Rezoning Application and Checklist



Planning and Development Customer Service Center • One Exchange Plaza, Suite 400 | Raleigh, NC 27601 | 919-996-2500

Please complete all sections of the form and upload via the Permit and Development Portal (permitportal.raleighnc.gov). Please see page 11 for information about who may submit a rezoning application. A rezoning application will not be considered complete until all required submittal components listed on the Rezoning Checklist have been received and approved. For questions email rezoning@raleighnc.gov.

Rezoning Request

Rezoning		General u	se 🗸	Condition	al use		Maste	er plan	OFFICE USE ONLY Rezoning case #	
Туре		Text cha	hange to zoning conditions							
Existing zoning base district: IH		Height:		Fr	Frontage:			Overlay(s): SHOD-2		
Proposed zoning base district: IX		Height: 5		Fr	Frontage:			Overlay(s): SHOD-2		
Helpful Tip : View the Zoning Map to search for the address to be rezoned, then turn on the 'Zoning' and 'Overlay' layers.										
If the property has bee	n pr	eviously rez	oned, p	rovide the r	ezoning	case	e numbe	er:		
General Information										
Date: August 8, 2025			Date an	nended (1)	:			Date amended (2):		
Property address: 2806	Gre	esham Lake F	Road							
Property PIN: 1727167	577									
Deed reference (book/	page	e): Book 158	32, Pag	e 2646						
Nearest intersection: G	resh	am Lake Road	and Cox	indale Drive	Proper	ty siz	e (acres	s): 7.81		
For planned development applications only:			Total units:			•	Total squ	uare footage:		
			Total parcels:			-	Total buildings:			
Property owner name and address: RITD, LLC 2806 Gresham Lake Road Raleigh, NC 27615						7615				
Property owner email: chris@clayda.com										
Property owner phone: (919) 480-0374										
Applicant name and address: Isabel Mattox 3700 Glenwood Avenue, Suite 500 Raleigh, NC 27612										
Applicant email: imattox@nichollscrampton.com										
Applicant phone: 919-828-7171										
Applicant signature(s):										
Additional email(s):										
					-	_	-			

By: Chris Mitscherlich, Manager

Conditional Use District Zoning Conditions				
Zoning case #:	Date submitted: August 8, 2025	OFFICE USE ONLY Rezoning case #		
Existing zoning: IH with SHOD-2 overlay	Proposed zoning: IX-5-CU with SHOD-2 overlay			

Narrative of Zoning Conditions Offered			
1. Retail and resturants uses shall not exceed 10,000 square feet.			
2. No more than forty-two (42) play courts will be permitted.			

The property owner(s) hereby offers, consents to, and agrees to abide, if the rezoning request is approved, the conditions written above. All property owners must sign each condition page. This page may be photocopied if additional space is needed.

By: Chris Mitscherlich, Manager

Rezoning Application Addendum #1 Comprehensive Plan Analysis

The applicant is asked to analyze the impact of the rezoning request and its consistency with the Comprehensive Plan. The applicant is also asked to explain how the rezoning request is reasonable and in the public interest.

OFFICE USE ONLY Rezoning case

and in the public _____

Statement of Consistency

Provide brief statements regarding whether the rezoning request is consistent with the future land use designation, the urban form map, and any applicable policies contained within the 2030 Comprehensive Plan.

The proposed rezoning of 2806 Gresham Lake Road from its current designation to IX-5-CU with SHOD-2 Overlay District-2) is consistent with the 2030 Comprehensive Plan for the City of Raleigh in the following ways:

- Future Land Use Designation: The site lies within an area designated as Business and Commercial Services, allowing for for a range of uses uses, which supports light industrial, warehouse, distribution, indoor and outdoor recreation and flexible space. The proposed indoor pickleball complex aligns with these objectives as it represents a light-intensity recreational use compatible with nearby industrial activity, and it complements the employment character of the area by drawing patrons and potential economic activity.
- Urban Form Map: While the Urban Form designation is not explicitly identified in the application, the site's location adjacent to major transportation corridors and within SHOD-2 implies a sensitivity to urban design and appearance.
- Applicable Comprehensive Plan Policies:
- LU 5.2 Managing Commercial Development Impacts
- LU 5.4 Density Transitions
- LU 5.5 Transitional and Buffer Zone Districts
- LU6.2 Complementary Land Uses and Urban Vitality
- LU 8.10 Infill Compatibility
- ED 1.2 Creating Opportunities
- ED 2.4 Attracting Investment to Emerging Neighbiorhoods
- ED 5.1 Economic Development Amenities
- ED 6.1 Cultural and Entertainment Hub
- PR 4.3 Recreational Facility Adequacy

This rezoning request is therefore consistent with the vision and policies of the Comprehensive Plan, supporting appropriate use of land within an evolving industrial and recreational corridor.

Public Benefits

Provide brief statements explaining how the rezoning request is reasonable and in the public interest.

The rezoning to IX-5-CU with SHOD-2 overlay for a pickleball complex offers numerous public benefits and is reasonable and in the public interest for the following reasons:

- Addresses Community Demand: Pickleball is one of the fastest-growing sports in the country, particularly among seniors and multi-generational families. The proposed facility responds to a regional shortage of indoor courts and provides year-round recreational opportunities.
- Enhances Public Health and Wellness: By providing a space for physical activity, the project promotes community health and social engagement, supporting both active lifestyles and mental well-being.
- Generates Economic Activity: The complex will generate jobs in construction, operations, hospitality, and retail. It is anticipated to attract local, regional, and potentially tournament-level visitors, supporting nearby businesses.
- Improves Underutilized Industrial Property: The property currently consists of vacant or underutilized industrial land. Rezoning will catalyze reinvestment and redevelopment of the parcel in a form consistent with surrounding land uses.
- Traffic and Environmental Management: Through Conditional Use provisions and SHOD-2 overlay compliance, the rezoning ensures aesthetic protections, managed access, buffering, and landscape design appropriate for a highway-adjacent setting.
- Aligns with City Goals for Mixed-Use Nodes: The project will act as a community draw and contribute to the vibrancy of the Gresham Lake corridor, potentially supporting future mixed-use redevelopment or adaptive reuse of nearby parcels.

In summary, the rezoning will allow the transformation of an industrial parcel into a unique recreational hub that offers measurable public, economic, and health benefits while remaining consistent with Raleigh's broader planning goals.

Page 3 of 14 REVISION 11.08.24

Rezoning Application Addendum #2 Impact on Historic Resources The applicant is asked to analyze the impact of the rezoning request on OFFICE USE ONLY historic resources. For the purposes of this section, a historic resource is Rezoning case # defined as any site, structure, sign, or other feature of the property to be rezoned that is listed in the National Register of Historic Places or designated by the City of Raleigh as a landmark or contributing to a Historic Overlay District. **Inventory of Historic Resources** List in the space below all historic resources located on the property to be rezoned. For each resource, indicate how the proposed zoning would impact the resource. There are no historical resources located on the property. **Proposed Mitigation** Provide brief statements describing actions that will be taken to mitigate all negative impacts listed above. There are no historical resources on the property.

Page **4** of **14** REVISION 10.30.24

	Urban Design Guidelines				
a) b)	applicant must respond to the Urban Design Guideli The property to be rezoned is within a "City Growth C The property to be rezoned is located along a "Main Urban Form Map in the 2030 Comprehensive Plan.				
Urb	an form designation:	Click here to view the Urban Form Map.			
1	All Mixed-Use developments should generally provi	de retail (such as eating establishments, food stores, lential within walking distance of each other. Mixed uses endly form.			
2	Within all Mixed-Use Areas buildings that are adjace transition (height, design, distance and/or landscapi height and massing. Response:	ng) to the lower heights or be comparable in			
3	A mixed-use area's road network should connect di surrounding community, providing multiple paths for way, trips made from the surrounding residential ne possible without requiring travel along a major thorogen Response:	movement to and through the mixed-use area. In this ighborhood(s) to the mixed-use area should be			
4	Streets should interconnect within a development at end streets are generally discouraged except where configurations offer no practical alternatives for comprovided with development adjacent to open land to planned with due regard to the designated corridors Response:	nection or through traffic. Street stubs should be provide for future connections. Streets should be			
5		f public and/or private streets (including sidewalks). Block 660 feet. Where commercial driveways are used to create strian amenities as public or private streets.			
6	spaces as places of shared use. Streets should be	pe design is the physical definition of streets and public lined by buildings rather than parking lots and should entrances and/or loading areas should be located at the			

Page **5** of **14** REVISION 10.30.24

7	Buildings should be located close to the pedestrian-oriented street (within 25 feet of the curb), with off-street parking behind and/or beside the buildings. When a development plan is located along a high-volume corridor without on-street parking, one bay of parking separating the building frontage along the corridor is a preferred option. Response:
8	If the site is located at a street intersection, the main building or main part of the building should be placed at the corner. Parking, loading or service should not be located at an intersection. Response:
9	To ensure that urban open space is well-used, it is essential to locate and design it carefully. The space should be located where it is visible and easily accessible from public areas (building entrances, sidewalks). Take views and sun exposure into account as well. Response:
10	New urban spaces should contain direct access from the adjacent streets. They should be open along the adjacent sidewalks and allow for multiple points of entry. They should also be visually permeable from the sidewalk, allowing passersby to see directly into the space. Response:
11	The perimeter of urban open spaces should consist of active uses that provide pedestrian traffic for the space including retail, cafés, and restaurants and higher-density residential. Response:
12	A properly defined urban open space is visually enclosed by the fronting of buildings to create an outdoor "room" that is comfortable to users. Response:
13	New public spaces should provide seating opportunities. Response:

Page **6** of **14** REVISION 10.30.24

14	Parking lots should not dominate the frontage of pedestrian-oriented streets, interrupt pedestrian routes, or negatively impact surrounding developments. Response:
15	Parking lots should be located behind or in the interior of a block whenever possible. Parking lots should not occupy more than 1/3 of the frontage of the adjacent building or not more than 64 feet, whichever is less. Response:
16	Parking structures are clearly an important and necessary element of the overall urban infrastructure but, given their utilitarian elements, can give serious negative visual effects. New structures should merit the same level of materials and finishes as that a principal building would, care in the use of basic design elements cane make a significant improvement. Response:
17	Higher building densities and more intensive land uses should be within walking distance of transit stops, permitting public transit to become a viable alternative to the automobile. Response:
18	Convenient, comfortable pedestrian access between the transit stop and the building entrance should be planned as part of the overall pedestrian network. Response:
19	All development should respect natural resources as an essential component of the human environment. The most sensitive landscape areas, both environmentally and visually, are steep slopes greater than 15 percent, watercourses, and floodplains. Any development in these areas should minimize intervention and maintain the natural condition except under extreme circumstances. Where practical, these features should be conserved as open space amenities and incorporated in the overall site design. Response:
20	It is the intent of these guidelines to build streets that are integral components of community design. Public and private streets, as well as commercial driveways that serve as primary pedestrian pathways to building entrances, should be designed as the main public spaces of the City and should be scaled for pedestrians. Response:

Page **7** of **14** REVISION 11.08.24

21	Sidewalks should be 5-8 feet wide in residential areas and located on both sides of the street. Sidewalks in commercial areas and Pedestrian Business Overlays should be a minimum of 14-18 feet wide to accommodate sidewalk uses such as vendors, merchandising and outdoor seating. Response:
22	Streets should be designed with street trees planted in a manner appropriate to their function. Commercial streets should have trees which complement the face of the buildings and which shade the sidewalk. Residential streets should provide for an appropriate canopy, which shadows both the street and sidewalk, and serves as a visual buffer between the street and the home. The typical width of the street landscape strip is 6-8 feet. This width ensures healthy street trees, precludes tree roots from breaking the sidewalk, and provides adequate pedestrian buffering. Street trees should be at least 6 1/4" caliper and should be consistent with the City's landscaping, lighting and street sight distance requirements. Response:
23	Buildings should define the streets spatially. Proper spatial definition should be achieved with buildings or other architectural elements (including certain tree plantings) that make up the street edges aligned in a disciplined manner with an appropriate ratio of height to width. Response:
24	The primary entrance should be both architecturally and functionally on the front facade of any building facing the primary public street. Such entrances shall be designed to convey their prominence on the fronting facade. Response:
25	The ground level of the building should offer pedestrian interest along sidewalks. This includes windows entrances, and architectural details. Signage, awnings, and ornamentation are encouraged. Response:
26	The sidewalks should be the principal place of pedestrian movement and casual social interaction. Designs and uses should be complementary to that function. Response:

Page **8** of **14** REVISION 11.08.24

Rezoning Checklist (Submittal Requirements)					
To be completed by Applicant			To be completed by staff		
General Requirements – General Use or Conditional Use Rezoning	Yes	N/A	Yes	No	N/A
1. I have referenced this Rezoning Checklist and by using this as a guide, it will ensure that I receive a complete and thorough first review by the City of Raleigh	>				
2. Pre-application conference.	✓				
3. Neighborhood meeting notice and report	✓				
4. Rezoning application review fee (see Fee Guide for rates).	/				
Completed application submitted through Permit and Development Portal	✓				
6. Completed Comprehensive Plan consistency analysis	/				
7. Completed response to the urban design guidelines		~			
8. Two sets of stamped envelopes addressed to all property owners and tenants of the rezoning site(s) and within 500 feet of area to be rezoned.	✓				
9. Trip generation study		/			
10. Traffic impact analysis		'			
For properties requesting a Conditional Use District:					
11. Completed zoning conditions, signed by property owner(s).	>				
If applicable, see page 11:					
12. Proof of Power of Attorney		<			
For properties requesting a Planned Development or Campus District:					
13. Master plan (see Master Plan submittal requirements).		✓			
For properties requesting a text change to zoning conditions:					
14. Redline copy of zoning conditions with proposed changes.		✓			
15. Proposed conditions signed by property owner(s).		/			

Page **9** of **14** REVISION 11.08.24

Master Plan (Submittal Requirements)						
To be completed by Applicant			To be completed by staff			
General Requirements - Master Plan	Yes	N/A	Yes	No	N/A	
1. I have referenced this Master Plan Checklist and by using this as a guide, it will ensure that I receive a complete and thorough first review by the City of Raleigh.						
2. Total number of units and square feet						
3. 12 sets of plans						
4. Completed application; submitted through Permit & Development Portal						
5. Vicinity Map						
6. Existing Conditions Map						
7. Street and Block Layout Plan						
8. General Layout Map/Height and Frontage Map						
9. Description of Modification to Standards, 12 sets						
10. Development Plan (location of building types)						
11. Pedestrian Circulation Plan						
12. Parking Plan						
13. Open Space Plan						
14. Tree Conservation Plan (if site is 2 acres or more)						
15. Major Utilities Plan/Utilities Service Plan						
16. Generalized Stormwater Plan						
17. Phasing Plan						
18. Three-Dimensional Model/renderings						
19. Common Signage Plan						

Page **10** of **14** REVISION 11.08.24

SUMMARY OF ISSUES

A neighborhood meeting was held on July 2	29, 2025	_(date) to discuss a potential rezoning			
_{ocated at} 2806 Gresham Lake Ro	(property address). The				
neighborhood meeting was held at 2806 G	resham Lake Road	(location).			
There were approximately 3		tendance. The general issues discussed			
vere:					
	Summary of Issues:				
Site Plan					
Uses					

Page **13** of **14** REVISION 11.08.24

ATTENDANCE ROSTER				
NAME	ADDRESS			
Bill Piver				
Scott Dawson				
Chris Mitscherlich				

Page **14** of **14** REVISION 11.08.24