in floodplains unless the community participates	Protection Act of 1973	and 24 CFR 58.6(a)
in National Flood Insurance Program and flood	as amended (42 USC	and (b); 24 CFR
insurance is both obtained and maintained.	4001-4128)	55.1(b).

- 1. Does this project involve <u>financial assistance for construction, rehabilitation, or acquisition of a mobile home, building, or insurable personal property?</u>
 - ✓ No. This project does not require flood insurance or is excepted from flood insurance.

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

4. While flood insurance is not mandatory for this project, HUD strongly recommends that all insurable structures maintain flood insurance under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). Will flood insurance be required as a mitigation measure or condition?

Yes

✓ No

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Based on the project description the project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under this section. The project does not require flood insurance or is excepted from flood insurance. While flood insurance may not be mandatory in this instance, HUD recommends that all insurable structures maintain flood insurance under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). The project is in compliance with Flood Insurance requirements. July 1, 2025. Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Flood Map Service Center (MSC) FIRMette.

https://msc.fema.gov/portal/home

Supporting documentation

FIRMETTE(1).pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

5507-&-5511-Hillsborough-Street-Demolition----

Air Quality

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Clean Air Act is administered	Clean Air Act (42 USC 7401 et	40 CFR Parts 6, 51
by the U.S. Environmental	seq.) as amended particularly	and 93
Protection Agency (EPA), which	Section 176(c) and (d) (42 USC	
sets national standards on	7506(c) and (d))	
ambient pollutants. In addition,		
the Clean Air Act is administered		
by States, which must develop		
State Implementation Plans (SIPs)		
to regulate their state air quality.		
Projects funded by HUD must		
demonstrate that they conform		
to the appropriate SIP.		

1. Does your project include new construction or conversion of land use facilitating the development of public, commercial, or industrial facilities OR five or more dwelling units?

Yes

No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Based on the project description, this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under the Clean Air Act. The project is in compliance with the Clean Air Act.

Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Coastal Zone Management Act

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Federal assistance to applicant	Coastal Zone Management	15 CFR Part 930
agencies for activities affecting	Act (16 USC 1451-1464),	
any coastal use or resource is	particularly section 307(c)	
granted only when such	and (d) (16 USC 1456(c) and	
activities are consistent with	(d))	
federally approved State		
Coastal Zone Management Act		
Plans.		

1. Is the project located in, or does it affect, a Coastal Zone as defined in your state Coastal Management Plan?

Yes

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload all documents used to make your determination below.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project is not located in or does not affect a Coastal Zone as defined in the state Coastal Management Plan. The project is in compliance with the Coastal Zone Management Act.

Supporting documentation

CAMA.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Contamination and Toxic Substances

General Requirements	Legislation	Regulations
It is HUD policy that all properties that are being		24 CFR
proposed for use in HUD programs be free of		58.5(i)(2)
hazardous materials, contamination, toxic		24 CFR 50.3(i)
chemicals and gases, and radioactive substances,		
where a hazard could affect the health and safety of		
the occupants or conflict with the intended		
utilization of the property.		
Reference		
https://www.onecpd.info/environmental-review/site-contamination		

- 1. How was site contamination evaluated?* Select all that apply.
 - ✓ ASTM Phase I ESA

ASTM Phase II ESA

Remediation or clean-up plan

ASTM Vapor Encroachment Screening.

None of the above

2. Were any on-site or nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive substances* (excluding radon) found that could affect the health and safety of project occupants or conflict with the intended use of the property? (Were any recognized environmental conditions or RECs identified in a Phase I ESA and confirmed in a Phase II ESA?)

Provide a map or other documentation of absence or presence of contamination** and explain evaluation of site contamination in the Screen Summary at the bottom of this screen.

^{*} HUD regulations at 24 CFR § 58.5(i)(2)(ii) require that the environmental review for multifamily housing with five or more dwelling units or non-residential property include the evaluation of previous uses of the site or other evidence of contamination on or near the site. For acquisition and new construction of multifamily and nonresidential properties HUD strongly advises the review include an ASTM Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) to meet real estate transaction standards of due diligence and to help ensure compliance with HUD's toxic policy at 24 CFR §58.5(i) and 24 CFR §50.3(i). Also note that some HUD programs require an ASTM Phase I ESA.

✓ No

Explain:

TRC Engineers, Inc. completed a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) of the subject property dated 6/30/2025 which did not identify any recognized environmental conditions (RECs) or controlled RECs (CRECs) associated with the subject property. No hazardous materials were observed stored on the subject property.

Yes

- * This question covers the presence of radioactive substances excluding radon. Radon is addressed in the Radon Exempt Question.
- ** Utilize EPA's Enviromapper, NEPAssist, or state/tribal databases to identify nearby dumps, junk yards, landfills, hazardous waste sites, and industrial sites, including EPA National Priorities List Sites (Superfund sites), CERCLA or state-equivalent sites, RCRA Corrective Action sites with release(s) or suspected release(s) requiring clean-up action and/or further investigation. Additional supporting documentation may include other inspections and reports.
- 3. Evaluate the building(s) for radon. Do all buildings meet any of the exemptions* from having to consider radon in the contamination analysis listed in CPD Notice CPD-23-103?

✓ Yes

Explain:

The proposed project involves demolition of existing structures only. No habitable structures are to remain on site.

No

- * Notes:
- Buildings with no enclosed areas having ground contact.
- Buildings containing crawlspaces, utility tunnels, or parking garages would not be exempt, however buildings built on piers would be exempt, provided that there is open air between the lowest floor of the building and the ground.
- Buildings that are not residential and will not be occupied for more than 4 hours per day.
- Buildings with existing radon mitigation systems document radon levels are below 4 pCi/L with test results dated within two years of submitting the application for HUD assistance

and document the system includes an ongoing maintenance plan that includes periodic testing to ensure the system continues to meet the current EPA recommended levels. If the project does not require an application, document test results dated within two years of the date the environmental review is certified. Refer to program office guidance to ensure compliance with program requirements.

Buildings tested within five years of the submission of application for HUD assistance: test results document indoor radon levels are below current the EPA's recommended action levels of 4.0 pCi/L. For buildings with test data older than five years, any new environmental review must include a consideration of radon using one of the methods in Section A below.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Site contamination was evaluated as follows: ASTM Phase I ESA. On-site or nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive substances that could affect the health and safety of project occupants or conflict with the intended use of the property were not found. The project is exempt from radon consideration. The project is in compliance with contamination and toxic substances requirements.

Supporting documentation

25 0630 Phase I ESA - Western and Hillsborough Demo Site RLS.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

No

Endangered Species

General requirements	ESA Legislation	Regulations
Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act (ESA)	The Endangered	50 CFR Part
mandates that federal agencies ensure that	Species Act of 1973	402
actions that they authorize, fund, or carry out	(16 U.S.C. 1531 et	
shall not jeopardize the continued existence of	seq.); particularly	
federally listed plants and animals or result in	section 7 (16 USC	
the adverse modification or destruction of	1536).	
designated critical habitat. Where their actions		
may affect resources protected by the ESA,		
agencies must consult with the Fish and Wildlife		
Service and/or the National Marine Fisheries		
Service ("FWS" and "NMFS" or "the Services").		

1. Does the project involve any activities that have the potential to affect specifies or habitats?

✓ No, the project will have No Effect due to the nature of the activities involved in the project.

This selection is only appropriate if none of the activities involved in the project have potential to affect species or habitats. Examples of actions without potential to affect listed species may include: purchasing existing buildings, completing interior renovations to existing buildings, and replacing exterior paint or siding on existing buildings.

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

No, the project will have No Effect based on a letter of understanding, memorandum of agreement, programmatic agreement, or checklist provided by local HUD office

Yes, the activities involved in the project have the potential to affect species and/or habitats.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project will have No Effect on listed species due to the nature of the activities involved in the project. This project is in compliance with the Endangered Species Act. July 2, 2025. US Fish & Wildlife Service Information for Planning and Consultation

(IPaC) Endangered Species Review. https://ipac.ecosphere.fws.gov/user/login July 28, 2025. The North Carolina Department of Environmental Quality (NCDEQ) Natural Heritage Program (NHP) Endangered Species Review. https://www.ncnhp.org/

Supporting documentation

Endangered-Species-Act-Partner-Worksheet.pdf
Species Conclusion Table - Demo Site.pdf
Species List_Raleigh Ecological Services Field Office (1).pdf
20250702 NE TA TRI_CLR_BAT_RW.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Raleigh, NC

Explosive and Flammable Hazards

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD-assisted projects must meet	N/A	24 CFR Part 51
Acceptable Separation Distance (ASD)		Subpart C
requirements to protect them from		
explosive and flammable hazards.		

1.	Is the proposed HUD-assisted project itself the development of a hazardous facility (a
facility	that mainly stores, handles or processes flammable or combustible chemicals such as
bulk fu	el storage facilities and refineries)?

✓	No
	Vac

Does this project include any of the following activities: development, construction, 2. rehabilitation that will increase residential densities, or conversion?

✓	No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Based on the project description the project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under this section. The project is in compliance with explosive and flammable hazard requirements.

Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

90000010490962

Demolition----

Farmlands Protection

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Farmland Protection	Farmland Protection Policy	7 CFR Part 658
Policy Act (FPPA) discourages	Act of 1981 (7 U.S.C. 4201	
federal activities that would	et seq.)	
convert farmland to		
nonagricultural purposes.		

1. Does your project include any activities, including new construction, acquisition of undeveloped land or conversion, that could convert agricultural land to a non-agricultural use?

Yes

✓ No

If your project includes new construction, acquisition of undeveloped land or conversion, explain how you determined that agricultural land would not be converted:

This project does not include any activities that could potentially convert agricultural land to a non agricultural use. The project complies with the Farmland Protection Policy Act. Demolition project only.

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload all documents used to make your determination below.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project does not include any activities that could potentially convert agricultural land to a non-agricultural use. The project is in compliance with the Farmland Protection Policy Act.

Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No.

5507-&-5511-Hillsborough-Street-Demolition----

Floodplain Management

General Requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Executive Order 11988,	Executive Order 11988	24 CFR 55
Floodplain Management,	* Executive Order 13690	
requires Federal activities to	* 42 USC 4001-4128	
avoid impacts to floodplains	* 42 USC 5154a	
and to avoid direct and	* only applies to screen 2047	
indirect support of floodplain	and not 2046	
development to the extent		
practicable.		

1. Does this project meet an exemption at 24 CFR 55.12 from compliance with HUD's floodplain management regulations in Part 55?

✓ Yes

- (a) HUD-assisted activities described in 24 CFR 58.34 and 58.35(b).
- (b) HUD-assisted activities described in 24 CFR 50.19, except as otherwise indicated in § 50.19.
- ✓ (c) The approval of financial assistance for restoring and preserving the natural and beneficial functions and values of floodplains and wetlands, including through acquisition of such floodplain and wetland property, where a permanent covenant or comparable restriction is place on the property's continued use for flood control, wetland projection, open space, or park land, but only if:
 - (1) The property is cleared of all existing buildings and walled structures; and
 - (2) The property is cleared of related improvements except those which:
 - (i) Are directly related to flood control, wetland protection, open space, or park land (including playgrounds and recreation areas);
 - (ii) Do not modify existing wetland areas or involve fill, paving, or other ground disturbance beyond minimal trails or paths; and
 - (iii) Are designed to be compatible with the beneficial floodplain or wetland function of the property.
 - (d) An action involving a repossession, receivership, foreclosure, or similar acquisition of property to protect or enforce HUD's financial

interests under previously approved loans, grants, mortgage insurance, or other HUD assistance.

- (e) Policy-level actions described at 24 CFR 50.16 that do not involve site-based decisions.
- (f) A minor amendment to a previously approved action with no additional adverse impact on or from a floodplain or wetland.
- (g) HUD's or the responsible entity's approval of a project site, an incidental portion of which is situated in the FFRMS floodplain (not including the floodway, LiMWA, or coastal high hazard area) but only if: (1) The proposed project site does not include any existing or proposed buildings or improvements that modify or occupy the FFRMS floodplain except de minimis improvements such as recreation areas and trails; and (2) the proposed project will not result in any new construction in or modifications of a wetland.
- (h) Issuance or use of Housing Vouchers, or other forms of rental subsidy where HUD, the awarding community, or the public housing agency that administers the contract awards rental subsidies that are not project-based (i.e., do not involve site-specific subsidies).
- (i) Special projects directed to the removal of material and architectural barriers that restrict the mobility of and accessibility to elderly and persons with disabilities.

Describe:

The proposed project to be funded is for demolition of existing structures only which are not located within a floodplain.

No

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

The following exception applies, therefore the project is in compliance with Executive Orders 11988 and 13690: 55.12(c) The approval of financial assistance for restoring and preserving the natural and beneficial functions and values of floodplains and wetlands, including through acquisition of such floodplain and wetland property,

where a permanent covenant or comparable restriction is placed on the property's continued use for flood control, wetland protection, open space, or park land, but only if: (1) The property is cleared of all existing buildings and walled structures; and (2) The property is cleared of related improvements except those which: (i) Are directly related to flood control, wetland protection, open space, or park land (including playgrounds and recreation areas); (ii) Do not modify existing wetland areas or involve fill, paving, or other ground disturbance beyond minimal trails or paths; and (iii) Are designed to be compatible with the beneficial floodplain or wetland function of the property. July 1, 2025. Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Flood Map Service Center (MSC) FIRMette. https://msc.fema.gov/portal/home July 1, 2025. US Fish & Wildlife Service National Wetlands Inventory. https://www.fws.gov/program/national-wetlands-inventory/wetlands-mapper

Supporting documentation

Wetlands Map Exhibit.pdf FIRMETTE.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Historic Preservation

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Regulations under	Section 106 of the	36 CFR 800 "Protection of Historic
Section 106 of the	National Historic	Properties"
National Historic	Preservation Act	https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/CF
Preservation Act	(16 U.S.C. 470f)	R-2012-title36-vol3/pdf/CFR-2012-title36-
(NHPA) require a		vol3-part800.pdf
consultative process		
to identify historic		
properties, assess		
project impacts on		
them, and avoid,		
minimize, or mitigate		
adverse effects		

Threshold

Is Section 106 review required for your project?

No, because the project consists solely of activities listed as exempt in a Programmatic Agreement (PA). (See the PA Database to find applicable PAs.) No, because the project consists solely of activities included in a No Potential to Cause Effects memo or other determination [36 CFR 800.3(a)(1)].

✓ Yes, because the project includes activities with potential to cause effects (direct or indirect).

Step 1 – Initiate Consultation Select all consulting parties below (check all that apply):

- ✓ State Historic Preservation Offer (SHPO) Completed
- √ Advisory Council on Historic Preservation Completed
- ✓ Indian Tribes, including Tribal Historic Preservation Officers (THPOs) or Native Hawaiian Organizations (NHOs)
 - ✓ Catawba Indian Nation Completed

Other Consulting Parties

Describe the process of selecting consulting parties and initiating consultation here:

The proposed project involves demolition of two former commercial buildings constructed in approximately 1930 and 1950. The City of Raeligh initiated consultation with the NC State Historic Preservation Office. Correspondence attached.

Document and upload all correspondence, notices and notes (including comments and objections received below).

Was the Section 106 Lender Delegation Memo used for Section 106 consultation?

Yes

No

Step 2 – Identify and Evaluate Historic Properties

 Define the Area of Potential Effect (APE), either by entering the address(es) or uploading a map depicting the APE below:

5507 and 5511 Hillsborough St, Raleigh, NC.

In the chart below, list historic properties identified and evaluated in the APE. Every historic property that may be affected by the project should be included in the chart.

Upload the documentation (survey forms, Register nominations, concurrence(s) and/or objection(s), notes, and photos) that justify your National Register Status determination below.

Address / Location	National Register	SHPO Concurrence	Sensitive
/ District	Status		Information

Additional Notes:

2. Was a survey of historic buildings and/or archeological sites done as part of the

project?

Yes

✓ No

Step 3 –Assess Effects of the Project on Historic Properties

Only properties that are listed on or eligible for the National Register of Historic Places receive further consideration under Section 106. Assess the effect(s) of the project by applying the Criteria of Adverse Effect. (36 CFR 800.5)] Consider direct and indirect effects as applicable as per guidance on direct and indirect effects.

Choose one of the findings below - No Historic Properties Affected, No Adverse Effect, or Adverse Effect; and seek concurrence from consulting parties.

✓ No Historic Properties Affected

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload concurrence(s) or objection(s) below.

Document reason for finding:

✓ No historic properties present.

Historic properties present, but project will have no effect upon them.

No Adverse Effect

Adverse Effect

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Based on Section 106 consultation there are No Historic Properties Affected because there are no historic properties present. The project is in compliance with Section 106. June 10, 2025. ER 25-1298. Correspondence from Ramona Bartos, Deputy State Historic Preservation Officer, North Carolina Department of Natural and Cultural Resources State Historic Preservation Office to City of Raleigh. June 16, 2025. 2025-

703-2. Correspondence from Wenonah G. Haire, Tribal Historic Preservation Officer, Catawba Indian Nation.

Supporting documentation

<u>Catawba Response - Hillsborough Western-1.pdf</u> <u>ER-25-1298 NC.pdf</u>

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Noise Abatement and Control

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD's noise regulations protect	Noise Control Act of 1972	Title 24 CFR 51
residential properties from		Subpart B
excessive noise exposure. HUD	General Services Administration	
encourages mitigation as	Federal Management Circular	
appropriate.	75-2: "Compatible Land Uses at	
	Federal Airfields"	

1. What activities does your project involve? Check all that apply:

New construction for residential use

Rehabilitation of an existing residential property

A research demonstration project which does not result in new construction or reconstruction

An interstate land sales registration

Any timely emergency assistance under disaster assistance provision or appropriations which are provided to save lives, protect property, protect public health and safety, remove debris and wreckage, or assistance that has the effect of restoring facilities substantially as they existed prior to the disaster

✓ None of the above

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Based on the project description, this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under HUD's noise regulation. The project is in compliance with HUD's Noise regulation. The proposed project for funding consists of demolition only.

Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Sole Source Aquifers

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974	Safe Drinking Water	40 CFR Part 149
protects drinking water systems	Act of 1974 (42 U.S.C.	
which are the sole or principal	201, 300f et seq., and	
drinking water source for an area	21 U.S.C. 349)	
and which, if contaminated, would		
create a significant hazard to public		
health.		

1. Does the project consist solely of acquisition, leasing, or rehabilitation of an existing building(s)?

Yes

✓ No

2. Is the project located on a sole source aquifer (SSA)?

A sole source aquifer is defined as an aquifer that supplies at least 50 percent of the drinking water consumed in the area overlying the aquifer. This includes streamflow source areas, which are upstream areas of losing streams that flow into the recharge area.

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload documentation used to make your determination, such as a map of your project (or jurisdiction, if appropriate) in relation to the nearest SSA and its source area, below.

Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

The project is not located on a sole source aquifer area. The project is in compliance with Sole Source Aquifer requirements. July 1, 2025. United States Environmental

Protection Agency Map of Sole Source Aquifer Locations. https://www.epa.gov/dwssa/map-sole-source-aquifer-locations

Supporting documentation

Aquifers.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Wetlands Protection

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Executive Order 11990 discourages direct or	Executive Order	24 CFR 55.20 can be
indirect support of new construction impacting	11990	used for general
wetlands wherever there is a practicable		guidance regarding
alternative. The Fish and Wildlife Service's		the 8 Step Process.
National Wetlands Inventory can be used as a		
primary screening tool, but observed or known		
wetlands not indicated on NWI maps must also		
be processed Off-site impacts that result in		
draining, impounding, or destroying wetlands		
must also be processed.		

1. Does this project involve new construction as defined in Executive Order 11990, expansion of a building's footprint, or ground disturbance? The term "new construction" shall include draining, dredging, channelizing, filling, diking, impounding, and related activities and any structures or facilities begun or authorized after the effective date of the Order

No

- ✓ Yes
- 2. Will the new construction or other ground disturbance impact an on- or off-site wetland? The term "wetlands" means those areas that are inundated by surface or ground water with a frequency sufficient to support, and under normal circumstances does or would support, a prevalence of vegetative or aquatic life that requires saturated or seasonally saturated soil conditions for growth and reproduction. Wetlands generally include swamps, marshes, bogs, and similar areas such as sloughs, potholes, wet meadows, river overflows, mud flats, and natural ponds.

"Wetlands under E.O. 11990 include isolated and non-jurisdictional wetlands."

✓ No, a wetland will not be impacted in terms of E.O. 11990's definition of new construction.

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload a map or any other relevant documentation below which explains your determination

Yes, there is a wetland that be impacted in terms of E.O. 11990's definition of new construction.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

The project will not impact on- or off-site wetlands. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 11990. July 1, 2025. US Fish & Wildlife Service National Wetlands Inventory. https://www.fws.gov/program/national-wetlands-inventory/wetlands-mapper

Supporting documentation

Wetlands Map Exhibit(1).pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Wild and Scenic Rivers Act

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Wild and Scenic Rivers Act	The Wild and Scenic Rivers	36 CFR Part 297
provides federal protection for	Act (16 U.S.C. 1271-1287),	
certain free-flowing, wild, scenic	particularly section 7(b) and	
and recreational rivers	(c) (16 U.S.C. 1278(b) and (c))	
designated as components or		
potential components of the		
National Wild and Scenic Rivers		
System (NWSRS) from the effects		
of construction or development.		

1. Is your project within proximity of a NWSRS river?

✓ No

Yes, the project is in proximity of a Designated Wild and Scenic River or Study Wild and Scenic River.

Yes, the project is in proximity of a Nationwide Rivers Inventory (NRI) River.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project is not within proximity of a NWSRS river. The project is in compliance with the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act. July 1, 2025. National Wild and Scenic Rivers System. https://rivers.gov/north-carolina

Supporting documentation

Wild and Scenic Rivers.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Environmental Justice

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Determine if the project	Executive Order 12898	
creates adverse environmental		
impacts upon a low-income or		
minority community. If it		
does, engage the community		
in meaningful participation		
about mitigating the impacts		
or move the project.		

HUD strongly encourages starting the Environmental Justice analysis only after all other laws and authorities, including Environmental Assessment factors if necessary, have been completed.

1. Were any adverse environmental impacts identified in any other compliance review portion of this project's total environmental review?

Yes

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

No adverse environmental impacts were identified in the project's total environmental review. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 12898.

Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes