

Office Use Only Petition No. $\underline{Z'4} - 08$



Petition to Amend the Official Zoning Map

Before the City Council of the City of Raleigh, North Carolina

The petitioner seeks to show the following:

- 1. That, for the purposes of promoting health, morals, or the general welfare, the zoning classification of the property described herein must be changed.
- That the following circumstance(s) exist(s):
 - City Council has erred in establishing the current zoning classification of the property by disregarding one or a combination of the fundamental principles of zoning as set forth in the enabling legislation, North Carolina General Statutes Section 160A-381 and 160A-383.
 - Circumstances have so changed since the property was last zoned that its current zoning classification could not properly be applied to it now were it being zoned for the first time.
 - The property has not heretofore been subject to the zoning regulations of the City of Raleigh.

- 3. That the requested zoning change is or will be in accordance with the Raleigh Comprehensive Plan.
- 4. That the fundamental purposes of zoning as set forth in the N.C. enabling legislation would be best served by changing the zoning classification of the property. Among the fundamental purposes of zoning are:
 - 1) to lessen congestion in the streets;
 - 2) to provide adequate light and air:
 - 3) to prevent the overcrowding of land;
 - to facilitate the adequate provision of transportation, water, sewerage, schools, parks, and other public requirements;
 - 5) to regulate in accordance with a comprehensive plan;
 - 6) to avoid spot zoning; and
 - 7) to regulate with reasonable consideration to the character of the district, the suitability of the land for particular uses, the conservation of the value of buildings within the district and the encouragement of the most appropriate use of the land throughout the City.

THEREFORE, petitioner requests that the Official Zoning map be amended to change the zoning classification of the property as proposed in this submittal, and for such other action as may be deemed appropriate.

Signature(s)	Date: /0 ∽17 - ∞7
Please type or print name(s) clearly:	
Jim Pendergrass c/o Breezewood Holdings, LLC	

Please check boxes where appropriate

Rezoning Petition Form Revised November 1, 2006

EXHIBIT B. Request for Zoning Change

 $\begin{array}{c|c} \text{Office Use Only} \\ \text{Petition No.} & \underline{Z-4-\partial B} \\ \text{Date Filed:} & \underline{10.19-07} \\ \text{Filling Fee:} & \underline{cd.1000.}^{0} & \underline{bu.c.7\pm} & 3477 \end{array}$

Please use this form only - form may be photocopied. Please type or print

See instructions, page 6	No. ()		
	Name(s)	Address	Telephone / E-Mail
 Petitioner(s): Note: Conditional Use District Petitioner(s) must be owner(s) of petitioned property. 	<u>Breezewood Holdings,</u> LLC	1511 Sunday Dr.	919-510-9559
		Suite 220	jpendergrass@render-
		Raleigh, NC 27607	grasslawfirm,com
2) Property Owner(s):	Breezewood Holdings,	1511 Sunday Dr.	<u>919-510-9559</u>
	LLC	Suite 220	ipendergrass@render-
		<u>Raleigh, NC 27607</u>	grasslawfirm,com
3) Contact Person(s):	Jerry J Jensen	111 MacKenan Dr	919-469-3340
	c/o Withers & Ravenel	Cary, NC 27511	jjensen@withersravenel.
			com
4) Property Description: Please provide surveys if proposed zoning boundary lines do not follow property lines.	Wake County Property Identification Number(s) (PIN):		
	Falls Landing Drive @ Famv		
5) Area of Subject Property (acres):	34.40 acres		
6) Current Zoning District(s) Classification: Include Overlay District(s), If Applicable	<u>R-10</u>		
7) Proposed Zoning District Classification: Include Overlay District(s) if Applicable. If existing Overlay District is to remain, please state.	R-15 CUD		

Item 4) Property Description

Wake County Property Identification Number(s) (PIN)

1729.04-61-1682	1729.04-80-2758
	1729.04-81-1099
1729.04-61-9647	1729.04-81-3046
1729.04-71-2690	1729.04-80-4868
1729.04-71-1593	1729.04-80-3822
	1729.04-80-1895
1729.04-71-6299	1729.04-80-0877
1729.04-71-4469	1729.04-80-0909
1729.04-71-5598	
1729.04-71-7597	1729.04-71-6033
1729.04-71-9429	
1729.04-71-7394	1729.04-71-0386
1729.04-71-6315	
	1729.04-61-6537
1729.04-81-2466	
1729.04-81-0434	
1729.04-81-1515	
1729.04-81-2545	
1729.04-81-3565	
1729.04-81-4475	
1729.04-81-3380	
1729.04-81-2304	

Exhibit B. continued

8) Adjacent Property Owners

The following are all of the person, firms, property owners, associations, corporations, entities or governments owning property adjacent to and within one a condominium property owners association. Please complete hundred (100) feet (excluding right-of-way) of (front, rear, all sides and across any street) the property sought to be rezoned.

Office Use Only Petition No.	<u>2</u> -	-08	

(Important: Include PIN Numbers with names, addresses and zip codes.) Indicate if property is owned by ownership information in the boxes below in the format illustrated in the first box. Please use this form only - form may be photocopied - please type or print.

Name(s):	Street Address(es):	City/State/Zip:	Wake Co. PIN #'s:
PLEASE SEE ATTACHED		-	
<u>EXHIBIT A</u>			
·			

For additional space, photocopy this page.

Rezoning Petition Form Revised November 1, 2006

BREEZEWOOD CONDOMINIUMS EXHIBIT A

PIN NUM OWNER CLEVELAND, NEIL L JR & KATHLEEN 1729517898 1729518303 WILL IT LLC 1729518601 BLEDSOE, KENNETH 1729518708 WILL IT LLC 1729518755 WILL IT LLC 1729518960 **BRADYWINE LLC** 1729519819 MITTEN, ROBERT L & JAYNE E 1729519861 BRANDYWINE LLC 1729519879 LEATHERMAN, ALICE C 1729610828 MILLER, GARY L & JEAN MARIE 1729610878 RAUER, KAREN SUE 1729611356 BLEDSOE, KATHLEEN M & TED M 1729611828 DELTORO, CORWIN & PATRICIA 1729611877 LYNT, PETER B 1729612441 DINH, HOANG K 1729612483 AUSTIN, ANGELA & SADAM 1729612530 RAVANBAKHT, JASON A 1729612571 CARTER, JOHN W 1729612827 **BEEKMAN, RICHARD & JUDITH FONDA** 1729612877 LECANN, NICOLE 1729613422 RAVENBAKHT, JASON A. 1729613462 RAFFERTY, CHRISTINE A 1729613511 WALTON, CAROLYN P 1729613551 AUSTIN, VALERIE SUZANNE 1729613591 WOOLDRIDGE, KIMBERLY 1729613846 DIXON, THOMAS C III & JANET R COBB, CHRISTOPHER A 1729613896 1729614402 HUELSMANN, ANTOINETTE D 1729614442 LABAU, MICHAEL 1729614473 DAUGHERTY, GERALD & ROBIN 1729614531 FAIRCLOTH, KENNETH & DONNA ACREE, JOHN F & ACREE, DAVID J 1729614571 1729614846 TERBET, COLLEEN ANN 1729614895 MARA, JO ANN 1729615413 LANDRY, MICHAEL R 1729615453 BEST, JACOB F 1729615493 BRAGG, NANCY S 1729615511 AUSTIN, STEVEN & JOANNA L 1729615552 PIPAS, ROBERT 1729615845 **DIBATTISTA, CARMINE & MARSHA C** 1729616433 HUISMAN, JOHN W & ARIEL L 1729616511 STOCKETT, RICHARD H & MARY R 1729616815 PIERSON, FRANK W & JULIE ANN 1729616861 BOYER, JAMES & HALEY 1729617287 HAIRR, FREDERICK & MARTINE 1729617359 TAYLOR, MANCIN & CAROL P 1729617365 HOLLINGER, CHRISTINE P 1729617371 STOCKETT, RICHARD H & MARY R 1729617444 WHITE, DAVID E 1729617905 HOLBROOK, CYRUS AUBURN III

STREET ADDRESS 4305 FALLS RIVER AVE 6729 FALLS OF NEUSE RD **1901 DUNN RD** 6729 FALLS OF NEUSE RD 6729 FALLS OF NEUSE RD 448 VIKING DR STE 220 2020 HOPETON AVE 448 VIKING DR STE 220 2024 HOPETON AVE 2028 HOPETON AVE 2032 HOPETON AVE 1917 DUNN RD 2036 HOPETON AVE 2040 HOPETON AVE **1811 BARNGATE WAY** 1815 BARNGATE WAY 100 HARTLAND CT 1824 FALLS LANDING DR 2044 HOPETON AVE 2048 HOPETON AVE 1819 BARNGATE WAY **1823 BARNGATE WAY** 1828 FALLS LANDING DR 1904 FALLS LANDING DR 1908 FALLS LANDING DR 2054 HOPETON AVE 2058 HOPETON AVE **1827 BARNGATE WAY** 1901 BARNGATE WAY 1905 BARNGATE WAY 1910 FALLS LANDING DR 1912 FALLS LANDING DR 2062 HOPETON AVE 2066 HOPETON AVE 1909 BARNGATE WAY 1913 BARNGATE WAY 10500 BYRUM WOODS DR 1914 FALLS LANDING DR 1916 FALLS LANDING DR 2070 HOPETON AVE **1921 BARNGATE WAY** 4098 BARRETT DR 10402 PUMPKIN LN 10406 PUMPKIN LN 11008 FARMVILLE RD 15053 CALLE VERANO 11016 FARMVILLE RD 6201 CARLYLE DR 11108 FARMVILLE RD 2102 HOPETON AVEENUE

Z-4-08

CITY STATE ZIP RALEIGH, NC 27614 RALEIGH, NC 27615 RALEIGH, NC 27614 RALEIGH, NC 27615 RALEIGH, NC 27615 VIRGINIA BEACH, VA 23452 RALEIGH, NC 27614 VIRGINIA BEACH, VA 23452 RALEIGH, NC 27614 RALEIGH NC 27613 RALEIGH, NC 27614 RALEIGH, NC 27614 RALEIGH, NC 27614 RALEIGH, NC 27614 RALEIGH, NC 27609 RALEIGH, NC 27614 RALEIGH, NC 27614 RALEIGH, NC 27614 CHINO HILLS CA 91709 RALEIGH, NC 27614 RALEIGH, NC 27614 RALEIGH, NC 27614 RALEIGH, NC 27614

BREEZEWOOD CONDOMINIUMS EXHIBIT A

2-4-08

1729618807	LEHMAN, EILLEN	2101 KAR
1729618930	SMITH, GENE	2105 KAR
1729619107	WOODHOUSE, MARK	10916 FAF
1729619146	MCGINNIS, JASON & DACEY	10912 FAF
1729619185	TAYLOR, VIVIAN MARIE	10908 FAF
1729619208	FALLS GLEN HOA INC	PO BOX 2
1729619840	KURTZ, PENNI G	2104 KARI
1729619884	CHIAVATTI, ANTHONY J & MELANIE C	2108 KARI
1729701908	MEZA, ARISTIDES J	10833 FAF
1729701947	STOCKETT, RICHARD H & MARY R	10829 FAR
1729701986	CARUTHERS, MARGARET R.	10825 FAR
1729702925	GIPPLE, ARTHUR M IV	10821 FAR
1729702964	REID, TAMMY M	10817 FAR
1729703922	JONES, SCOTT WILLIAM	10813 FAR
1729703966	DAVIS, MICHAEL K	10809 FAR
1729704888	FALLS GLEN HOA INC	PO BOX 20
1729705514	DUNN, HORACE & JESSIE;	1925 DUNN
1729705514	DUNN, WORTH & HELEN	1925 DUNN
1729709627	FALLS COMMONS TWNHMS HOA	C/O PPM P
1729709669	OLMSTEAD, KEVIN SCOTT	10921 PEN
1729709780	LYNCH, JIMMY	5705 OAK I
1729710125	HICKS, KIMBERLY E	10904 FAR
1729711103	BOYLE, LORI ANNE	10832 FAR
1729711142	FOOTE, STEPHEN C & JENNIFER	10828 FAR
1729711171	PSYRAS, DIANA M & JAMES WARD JR	2200 VIZCA
1729711723	BRANDYWINE LLC	448 VIKING
1729711815	BOTTOMLEY, ERIC & PETRA M	10518 EVE
1729711863	DABEK, CHRISTOPHER & MICHELLE	10522 EVE
1729712039	FANNIE MAE	950 E PACE
1729712801	WILSON, DAVID	10526 EVE
1729712851	LUPTON, KEVIN & JENNIFER	10530 EVER
1729712890	AKHAVI, SHAHPOUR S & MICHELLE C	10534 EVER
1729713070	FINCH, KARENSTEELE, PATRICK	10805 FARM
1729713840	FISCHER, SALLIE	10538 EVEF
1729713880	HOYLE, WILLIAM & JULIE ANN	10542 EVER
1729714739	NUECES, IVELCA DE LAS	10546 EVER
1729715709	BARNEY, JOSEPH & NANCEY	10550 EVEF
1729715749	MORSE, JEFFREY A JR & MICHELE B	10554 EVEF
1729715788	SZWARCKOP, ANDREW J & CYNTHIA	10558 EVER
1729716728	ZEIGLER, MATTHEW & LARENA	10562 EVER
1729716768	LAY, SHERRY L	10566 EVER
1729717658	BRANDYWINE LLC	448 VIKING
1729717708	LAFORTE, JASON & AMANDA	10570 EVER
1729717747	BLYTHE, MICHAEL P & LINDA E	10574 EVER
1729717787	KRUGER, STEVEN J & LISA T	10578 EVER
1729718727	LEARY, KURT DEMAY & VALERIE HOLT	10582 EVER
1729718767	CRENSHAW, LESTER III & VIRGINIA	10586 EVER
1729719706	SIMPSON, COREY P & JILL N	10590 EVER
1729719756	PRESTO, JAMES & JESSICA	10590 EVER
1729800588	SCHNEIDER, THERESE M	10950 PEND
1729800701	CURRIE, RHONDA	5308 OLD F

RNS PLACE RALEIGH, NC 27614 RNS PLACE RALEIGH, NC 27614 RMVILLE RD RALEIGH, NC 27614 RMVILLE RD RALEIGH, NC 27614 RMVILLE RD RALEIGH, NC 27614 20969 RALEIGH NC 27619 INS PL RALEIGH, NC 27614 NS PL RALEIGH, NC 27614 RMVILLE RD RALEIGH, NC 27614 0969 RALEIGH, NC 27619 IN RD RALEIGH, NC 27614 IN RD RALEIGH, NC 27614 PO BOX 99657 RALEIGH, NC 27624 NDRAGON PL RALEIGH, NC 27614 FOREST DR RALEIGH NC 27616 RALEIGH, NC 27614 RMVILLE RD RMVILLE RD RALEIGH, NC 27614 RMVILLE RD RALEIGH, NC 27614 AYA CIR CAMPBELL, CA 95008 G DR STE 220 VIRGINIA BEACH, VA 23452 RGREEN SPRING PL RALEIGH, NC 27614 RGREEN SPRING PL RALEIGH, NC 27614 ES FERRY RD ATLANTA, GA 30326 RGREEN SPRING PL RALEIGH, NC 27614 RGREEN SPRING PL RALEIGH, NC 27614 RGREEN SPRING PL RALEIGH, NC 27614 MVILLE RD RALEIGH, NC 27614 RGREEN SPRING PL RALEIGH, NC 27614 DR STE 220 VIRGINIA BEACH, VA 23452 RGREEN SPRING PL RALEIGH, NC 27614 DRAGOM PL RALEIGH, NC 27614 ORGE CIR RALEIGH, NC 27609

BREEZEWOOD CONDOMINIUMS EXHIBIT A

1729800712 WILLIAMS, TAMIKA D 1729800774 FISH ROGERS, KELLEY ANNE 1729800794 LANE, BRIAN & NICOLE 1729801508 LYNCH, JIMMY M 1729801528 WELLS, MARCUS 1729801547 LYNCH, JIMMY M 1729801567 ZELLAR, ERIN 1729801566 BENNETT, AMANDA 1729801713 LYNCH, EDMUND F III 1729801733 SMITH, KENNETH B 1729801762 CLARK, DEBORAH LYNN 1729801782 FALLS COMMONS TWNHMS HOA NEWSOME, DEBRA 1729802506 1729802525 POPE, SHARON 1729802689 FRYE, PHILLIP ANDREW 1729802701 HARRIS, KENDALL LASHAUN 1729802721 HUNDEBY, COLEMAN & TONYA 1729802740 SERENITA, ROSE-MARIE & IRVIN C 1729802760 FULLER, KIMBERLY A 1729803543 FALLS COMMONS TWNHMS HOA 1729803609 WILLIAMS, DOMONIC GERROD 1729803628 PHILLIPS, ERIX A & PAMELA E 1729803648 STONE, ALICIA J 1729806536 GRANTHAM, LENORE H 1729806630 HARRIS, REBECCA S & SCOTT C 1729807697 **BRANDYWINE LLC** 1729810750 BUTLER, PHILIP & MEGAN MCNEIL, MELVA R & DAVID I MATTHEW 1729810754 1729812628 PRESERVATION HOMES LLC 1729812722 REED, J ANDREW & ANNE H 1729812849 BRANDYWINE LLC 1729815878 BEDFORD AT FALLS RIVER MAS ASSOC 1729816343 MODINOS-CAPPS, BARBARA 1729816345 TAYLOR, DANIEL COBB & DALIA 1729816347 LEVY, HEATHER M 1729816349 JOHNSON, ANTHONEY LEVAR 1729816442 BOGUE, RODD S, LTD PTNRP 1729816446 PAPPAS, SUSAN T 1729816448 ROACH, CHARLES A 1729816540 MIANO, RICHARD A & LORRAINE I 1729816542 KAPP, KELLY ANNE 1729816545 STRODER, RICHARD LEE 1729816599 LOCKE, PAUL F 1729817102 BRANDYWINE LLC 1729817379 BRIGGS, KIMBERLY 1729817472 TEMPLE, LYNNE 1729817620 VANG, MICHAEL E & YEE YER 1729819206 BRANDYWINE LLC

10927 PENDRAGON PL 10941 PENDRAGON PL 10943 PENDRAGON PL 5705 OAK FOREST DR 10954 PENDRAGON PL 5705 OAK FOREST DR 10958 PENDRAGON PL 10960 PENDRAGON PL 10945 PENDRAGON PL 10947 PENDRAGON PL 10949 PENDRAGON PL C/O PPM PO BOX 99657 10962 PENDRAGON PL 10964 PENDRAGON PL 10969 PENDRAGON PL 10961 PENDRAGON PL 2733 STARBIRD DR 10965 PENDRAGON PL 10967 PENDRAGON PL PO BOX 99657 10971 PENDRAGON PL 10973 PENDRAGON PL 10975 PENDRAGON PL 11019 CONNALLY LN 11021 CONNALLY LN PO BOX 788 2107 CLOUD COVER 2111 CLOUD COVER **500 UWHARRIE CT** 2110 CLOUD COVER 448 VIKING DR STE 220 PO BOX 99657 2205 BANKS HILL ROW 2207 BANKS HILL ROW 2209 BANKS HILL ROW 2211 BANKS HILL ROW 12308 CAMBERWELL CT 2221 BANKS HILL ROW 2223 BANKS HILL ROW 920 STONE FALLS TRL 2227 BANKS HILL ROW 2229 BANKS HILL ROW 2235 BANKS HILL ROW 448 VIKING DR STE 220 11425 SHADOW ELMS LN 11427 SHADOW ELMS LN 2237 BANKS HILL ROW 448 VIKING DR STE 220

RALEIGH, NC 27614 RALEIGH, NC 27614 RALEIGH, NC 27614 RALEIGH, NC 27616 RALEIGH, NC 27614 RALEIGH, NC 27616 RALEIGH, NC 27614 RALEIGH NC 27624 RALEIGH NC 27624 RALEIGH NC 27624 RALEIGH, NC 27614 RALEIGH, NC 27614 COSTA MESA, CA 92626 RALEIGH, NC 27614 RALEIGH, NC 27614 RALEIGH NC 27624 RALEIGH, NC 27614 CARY, NC 27512 RALEIGH, NC 27614 RALEIGH, NC 27614 RALEIGH, NC 27606 RALEIGH, NC 27614 VIRGINIA BEACH, VA 23452 RALEIGH NC 27624 RALEIGH, NC 27614 VIRGINIA BEACH ,VA 23452 RALEIGH, NC 27614 RALEIGH, NC 27614 RALEIGH, NC 27614 VIRGINIA BEACH, VA 23452

EXHIBIT D. Petitioner's Argument on Behalf of The Zoning Change Requested

Please use this form only - form may be photocopied - please type or print.

This section is reserved for the applicant to state factual information in support of the rezoning request.

Required items of discussion:

The Planning Department is instructed not to accept any application for amending the official zoning map without a statement prepared by the applicant analyzing the reasonableness of the rezoning request. This statement *shall* address the consistency of the proposed rezoning with the Comprehensive Plan and any other applicable *City*-adopted plan(s), the compatibility of the proposed rezoning with the *property* and surrounding area, and the benefits and detriments of the proposed rezoning for the landowner, the immediate neighbors and the surrounding community.

Recommended items of discussion (where applicable):

- 1. An error by the City Council in establishing the current zoning classification of the property.
- 2. How circumstances (land use and future development plans) have so changed since the property was last zoned that its current zoning classification could not properly be applied to it now were it being zoned for the first time.
- 3. The public need for additional land to be zoned to the classification requested.
- 4. The impact on public services, facilities, infrastructure, fire and safety, parks and recreation, topography, access to light and air, etc.

PETITIONER'S STATEMENT:

- I. <u>Consistency of the proposed map amendment with the Comprehensive Plan</u> (www.raleighnc.gov).
 - A. Please state which District Plan area the subject property is located within and the recommended land use for this property:

District Plan: North Planning District Recommended Land Use per District Plan : Residential

B. Please state whether the subject property is located within any adopted Regional Center Plan, Small Area Plan, Corridor Plan, Neighborhood Plan, Watershed Plan, Streetscape Plan, Redevelopment Plan or other City Council-adopted plans and policies and discuss the policies applicable to future development within the plan(s) area.

Property is not located within any other City Council adopted plan.

Office Use Only Petition No.	Z-4-68	
Date Filed:		

C. Is the proposed map amendment consistent or inconsistent with the Comprehensive Plan and other City Council-adopted plans and policies?

<u>Proposed map amendment is not consistent with Comprehensive Plan. Current plan guidelines</u> suggest residential-suburban densities of six (6) dwelling units per acre or less. However, this parcel has unusual circumstances that would prevent it from meeting this guideline.

II. <u>Compatibility of the proposed map amendment with the property and the surrounding area.</u>

A. Description of land uses within the surrounding area (residential housing types, parks, institutional uses, commercial uses, large parking lots, thoroughfares and collector streets, transit facilities):

Surrounding area is residential in character with a mixture of housing types such as single family multi-family.

B. Description of existing Zoning patterns (zoning districts including overlay districts) and existing built environment (densities, building heights, setbacks, tree cover, buffer yards):

<u>Property is surrounded by property zoned R-10 to the south and PDD zoning (Bedford at Falls River) for the remaining sides. Densities, building setbacks, etc. are consistent with the surrounding area.</u>

C. Explanation of how the proposed zoning map amendment is compatible with the suitability of the property for particular uses and the character of the surrounding area

In 1998 the subject property was approved for 344 dwelling units, per GH-2-98. This approval allowed density transfer from the public street right-of-way (37 units) into the lots. Since then the project approval has sunset and any subsequent approval of a group housing plan will not allow density transfer from the existing public right-of-way based on current City Code ordinances. As a result 37 units would be forfeited from the original approval of 344 dwelling units. This conditional use request would allow future group housing approvals to be made by limiting the number of dwelling units to 344, as originally intended. This density is consistent with the expectation of the current Breezewood Condominium neighborhood and the surrounding neighborhoods.

III. Benefits and detriments of the proposed map amendment.

A. For the landowner(s):

The landowner will benefit by being able to develop the property to the original proposed density of 344 dwelling units.

(continued)

Office Use Only Petition No.	Z-4-08
Date Filed:	

B. For the immediate neighbors:

The Breezewood Condominium neighborhood can realize the full intent of the project, which was to build 344 dwelling units. Without the rezoning the HOA will have higher maintenance costs per resident since there will be fewer dwellings allowed using the current zoning.

C. For the surrounding community:

The surrounding community will be able to realize the housing intent of the area, generating tax base, etc.

IV. Does the rezoning of this property provide a significant benefit which is not available to the surrounding properties? Explain:

This rezoning does not benefit the property in any way other than what was originally intended, to build 344 condominium units. No additional units are being requested, just those originally envisioned for the project.

Explain why the characteristics of the subject property support the proposed map amendment as reasonable and in the public interest.

Public infrastructure such as: streets, utilities, storm drainage, landscaping, etc. have already been installed to support 344 condominium units. There have been 266 units completed and 78 dwelling units remain to be built. Infrastructure already installed can easily accommodate the remaining units without public involvement. This zoning amendment will allow the original density to be realized and it is reasonable and in public interest to complete this project.

V. <u>Recommended items of discussion (where applicable).</u>

a. An error by the City Council in establishing the current zoning classification of the property.

No error occurred.

b. How circumstances (land use and future development plans) have so changed since the property was last zoned that its current zoning classification could not properly be applied to it now were it being zoned for the first time.

Current zoning and land use ordinances would not allow the project to be constructed to 344 units as originally intended since density cannot be transferred out of existing public street right-of-way.

(continued)

Office Use Only Petition No. Date Filed:	2-4-03

c. The public need for additional land to be zoned to the classification requested.

The public, in particular, the Breezewood Condominium HOA deserve to see the development of the project built out to it's original intent of 344 units; otherwise HOA costs per resident will significantly increase, to maintain existing infrastructure and landscaping since the original cost sharing basis was for 344 units.

d. The impact on public services, facilities, infrastructure, fire and safety, parks and recreation, topography, access to light and air, etc.

All public and private infrastructure is already in place to support 344 dwelling units as requested. No additional public services are needed to support this request since services were previously planned in the original group housing approval for this project.

VI. Other arguments on behalf of the map amendment requested.

SEE EXHIBIT "B" ATTACHED HERETO AND INCORPORATED HEREIN BY REFERENCE.

EXHIBIT "B"

The property that is the subject of this rezoning petition was originally approved for three hundred forty four (344) condominium units as an R-10 development. It was believed by the developers and various individuals who have purchased units in the development that two (2) separate condominium regimes had been properly established on the property by the engineers and attorneys who prepared the Plats, Plans and Condominium documents. It has recently been discovered that in fact the Plats, Plans and Condominium documents are inadequate either to create valid condominium units or to establish two (2) separate condominium regimes. Due to the foregoing, no legal title actually passed to any of the buyers of units due to no fault either of the developers or the intended purchasers. At the time the various plats were recorded since the aforementioned 1998 approval, the rights-of-way of Dunn Road, Falls Landing Drive and Farmville Road were dedicated to the City of Raleigh. The density was transferred from those rights-of-way to the balance of the acreage, which density transfer amounted to thirty seven (37) of the three hundred forty four (344) units.

During the course of the development, the product being constructed changed as did the developer. Purported buyers of the units purchased the units believing the development to actually be two (2) separate condominium regimes divided along product lines. The first condominium regime was to consist of one hundred thirty two (132) units and the second condominium regime was to consist of two hundred twelve (212) units. The two (2) products constructed or which are being constructed are very different, both in style and construction materials, thereby making it difficult to manage as a single condominium regime.

In order to now divide the units along product lines, to enable two (2) separate condominium regimes to be established, a multi-lot subdivision of the thirty four (34) acres is needed. To obtain that subdivision approval and maintain the original three hundred forty four (344) unit yield requires the owner be credited for the lands from the aforementioned rights-of-way dedicated to the City in 1998 or the thirty seven (37) units created by that density transfer will be lost. No additional density other than that which was originally approved is being sought.



Certified Recommendation

of the City of Raleigh Planning Commission

Case File:	Z-04-08 Conditional Use; Falls Landing Drive
General Location:	Falls Landing Drive, north side and south side, northeast of its intersection with Dunn Road
Planning District / CAC:	North / North
Request:	Petition for Rezoning from Residential-10 to Residential-15 Conditional Use District.
Comprehensive Plan Consistency:	The request is inconsistent with the Comprehensive Plan
Valid Protest Petition (VSPP):	NO
Recommendation:	The Planning Commission finds that this request is inconsistent with the Comprehensive Plan. However, based on the Findings and Reasons stated

herein, the Planning Commission recommends that this request be approved in accordance with conditions dated October 17, 2007.



CASE FILE:	Z-04-08 Conditional Use
LOCATION:	This site is located on the north side and south side of Falls Landing Drive, northeast of its intersection with Dunn Road.
REQUEST:	This request is to rezone approximately 34.40 acres, currently zoned Residential- 10. The proposal is to rezone the property to Residential-15 Conditional Use District.
COMPREHENSIVE PLAN CONSISTENCY:	The request is inconsistent with the Comprehensive Plan.
RECOMMENDATION:	The Planning Commission finds that this request is inconsistent with the Comprehensive Plan. However, based on the Findings and Reasons stated herein, the Planning Commission recommends that this request be approved in accordance with conditions dated October 17, 2007.
FINDINGS AND REASONS:	(1) That this request is inconsistent with the Comprehensive Plan that recommends low density residential uses for the site. However, the request is consistent with the medium density residential use (total of 344 dwelling units at R-10 density) that was originally approved for the site as per GH-2- 98. This initially approved site plan sunset before the project was completed. The rezoning is an attempt to reinstate the originally approved use and density on the site, while no additional changes are being requested.
	(2) That the conditions of the rezoning request limit the property to a total of 344 dwelling units (R-10 density - 344 units on 34.4 acres), thus rendering it consistent with the originally approved use and density on the site as per GH-2-98.
	(3) That the rezoning request is an action consistent with a recommendation of the Raleigh Board of Adjustment ruling of November 19 ^o 2007 that approved granting of a variance for this property, with the condition that the applicants continue to pursue a rezoning application, The granted variance, independent of the rezoning action, would allow the property to be given credit for right-of-way density transfer of 37 units, even though the right-of- way was dedicated to the City previously by the developer.
	(4) That the rezoning request is an attempt to resolve the outstanding legal title issues that exist for condominium unit owners of the development, a result of failure to timely subdivide the property as approved by GH-2-98. The granted variance would adequately ensure securing appropriate titles for condominium property owners and the rezoning would incorporate the Board's action into the Official Zoning Map to reflect consistency between the built environment and zoning designation, thereby, justifying the reasonableness and public interest served by this request.
	(5) That based on the above stated reasons, its context and the unique circumstances rendered by the Raleigh Board of Adjustment ruling pertinent to this property, the Planning Commission recommends approval of this request.

To PC:	1/29/08		
Case History:	1/29/08 Deferred by PC property.	for further evaluation of the variance ruling on this	
To CC:	2/19/08	City Council Status:	
Staff Coordinator:	Dhanya Sandeep		
Motion: Second: In Favor:	Smith Bartholomew Anderson, Bartholomew, Butler, Chambliss, Davis, Gaylord, Harris Edmisten, Holt, Smith		
Opposed: Excused:	Haq		
	This document is a true and accurate statement of the findings and recommendations of the Planning Commission. Approval of this documer incorporates all of the findings of the Staff Report attached.		
Signatures:	(Planning Dir.)	(PC Chair)	

date:_____ date: 2/14/08



Zoning Staff Report: Z-04-08 Conditional Use

LOCATION:	This site is located on the north side and south side of Falls Landing Drive, northeast of its intersection with Dunn Road.	
AREA OF REQUEST:	34.40 acres	
PROPERTY OWNER:	Breezewood Holdings LLC	
CONTACT PERSON:	Jerry J. Jensen c/o Withers & Ravenel, 919-469-3340	
PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION DEADLINE:	May 21, 2008	

ZONING:	Current Zoning	Proposed Zoning
	Residential-10	Residential-15 CUD
	Current Overlay District	Proposed Overlay District
	N/A	N/A
ALLOWABLE DWELLING UNITS:	Current Zoning	Proposed Zoning
	344 Dwelling Units	344 Dwelling Units (per zoning conditions)
ALLOWABLE OFFICE SQUARE FOOTAGE:	Current Zoning	Proposed Zoning
	Not permitted	Not permitted
ALLOWABLE RETAIL SQUARE FOOTAGE:	Current Zoning	Proposed Zoning
	Not permitted	Not permitted
ALLOWABLE GROUND SIGNS:	Current Zoning	Proposed Zoning
	Tract ID Sign	Tract ID Sign
ZONING HISTORY:	The subject properties and few other parcels surrounding it were rezoned from Residential-4 to Residential-10 in 1994. The surrounding areas to the east, north and west, adopted the Planned Development District in 1994 (Falls River Master Plan- MP-1-94). The subject property has remained at R-10 zoning since that time.	

A variance was filed for this property in 2007 that proposed to retain the additional density of 37 units originally granted in 1998 due to a right-of-w density transfer, that eventually sunset before completion of the project.	
November 19, 2007, the Raleigh Board of Adjustment voted to approve the	ie
request for a variance per Code Section 10-2074 to allow existing right-of	
areas of Dunn Road, Falls Landing Drive, and Farmville Road to be given	credit
for density transfer even though the right-of-way was dedicated to the City	/ of
Raleigh previously by the developer, with the condition that Breezewood	
Holdings, LLC, its heirs or successors, continue to pursue its current rezo	
application before the City of Raleigh, but the variance is not affected if th rezoning application is denied.	е
rezoning application is defiled.	

SURROUNDING ZONING:	NORTH: Residential-4 w/ PDD SOUTH: Residential-10 EAST: Residential-4 w/ PDD WEST: Residential-4 w/ PDD
LAND USE:	Multifamily Residential Use - Condominiums
SURROUNDING LAND USE: NORTH: low density residential uses SOUTH: medium density residential uses EAST: low density residential uses WEST: low density residential uses	

EXHIBIT C AND D ANALYSIS:

COMPREHENSIVE PLAN SUMMARY TABLE:

In addition to the various systems plans (i.e. Transportation Plan, Parks and Recreation Plan, etc.) that are part of the City's adopted Comprehensive Plan the following table summarizes the other comprehensive plan elements that have been adopted by the City Council.

Element	Application to case
Planning District	North
Urban Form	Low Density Residential
Specific Area Plan	Neuse River/ Richland Creek Watershed Plan
Guidelines	Secondary Watershed Protection Area

1. Consistency of the proposed rezoning with the Comprehensive Plan and any applicable Cityadopted plan(s).

The request is inconsistent with the Comprehensive Plan in that, low density residential uses are recommended for the properties. The subject properties are located within the North Planning District, to the north and south of Landmark Drive, northeast of its intersection with Dunn Road. The North District Urban Form map designates the properties for suburban low density residential uses which permit up to 6 dwelling units per acre.

The properties also fall within the secondary watershed protection area of the Neuse River/ Richland Creek Watershed Plan. The plan recommends appropriate land use and adoption of water supply watershed protection policies to conform to the Class WS-IV NSW standards in consideration of the

current Comprehensive Plan land use recommendations and the economic development interests within the plan area.

2. Compatibility of the proposed rezoning with the property and surrounding area.

The surrounding land uses are predominantly residential in character with a mix of housing types which includes single family detached and multi-family residential. Subject site is surrounded by R-4 zoning and Planned Development District (Bedford at Falls River) to its north, east and west and with R-10 zoning to its south. The proposed densities are compatible with the surrounding areas. Per GH-2-98 approved in 1998, the subject property was approved for 344 dwelling units. This approval allowed density transfer of 37 units from the public street right-of-way into the lots. Since then the 5-year sunset date has expired and any subsequent approval of a group housing plan on this site will not be entitled to the previously-approved density transfer. As a result, 37 units would be forfeited from the original approval of 344 Dwelling Units. The proposed rezoning, with the stipulated conditions would allow future group housing approvals to be made by limiting the number of dwelling units on the site to 344, as was originally intended. The applicant notes that this density is consistent with the expectation of the current Breezewood Condominium neighborhood and the surrounding neighborhoods.

3. Public benefits of the proposed rezoning

The proposed rezoning while being inconsistent with the recommendations of the Comprehensive Plan is consistent with the existing use on the site and with what was originally approved to be developed on the site. Per GH-2-98 approved in 1998, the subject property was approved for 344 Dwelling Units. This approval allowed density transfer of 37 units from the public street right-of-way into the lots. Since then the project approval has sunset and any subsequent approval of a group housing plan will not be entitled to the previously-approved density transfer. As a result, 37 units would be forfeited from the original approval of 344 Dwelling Units. The proposed rezoning, with the stipulated conditions would allow future group housing approvals to be made by limiting the number of dwelling units on the site to 344, as was originally intended. The rezoning request is an attempt to reinstate the originally approved density on the site.

The applicant notes that the landowner would benefit from being able to develop the property to the original proposed density of 344 dwelling units. That the residents of the condominiums on the site will benefit from being able to appropriate legal titles to the properties they own. That the surrounding community would also benefit in being able to realize the housing needs of the area and in generating tax base for the city. Further, the applicant notes that the Breezewood Condominium HOA supports to see the development of the project out to its original intent of 344 units; otherwise HOA costs per resident will significantly increase, to maintain existing infrastructure and landscaping since the original cost sharing basis was for 344 units. It should be noted that the variance granted by the Raleigh Board of Adjustment addresses the issues which initiated this rezoning request. Therefore, the need for the rezoning of the property in addition to the approved variance should be evaluated further.

4. Detriments of the proposed rezoning

The proposed rezoning while being inconsistent with the recommendations of the Comprehensive Plan is consistent with the existing use on the site and with what was originally approved to be developed on the site. The proposed rezoning, with the stipulated conditions would allow future group housing approvals to be made by limiting the number of dwelling units on the site to 344, as was originally intended. The rezoning request is an attempt to reinstate the originally approved density on the site. Therefore, there are no major adverse impacts apparent with this rezoning as the proposed density remains the same as that approved for the site. However, R-15 CUD zoning could potentially set precedence for the rezoning other properties within the general area.

5. The impact on public services, facilities, infrastructure, fire and safety, parks and recreation, etc.

TRANSPORTATION:	Dunn Road is classified as a minor thoroughfare. West of Falls Landing Drive, Dunn Road is constructed to City standards as a 60-foot back-to-back curb and gutter section on varying right-of-way with sidewalks on both sides. To the east of Falls Landing Drive, Dunn Road exists as a 20-foot shoulder and ditch section on 60 feet of right-of-way. Falls Landing Drive is classified as a residential collector street and is constructed to City Standards as a 36-foot back-to-back curb and gutter section with sidewalk on one side on 55 feet of right-of-way. Farmville Road is classified as a residential street and is constructed to City standards as a 31-foot back to back curb and gutter section with sidewalk on one side on a 50- foot right-of-way. Neither NCDOT nor the City of Raleigh have any projects planned in the vicinity of this case.
TRANSIT:	The site is not within close proximity of current bus routes and/or a proposed regional rail transit station. No transit easement is needed.
HYDROLOGY:	FLOODPLAIN: some alluvial soils flood hazard areas DRAINAGE BASIN: Neuse STORMWATER MANAGEMENT: This site is subject to Part 10, Chapter 9 (Stormwater Control and Watercourse Buffer Regulations) of the Raleigh City Code.
PUBLIC UTILITIES:	The proposed rezoning retains the maximum number of dwelling units that would be permitted under the current zoning (344 units). There are existing sanitary sewer and water mains adjacent to the proposed rezoning area which would serve said area.
PARKS AND RECREATION:	This property is not adjacent to a greenway corridor.
WAKE COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS:	No impacts as the density remains the same at 344 dwelling units.
IMPACTS SUMMARY:	This rezoning does not provide any additional density, other than what was originally intended and approved for the site, which is a total of 344 dwelling units. All public and private infrastructures are already in place to support the 344 dwelling units as requested. No additional public services are needed to support this request since services were previously planned in the original group housing approval for this project.

OPTIONAL ITEMS OF DISCUSSION

1. An error by the City Council in establishing the current zoning classification of the property.

N/A

2. How circumstances (land use and future development plans) have so changed since the property was last zoned that its current zoning classification could not be property applied to it now were it being zoned for the first time.

Per GH-2-98 approved in 1998, the subject property was approved for 344 dwelling units. This approval allowed density transfer of 37 units from the public street right-of-way into the lots. Since then the project approval has sunset and any subsequent approval of a group housing plan will not allow density transfer from the existing public right-of-way based on current City Code ordinances. As a result, 37 units would be forfeited from the original approval of 344 dwelling units. The proposed rezoning, with the stipulated conditions would allow future group housing approvals to be made by limiting the number of dwelling units on the site to 344, as was originally intended. The rezoning request is an attempt to reinstate the originally approved density on the site.

APPEARANCE COMMISSION: This request is not subject to Appearance Commission review.

CITIZEN'S ADVISORY COUNCIL:

DISTRICT: North CAC CONTACT PERSON: Tom Slater, 846-0584; Ann Weathersbee, 876-1807

SUMMARY OF ISSUES:

COMPREHENSIVE PLAN / COMPATIBILITY / ADVERSE IMPACTS:

- 1. Outstanding issues
- The Raleigh Board of Adjustment ruling of November 19, 2007 granted a variance for this property (to be allowed to be given credit for right-of-way density transfer (37 units), even though the right-of-way was dedicated to the City previously by the developer), with the condition that the applicants continue to pursue a rezoning application, but the variance is not affected if the rezoning application is denied.
- This proposal is inconsistent with the Comprehensive Plan that recommends suburban low density residential uses for the site. However, the request is consistent with the existing use on the site as the proposed conditions intend to retain the initial density that was approved on the site as per site plan GH-02-98, which has subsequently sunset. No additional density is being proposed.



12/06 Raleigh Comprehensive Plan

North District 5-3.F



Neuse River / Richland Creek Watershed Plan