



COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT PROCESS DEVELOPMENT

COMMUNITY FORUM SUMMARY

Friday, October 25, 2019 | 12:00 pm - 1:30 pm | Walnut Creek Wetlands Center



COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT PROCESS DEVELOPMENT COMMUNITY FORUM SUMMARY

OVERVIEW

Raleigh City Planning conducted a Community Forum at the Walnut Creek Wetlands Center on Friday, October 25, 2019 from 12:00 pm to 1:30 pm. The forum kicked off the first in a series of public meetings for the Community Engagement Process Development (CEPD) project.

Representatives from community agencies, groups and associations (Appendix I) were invited to participate in the forum to provide their expertise, knowledge, and insights from their roles within the community in order to help the City Planning Department develop a standardized, consistent, and inclusive Public Engagement process.

ATTENDANCE

There were twenty-two persons (22) in attendance from fifteen (15) agencies (Appendix II-III). There was an opportunity for the attendees to sign up for project updates and information at the sign-in table before and after the meeting.

MEETING LOGISTICS

Simone Robinson, Principal of Public Participation Partners (P3), facilitated the meeting and gave a brief overview of the project and the agenda for the Community Forum. Kristin Williams, Project Manager for the City of Raleigh, was introduced and gave an overview of how and why the project was created and what her goals and objectives were.



Mickey Fearn, Professor of the Practice at North Carolina State University gave a presentation entitled “The Current State of Municipal Public Engagement.” Following the presentation, the audience gathered in small groups to discuss how the City can engage with the community in a more equitable way.

SMALL GROUP DISCUSSIONS

The questions posed were:

1. What factors discourage your constituents from engaging?
2. How do race, cultural, ethnic, socio-economic status and other factors impact resident’s inclination to engage?
3. What actions should the City of Raleigh take to ensure inclusionary engagement, inspire residents to engage, and to encourage residents to become civic stewards?

The following is a summary of the responses received to the questions.

1.

What factors discourage your constituents from engaging?

- Lack of awareness/knowledge of meetings
- Transportation
- Childcare
- Promotion of meeting
- Time of meeting
- Lack of belonging (feeling of belonging)
- Location
- Lack of understanding of culture or unrealistic expectations that you expect to achieve of both the project managers and the attendees
- Not on the same page
- Language barrier
- Accessibility & technology – a way for people to learn without necessarily going to a meeting (i.e. livestream)
- Want to contribute to meeting without going to meeting (like email or social media)
- Meetings can be confrontational
- The people holding the meeting doesn't look/talk like you
- Feeling like they are not a part of the process
- Don't want to be involved – apathy
- Feel stonewalled; nothing comes from effort
- No real accountability – agency
- Only see politicians when they need a vote
- Poor representation on Council
- The City isn't straight forward with their approach. They come to meetings with their own agenda and don't allow participants to speak openly or challenge their agenda
- Diversity of City staff needs to change – doesn't represent who they are engaging
- Document comments verbatim to ensure their comments aren't reinterpreted by City staff
- Lack of trust
- Things never get done
- I don't look like the people I am trying to engage
- Language and structure (local government)
- Poor marketing (lack of technical services)
- Lack of info-not sure where to obtain info
- Poor marketing (lack of technical services)
- Competing priorities (work, children, etc.)
- Timing of meetings
- Logistics (location, transportation, parking)
- What do I have to gain? What's in it for me? Don't see the end result is actually for them
- Fragmented information
- Where do you spend your resources?
- City leadership does not encourage open exchange of information either within City departments or the community at large



2.

How do race, cultural, ethnic, socio-economic status and other factors impact resident's inclination to engage?

- Some people are historically listened to and others are not
- The struggle of life itself and just making it through the day
- Lack of trust
- Process is fake - outcome is pre-determined
- Don't have 5pm meetings
- Bring the meeting to where the people are
- Young black people don't seem interested in politics
- More immigrant welcoming
- Basic understanding and knowledge
- City should address current immigration issues (haven't heard anything yet)
- Bad perceptions amongst different demographics
- City expenses or lack of per department (i.e. translation)

3.

What actions should the City of Raleigh take to ensure inclusionary engagement, inspire residents to engage and to encourage residents to become civic stewards?

- Not asking the right questions "what would you like to see different in your community"?
- What are the assets of each individual community?
- Engage people where they are (locations & events)
- Inclusion of the black church
- Don't want the things said at the meeting to be translated or misinterpreted
- Rebuild communities of color
- Contact these groups – go through leadership to reach them but involve the group members individually
- Large enough venue for a crowd
- Lack of trust
- Diversion workforce
- Intentional engagement/planning
- Consult with other municipalities on success (what worked for them)
- Spanish media liaison
- Intentional inclusion
- Effective partnerships
- Marketing
- Messaging (consistent)

The groups did not get a chance to report out the findings of their discussion due to a lack of time, but the project team stated they would summarize the discussions and report back to the attendees via email.



NEXT STEPS

After the small group discussions, Simone Robinson related the project's next steps including the community conversation events in November and the development of a Public Participation Playbook. Attendees were invited to contact the project team to request a community conversation for their constituents and were informed that they would receive a copy of the draft Playbook for their review and comments.

Before the meeting time ended, attendees were asked to take the online survey and to serve as ambassadors for the project by sharing project information with their groups.

Participants who were able to stay past the meeting end time then engaged in a group question and answer period where they had the opportunity to ask questions and comment on the discussions they had as well as the format of the forum. Upon request, paper surveys were given to Boys to Men and EAST CAC chairperson to be distributed among their groups.

APPENDIX I

LIST OF ORGANIZATIONS INVITED

UNC Rex Hospital	Tammy Lynn Center
Wake Med Health System Raleigh	National Alliance on Mental Illness Wake County
Evolve Mentoring, Inc	The Power of the Dream
Raleigh Boys and Girls Club	LGBT Center of Raleigh
YMCA of the Triangle	Catholic Charities of the Diocese of Raleigh
Wake County Public School District	D.E.A.R. Foundation Inc.
NC State University	Council on Immigrant Relations
Meredith University	Centro Para Familias Hispanas (CPFH)
Shaw University	El Pueblo
St. Augustine's University	ALPES Alianza Latina Pro-Educacion en Salud
William Peace University	The Vietnamese-American Association of Raleigh
Wake Tech Community College	AARP of North Carolina
Partners for Environmental Justice	Alliance of Disability Advocates
WakeUp Wake County	Raleigh CAC Chair
Oaks and Spokes	South CAC
Raleigh-Wake Citizens Association	Southwest CAC
Crosby- Garfield Community Advocacy Group	North Central CAC
Southeast Raleigh Promise	Alpha Kappa Alpha Sorority
Downtown Raleigh Alliance	Delta Sigma Theta - Social Action Committee M
The Rotary Club of Raleigh	Raleigh Organizing Against Racism (ROAR)
Raleigh Citizens Advisory Council Chair	Glenwood CAC
Black Raleigh / Launch Raleigh	Midtown CAC
African American Caucus of Wake County	Five Points CAC
Democratic Party	Hillsborough CAC
Swedish American Chamber of Commerce	Forestville CAC
Greater Raleigh Chamber of Commerce	Wade CAC
North Carolina Chinese Business Association	Mordecai CAC
Islamic Association of Raleigh	Atlantic CAC
Roman Catholic Diocese of Raleigh	East CAC
The Jewish Federation of Raleigh-Cary	West CAC
NC Council of Churches	North CAC
African Methodist Episcopal Church	Northwest CAC
AME Zion Church	Southeast CAC
Church of God in Christ	South Central CAC
General Baptist State Convention of North Carolina	Northeast CAC
CASA	Boys to Men
Habitat for Humanity Wake County	
Downtown Housing Improvement Corp	
The Raleigh/Wake Partnership to End and Prevent Homelessness	

APPENDIX II

LIST OF ORGANIZATIONS IN ATTENDANCE

Alliance of Disability Advocates
Boys to Men
Centro Para Familias Hispanas (CPFH)
City of Raleigh
El Pueblo
North Central CAC
Partners for Environmental Justice
Shaw University
WakeUp Wake County
St. James City Church
North Carolina State University
Fair Housing Hearing Board
Evolve Mentoring, Inc.
East CAC
Piney Grove AME Church

APPENDIX III

COMMUNITY FORUM SIGN-IN SHEET



Community Engagement Process Development

Planning for a More Equitable Raleigh

RSVP List

Attended	Name	Organization
	Craig Brookings	
✓	Chris Rivera	Alliance of Disability Advocates
✓✓✓	John Goode (+5)	Boys to Men
✓	Maty Ferrer Hoppmann	Centro Para Familias Hispanas
✓	Stacie Hagwood	City of Raleigh
✓	Moises Serrano	El Pueblo
	Octavia Rainey	North Central CAC
✓	Amin Davis	Partners for Environmental Justice
	Carolyn Winters	Partners for Environmental Justice
✓	Michael West Paula Dillard	Shaw University
✓✓	Heather Keefer Caylin Bullock	WakeUp Wake County
✓	Phyllis Royal	
✓	Perusi Benson	NCSU
✓	Sarah Duhard	NCSU
✓	Wanda Coker	FHHB
✓	Kristi Moyer	City of Raleigh, Parks, Recreation, and Cultural Resources Department
	Tolulope Omokaiye	Evolve
✓	Deborah Ford	ECAC
✓	Rev. Whitley	Piney Grove AME Church
	ANNE S. FRANKLIN	PEJ