



Rezoning Application and Checklist

Planning and Development Customer Service Center • One Exchange Plaza, Suite 400 | Raleigh, NC 27601 | 919-996-2500

Please complete all sections of the form and upload via the Permit and Development Portal (permitportal.raleighnc.gov). Please see page 12 for information about who may submit a rezoning application. A rezoning application will not be considered complete until all required submittal components listed on the Rezoning Checklist have been received and approved. For questions email rezoning@raleighnc.gov.

Rezoning Request			
Rezoning Type	☐ General use	☒ Conditional use	☐ Planned Development
	☐ Text Change to Zoning Conditions		
OFFICE USE ONLY Rezoning case # _____			
Existing zoning base district: R-4	Height: 40'/3 stories	Frontage: 65'	Overlay(s): none
Proposed zoning base district: R-10-CU	Height: 40'/3 stories	Frontage: 45'	Overlay(s): none
Helpful Tip: View the Zoning Map to search for the address to be rezoned, then turn on the 'Zoning' and 'Overlay' layers.			
If the property has been previously rezoned, provide the rezoning case number: N/A			

General Information		
Date: July 17, 2026	Date amended (1):	Date amended (2):
Property address: 1900 WIMBISH LN, RALEIGH, NC 27612		
Property PIN: 0796797784		
Deed reference (book/page): Book 019984 Page 00808		
Nearest intersection: LEAD MINE RD and VALLEY ESTATES DR	Property size (acres): 0.87 acres	
For planned development applications only:	Total units:	Total square footage:
	Total parcels:	Total buildings:
Property Owner/Applicant*		
Printed Property Owner Name and Title:		
Printed Name: NOPOLEAN CHRISTOPHER BRADDY (Property Owner)		
Printed Name: AMPARA ROSARIA BRADDY (Property Owner)		
Property Owner Signature(s):		
Signature: 		
Signature: 		
Applicant Signature (If different than Property Owner) *:		
Signature: _____		
Signature: _____		
Signature: _____		

RECEIVED

By Diego Joao Murphy-Mendez at 4:41 pm, Jul 22, 2026

Contact Information**Property Owner/Applicant***

Name & Title: NOPOLEAN CHRISTOPHER BRADDY (Property Owner)

Email: cbraddy1@yahoo.com

Phone: 954-261-0596

Name & Title: AMPARA ROSARIA BRADDY (Property Owner)

Email: amparabraddy2@yahoo.com

Phone: 954-646-9843

Point of Contact (If different than above)**

Point of Contact Name: Wild Thyme Development LLC, By: Daniel James Doster, Manager

Email: Jimmy@WildThymeDevelopment.com

Phone: 919-610-9589

Point of Contact Name: -

Email: -

Phone: -

Conditional Use District Zoning Conditions		
Zoning case #:	Date submitted:	OFFICE USE ONLY Rezoning case #
Existing zoning: R-4	Proposed zoning: R-10-CU	

Narrative of Zoning Conditions Offered
No Apartment(s) Buildings as described in Raleigh UDO Sec. 2.2.4 (July 2026 UDO version)

The property owner(s) hereby offers, consents to, and agrees to abide, if the rezoning request is approved, the conditions written above. All property owners must sign each condition page. This page may be photocopied if additional space is needed.

Signed by:

Property Owner(s) Signature: [REDACTED]

Printed Name (and Title): (Property Owner)

Signed by:

AMPARA ROSARIA BRADDY

(Property Owner)

RECEIVED

By Diego Joao Murphy-Mendez at 4:41 pm, Jul 22, 2026

Rezoning Application Addendum #1

Comprehensive Plan Analysis

The applicant is asked to analyze the impact of the rezoning request and its consistency with the Comprehensive Plan. The applicant is also asked to explain how the rezoning request is reasonable and in the public interest.

OFFICE USE ONLY
Rezoning case # _____

Statement of Consistency

Provide brief statements regarding whether the rezoning request is consistent with the future land use designation, the urban form map, and any applicable policies contained within the 2030 Comprehensive Plan.

The subject property is designated for Low Scale Residential development on the Future Land Use Map. The proposed zoning condition prohibiting apartment buildings ("No Apartment(s) Buildings as described in Raleigh UDO Sec. 2.2.4") limits the range and intensity of development otherwise permitted in the R-10 district, allowing for gentle residential density that remains compatible with a Low Scale Residential designation.

The requested R-10-CU zoning also provides flexibility to achieve an appropriate future subdivision layout while responding to the site's unique physical characteristics, including anticipated right-of-way dedication associated with future subdivision, existing above-ground AT&T utility facilities, and an existing overhead electrical easement. This flexibility allows for a well-designed arrangement of residential lots, utility infrastructure, and vehicle access while maintaining a Low Scale Residential character.

Accordingly, the proposed R-10-CU rezoning, together with its limiting conditions, is consistent with the Future Land Use Map designation, the Urban Form Map, and the applicable goals and policies of the Raleigh 2030 Comprehensive Plan.

Public Benefits

Provide brief statements explaining how the rezoning request is reasonable and in the public interest.

The proposed R-10-CU rezoning of this vacant property supports the City's goal of expanding housing choices by allowing gentle residential density in an established residential area. The proposed zoning condition prohibiting apartment buildings ensures that future development remains compatible with the Low Scale Residential character envisioned for the area.

The property's location with convenient access to the City's existing transportation network, including Lead Mine Road and nearby Lynn Road, Millbrook Road, Creedmoor Road, and Glenwood Avenue (US-70), makes it an appropriate location for gentle and modest additional residential development.

The requested conditional zoning provides flexibility to accommodate the site's unique physical characteristics while allowing for an appropriate future subdivision layout, including residential lot arrangement, vehicle access, and utility infrastructure.

Accordingly, the proposed rezoning is reasonable and in the public interest.

Rezoning Application Addendum #2**Impact on Historic Resources**

The applicant is asked to analyze the impact of the rezoning request on historic resources. For the purposes of this section, a historic resource is defined as any site, structure, sign, or other feature of the property to be rezoned that is listed in the National Register of Historic Places or designated by the City of Raleigh as a landmark or contributing to a Historic Overlay District.

OFFICE USE ONLYRezoning case #

Inventory of Historic Resources

List in the space below all historic resources located on the property to be rezoned. For each resource, indicate how the proposed zoning would impact the resource.

N/A

Proposed Mitigation

Provide brief statements describing actions that will be taken to mitigate all negative impacts listed above.

N/A

Urban Design Guidelines

The applicant must respond to the Urban Design Guidelines contained in the 2030 Comprehensive Plan if:

- a) The property to be rezoned is within a "City Growth Center" or "Mixed-Use Center", OR;
- b) The property to be rezoned is located along a "Main Street" or "Transit Emphasis Corridor" as shown on the Urban Form Map in the 2030 Comprehensive Plan.

Urban form designation:

Click [here](#) to view the Urban Form Map.

1

All Mixed-Use developments should generally provide retail (such as eating establishments, food stores, and banks), and other such uses as office and residential within walking distance of each other. Mixed uses should be arranged in a compact and pedestrian friendly form.

Response:

N/A

2

Within all Mixed-Use Areas buildings that are adjacent to lower density neighborhoods should transition (height, design, distance and/or landscaping) to the lower heights or be comparable in height and massing.

Response:

N/A

3

A mixed-use area's road network should connect directly into the neighborhood road network of the surrounding community, providing multiple paths for movement to and through the mixed-use area. In this way, trips made from the surrounding residential neighborhood(s) to the mixed-use area should be possible without requiring travel along a major thoroughfare or arterial.

Response:

N/A

4

Streets should interconnect within a development and with adjoining development. Cul-de-sacs or dead-end streets are generally discouraged except where topographic conditions and/or exterior lot line configurations offer no practical alternatives for connection or through traffic. Street stubs should be provided with development adjacent to open land to provide for future connections. Streets should be planned with due regard to the designated corridors shown on the Thoroughfare Plan.

Response:

N/A

5

New development should be comprised of blocks of public and/or private streets (including sidewalks). Block faces should have a length generally not exceeding 660 feet. Where commercial driveways are used to create block structure, they should include the same pedestrian amenities as public or private streets.

Response:

N/A

6

A primary task of all urban architecture and landscape design is the physical definition of streets and public spaces as places of shared use. Streets should be lined by buildings rather than parking lots and should provide interest especially for pedestrians. Garage entrances and/or loading areas should be located at the side or rear of a property.

Response:

N/A

7	Buildings should be located close to the pedestrian-oriented street (within 25 feet of the curb), with off-street parking behind and/or beside the buildings. When a development plan is located along a high-volume corridor without on-street parking, one bay of parking separating the building frontage along the corridor is a preferred option. Response: N/A
8	If the site is located at a street intersection, the main building or main part of the building should be placed at the corner. Parking, loading or service should not be located at an intersection. Response: N/A
9	To ensure that urban open space is well-used, it is essential to locate and design it carefully. The space should be located where it is visible and easily accessible from public areas (building entrances, sidewalks). Take views and sun exposure into account as well. Response: N/A
10	New urban spaces should contain direct access from the adjacent streets. They should be open along the adjacent sidewalks and allow for multiple points of entry. They should also be visually permeable from the sidewalk, allowing passersby to see directly into the space. Response: N/A
11	The perimeter of urban open spaces should consist of active uses that provide pedestrian traffic for the space including retail, cafés, and restaurants and higher-density residential. Response: N/A
12	A properly defined urban open space is visually enclosed by the fronting of buildings to create an outdoor "room" that is comfortable to users. Response: N/A
13	New public spaces should provide seating opportunities. Response: N/A

14	Parking lots should not dominate the frontage of pedestrian-oriented streets, interrupt pedestrian routes, or negatively impact surrounding developments. Response: N/A
15	Parking lots should be located behind or in the interior of a block whenever possible. Parking lots should not occupy more than 1/3 of the frontage of the adjacent building or not more than 64 feet, whichever is less. Response: N/A
16	Parking structures are clearly an important and necessary element of the overall urban infrastructure but, given their utilitarian elements, can give serious negative visual effects. New structures should merit the same level of materials and finishes as that a principal building would, care in the use of basic design elements can make a significant improvement. Response: N/A
17	Higher building densities and more intensive land uses should be within walking distance of transit stops, permitting public transit to become a viable alternative to the automobile. Response: N/A
18	Convenient, comfortable pedestrian access between the transit stop and the building entrance should be planned as part of the overall pedestrian network. Response: N/A
19	All development should respect natural resources as an essential component of the human environment. The most sensitive landscape areas, both environmentally and visually, are steep slopes greater than 15 percent, watercourses, and floodplains. Any development in these areas should minimize intervention and maintain the natural condition except under extreme circumstances. Where practical, these features should be conserved as open space amenities and incorporated in the overall site design. Response: N/A
20	It is the intent of these guidelines to build streets that are integral components of community design. Public and private streets, as well as commercial driveways that serve as primary pedestrian pathways to building entrances, should be designed as the main public spaces of the City and should be scaled for pedestrians. Response: N/A

21	Sidewalks should be 5-8 feet wide in residential areas and located on both sides of the street. Sidewalks in commercial areas and Pedestrian Business Overlays should be a minimum of 14-18 feet wide to accommodate sidewalk uses such as vendors, merchandising and outdoor seating. Response: N/A
22	Streets should be designed with street trees planted in a manner appropriate to their function. Commercial streets should have trees which complement the face of the buildings and which shade the sidewalk. Residential streets should provide for an appropriate canopy, which shadows both the street and sidewalk, and serves as a visual buffer between the street and the home. The typical width of the street landscape strip is 6-8 feet. This width ensures healthy street trees, precludes tree roots from breaking the sidewalk, and provides adequate pedestrian buffering. Street trees should be at least 6 1/4" caliper and should be consistent with the City's landscaping, lighting and street sight distance requirements. Response: N/A
23	Buildings should define the streets spatially. Proper spatial definition should be achieved with buildings or other architectural elements (including certain tree plantings) that make up the street edges aligned in a disciplined manner with an appropriate ratio of height to width. Response: N/A
24	The primary entrance should be both architecturally and functionally on the front facade of any building facing the primary public street. Such entrances shall be designed to convey their prominence on the fronting facade. Response: N/A
25	The ground level of the building should offer pedestrian interest along sidewalks. This includes windows entrances, and architectural details. Signage, awnings, and ornamentation are encouraged. Response: N/A
26	The sidewalks should be the principal place of pedestrian movement and casual social interaction. Designs and uses should be complementary to that function. Response: N/A

Rezoning Checklist (Submittal Requirements)

To be completed by Applicant			To be completed by staff		
General Requirements – General Use or Conditional Use Rezoning	Yes	N/A	Yes	No	N/A
1. I have referenced this Rezoning Checklist and by using this as a guide, it will ensure that I receive a complete and thorough first review by the City of Raleigh	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
2. Pre-application conference.	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
3. Neighborhood meeting notice and report	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
4. Rezoning application review fee (see Fee Guide for rates).	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
5. Completed application submitted through Permit and Development Portal	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
6. Completed Comprehensive Plan consistency analysis	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
7. Completed response to the urban design guidelines	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
8. <u>Two</u> sets of stamped envelopes addressed to all property owners and tenants of the rezoning site(s) and within 500 feet of area to be rezoned.	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
9. Trip generation study (May be required after submittal)	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐
10. Traffic impact analysis (May be required after submittal)	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐
11. If signed electronically, e-signature confirmation page(s)	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
For properties requesting a Conditional Use District:					
11. Completed zoning conditions, signed by property owner(s).	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
For properties requesting a Planned Development or Campus District:					
12. Master plan (see Master Plan submittal requirements).	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐
For properties requesting a text change to zoning conditions:					
13. Redline copy of zoning conditions with proposed changes.	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐
14. Proposed conditions signed by property owner(s).	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐

Master Plan (Submittal Requirements)

To be completed by Applicant			To be completed by staff		
General Requirements – Master Plan	Yes	N/A	Yes	No	N/A
1. I have referenced this Master Plan Checklist and by using this as a guide, it will ensure that I receive a complete and thorough first review by the City of Raleigh.	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐
2. Total number of units and square feet	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐
3. 12 sets of plans	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐
4. Completed application; submitted through Permit & Development Portal	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐
5. Vicinity Map	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐
6. Existing Conditions Map	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐
7. Street and Block Layout Plan	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐
8. General Layout Map/Height and Frontage Map	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐
9. Description of Modification to Standards, 12 sets	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐
10. Development Plan (location of building types)	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐
11. Pedestrian Circulation Plan	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐
12. Parking Plan	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐
13. Open Space Plan	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐
14. Tree Conservation Plan (if site is 2 acres or more)	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐
15. Major Utilities Plan/Utilities Service Plan	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐
16. Generalized Stormwater Plan	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐
17. Phasing Plan	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐
18. Three-Dimensional Model/renderings	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐
19. Common Signage Plan	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐

***Signature Requirements**

1. Accepted Signatures

Rezoning applications and conditional pages (when applicable) must be signed by the property owner(s) listed on the Wake County Deeds registry for the property.

If the owner and/or applicant is a corporate entity, the application must be signed by a duly authorized representative of the applicant (the “Manager” or “Managing Member” listed on the NC State Business Secretary page). If that is not readily apparent to the City’s reviewer(s), the signatory may be asked to provide documentation that such person is authorized to make a request to rezone the property and/or submit to the property being placed in a conditional district.

Property owner signatures for rezoning applications will only be accepted in the following forms:

1. Original, wet signatures – If a rezoning application is signed with a physical signature, the original, wet copy must be submitted to city staff before the application is deemed complete.
2. Adobe or DocuSign e-signatures – If a rezoning application is signed electronically, it must be either an Adobe or DocuSign signature. The signature verification page must be submitted for the application to be deemed complete.

****Differentiation Between Property Owner, Applicant, & Point of Contact**

1. Property Owner/Applicant

The Property Owner is the individual(s) or organization listed on Wake County Deeds for the property.

- For a conditional rezoning request, the property owner must be the individual making the rezoning request (i.e. the applicant).
- For a general use rezoning request, the property owner and applicant (individual making the request) can be different for the purposes of the rezoning application.

2. Point of Contact

The Point of Contact is the individual that city staff will coordinate with throughout the rezoning process. This section can be left blank if the property owner and/or applicant intends to be staff’s main point of contact throughout the rezoning process.

NOTIFICATION LETTER TEMPLATE

Date:

Re: Potential (REZONING/TEXT CHANGE TO ZONING CONDITIONS) of (SITE LOCATION ADDRESS(ES) AND WAKE COUNTY PIN(s)

Neighboring Property Owners and Tenants:

You are invited to attend a neighborhood meeting on (MEETING DATE and TIME). The meeting will be held at (MEETING LOCATION, INCLUDING ADDRESS) and will begin at (TIME).

The purpose of this meeting is to discuss a potential rezoning of the property located at (SITE ADDRESS AND NEARBY LANDMARKS/NEAREST INTERSECTION). This site is currently zoned (CURRENT ZONING DISTRICT- FULL DISTRICT AND ABBREVIATION) and is proposed to be rezoned to (PROPOSED ZONING DISTRICT – FULL DISTRICT AND ABBREVIATION). (ANY OTHER RELEVANT DETAILS OF THE REQUEST.)

Prior to the submittal of any rezoning application, the City of Raleigh requires that a neighborhood meeting be held for all property owners and tenants within 500 feet of the area requested for rezoning.

Information about the rezoning process is available online; visit www.raleighnc.gov and search for “Rezoning Process.” If you have further questions about the rezoning process, please contact Raleigh Planning & Development at 919-996-2682 (option 2) or rezoning@raleighnc.gov.

If you have any concerns or questions about this potential rezoning I (WE) can be reached at:
(NAME)
(CONTACT INFO)

Sincerely,

Neighborhood Meeting Notice

Regarding a Proposed Conditional Use Rezoning of 1900 Wimbish Lane
and a Portion of 5905 Valley Estates Drive, Raleigh, NC 27612

Wild Thyme Development LLC

Daniel James Doster

909 Salem Woods Drive, Raleigh, NC 27615 • (919) 610-9589 • Jimmy@WildThymeDevelopment.com

June 9, 2026

Dear Neighbor:

You are invited to attend a neighborhood meeting to discuss a proposed rezoning of property located at 1900 Wimbish Lane, Raleigh, NC 27612, together with a portion of 5905 Valley Estates Drive, Raleigh, NC 27612 (the "Property").

Meeting Information

Date: Tuesday, June 23, 2026

Time: 6:00 p.m.

Location: Greystone Recreation Center

Cypress Classroom

7713-55 Lead Mine Road

Raleigh, NC 27615

The Property is currently zoned R-4. The applicant intends to request that the Property be rezoned to Conditional Use R-10 (R-10-CU). *As proposed, the Conditional Use zoning would prohibit apartment buildings on the Property.*

The purpose of the meeting is to discuss the proposed rezoning, answer questions, and receive comments from nearby property owners, residents, and tenants prior to submission of the rezoning application. The City of Raleigh requires this meeting before a rezoning application is submitted, and a summary of the meeting will be provided to the City as part of the rezoning process.

Information regarding the City of Raleigh rezoning process is available at www.RaleighNC.gov by searching for "Rezoning Process." Questions regarding the rezoning process may also be directed to:

Diego Joao Murphy-Mendez, MURP

Planner

City of Raleigh Planning and Development

Diego.Murphy-Mendez@RaleighNC.gov

(919) 996-6759

If you would like to reach me, I can be reached by phone at (919) 610-9589 or by email at Jimmy@WildThymeDevelopment.com.


Sincerely,

Daniel James Doster


Wild Thyme Development LLC

Enclosure: Vicinity Map; Current Zoning Map

VICINITY MAP



CURRENT ZONING MAP



SUMMARY OF ISSUES

A neighborhood meeting was held on June 23, 2026 (date) to discuss a potential rezoning located at 1900 Wimbish Lane, Raleigh, NC 27612 (property address). The neighborhood meeting was held at Greystone Recreation Center (location). There were approximately 45 (number) neighbors in attendance. The general issues discussed were:

Summary of Issues:

+ The presenter introduced himself, explained that he is under contract to purchase 1900 Wimbish Lane, and emphasized that the project remains in the feasibility stage. The presenter stated that no site plan or specific residential product had been selected and that the meeting was a place to hear from the community before submitting a rezoning application. + City staff explained the rezoning process, including the application review process, Planning Commission and City Council hearings, opportunities for public comment, anticipated timelines, and the purpose of the neighborhood meeting.
+ Questions were asked regarding the requested R-10-CU zoning district and why it was being requested instead of retaining the existing R-4 zoning or requesting R-6. The presenter explained that the request was intended to provide an opportunity for additional residential housing while allowing greater flexibility in site design to address existing site factors, including utility easements, existing above-ground utility facilities, anticipated roadway dedication, sewer and water availability, stormwater and drainage considerations, and other site-specific constraints. The presenter further explained that the proposed conditional zoning would include a condition prohibiting apartment buildings.
+ City staff explained that a conditional R-10 zoning district can be conditioned in a manner that may be considered consistent with the 2030 Comprehensive Plan and the Low Scale Residential future land use designation.
+ Attendees asked questions regarding what residential building types could ultimately be constructed under the requested zoning district. Discussion included existing housing types built or being built in the surrounding area, including detached single-family homes, cottages, tiny homes, and townhomes. The presenter and City staff also referenced the UDO to discuss other residential housing types permitted under the requested zoning district, excluding apartment buildings. The presenter explained that no specific development type or site layout had been selected and that a final design had not yet been prepared.
+ Multiple attendees expressed concerns regarding existing traffic conditions and safety along Lead Mine Road, Valley Estates Drive, and Wimbish Lane. Comments included concerns regarding speeding on Lead Mine Road, limited sight distance when pulling onto Lead Mine Road, the close proximity of the Valley Estates Drive and Wimbish Lane intersections, the history of traffic accidents, and the location of future driveway access. + Several attendees encouraged locating future vehicle access from Valley Estates Drive for any future subdivision to minimize traffic impacts and improve safety.
+ Stormwater and drainage were discussed extensively. Neighbors described longstanding drainage issues in the surrounding area, including roadway runoff, overflowing storm drains, sediment accumulation, localized flooding, and flooding affecting nearby homes. Several attendees from the neighborhood east of Lead Mine Road offered photographs and videos documenting existing conditions of water coming off Lead Mine Road. Those attendees also stated that, during previous inquiries about roadway runoff, they had been directed to contact the State because Lead Mine Road is maintained by NCDOT.
+ Questions were raised regarding the legal status of Wimbish Lane, the approximate five-foot strip adjacent to Valley Estates Drive, roadway dedication requirements, utility easements, existing above-ground AT&T utility cabinets, and how these existing conditions could affect future site planning. The presenter explained that these matters were being evaluated as part of the project's feasibility analysis.
+ Some attendees asked how approval of the requested zoning, even with specific conditions, may affect future rezoning requests on nearby properties. Discussion included how any rezoning request would require its own separate application, review process, and City Council discernment based on its individual merits. + Additional discussion included opportunities for continued public participation throughout the rezoning process, methods for submitting comments to City staff and elected officials, and the presenter's willingness to remain available to neighbors. END OF SUMMARY

ATTENDANCE ROSTER

NAME

ADDRESS

Lynne Goodman

Kris Endres

Phill & Jean Farrell

Susan Chesson

Hillary Gorman

Mary Pegg

Stephen Pegg

CHRISTINA McLonats

Stephanie Lynne Schopf

Samantha Boyd

Matt McGregor

CHRIS Buckler

Diane Buckley

Tamara Yu

Andrew & Tonya Morgan

Janet Morrison

Jill & Anthony Wiencien

SPROS VARRERIS

TERESA Middleton

Bernadette Clark

Andrea & Scott Mouw

KEN BOON

Bill & Bev Buck

MAUSSA Jone

Mary-Benton Gordon
Thom Balcome

ATTENDANCE ROSTER

NAME

ADDRESS

Tom Duesbury

JACK JOHNSON

CRISTAL JOHNSON

Clare Hedemark

Jeff & JoEllen Boggeess

DAVID LANE

STEPHEN & PEAY

BANKS KRISTIE

DO SHOFF

GEORGE FOREST

Bill Collins

Nanica Saylor

Esther Corbett

