# **Existing Zoning**

Z-34-2017



Map Date: 11/13/2017



To: Ruffin L. Hall, City Manager

From: John Anagnost, Planner II Ken Bowers AICP, Director, Department of Planning & Development

Copy: City Clerk

Date: February 7, 2018

Re: City Council agenda item for February 20, 2018 – Z-34-17

The City Council has authorized the following case for Public Hearing on February 6, 2018.

**<u>Z-34-17 McNeil Street</u>**, at the southwest corner of its intersection with Wake Forest Road, being a portion of the parcel having Wake County PIN 1715014040. Approx. 1.2 acres are requested by Centre Development Company LLC to be rezoned from Office Mixed Use – 3-Stories – Parking Limited – Conditional Use (OX-3-PL-CU) to Neighborhood Mixed Use – 3-Stories – Parking Limited – Conditional Use (NX-3-PL-CU). Conditions limit height in feet and number of stories, limit non-residential space, and require a vegetated buffer on the southern property boundary.

The Planning Commission recommends approval of the request (7-0).

The Five Points CAC voted in favor of the request (Y-21, N-0).

Attached are the Planning Commission Certified Recommendation (including Staff Report and Traffic Study Worksheet), the Petition for Rezoning, and the Neighborhood Meeting Report.

A public hearing for this case was opened and close at the February 6 City Council meeting. The case was deferred to allow the applicant time to submit revised conditions.



## **CERTIFIED RECOMMENDATION**

Raleigh Planning Commission

CR# 11823

## CASE INFORMATION Z-34-17 MCNEIL STREET

Location	McNeil Street, at the southwest corner of its intersection with Wake		
	Forest Road (portion)		
	Address: 2740 McNeil (portion)		
	PIN: 1715014040 (portion)		
Request	Rezone property from OX-3-PL-CU to NX-3-PL-CU		
Area of Request	1.2 acres (portion of a 4.32 acre parcel)		
Corporate Limits	The site is inside Raleigh's ETJ and corporate limits.		
Property Owner	Centre Development Company LLC		
Applicant	Michael Birch		
Citizens Advisory	Five Points CAC		
Council (CAC)	Carol Meyre		
	<u>cmeyrenc@gmail.com</u>		
РС	April 9, 2018		
Recommendation			
Deadline			

COMPREHENSIVE PLAN CONSISTENCY

The rezoning case is  $\boxtimes$  **Consistent**  $\square$  **Inconsistent** with the 2030 Comprehensive Plan.

FUTURE LAND USE MAP CONSISTENCY

The rezoning case is 🖾 **Consistent** 🗌 **Inconsistent** with the Future Land Use Map.

Comprehensive Plan Guidance

FUTURE LAND USE	Neighborhood Mixed Use (NMU)	
URBAN FORM	City Growth Center, Transit Emphasis Corridor	
CONSISTENT Policies	Policy LU 1.2—Future Land Use Map and Zoning	
	Consistency	
	Policy LU 1.3—Conditional Use District Consistency	
	Policy LU 5.6—Buffering Requirements	
	Policy LU 7.4—Scale and Design of New Commercial Uses	
	Policy UD 1.10—Frontage	
	Policy UD 7.3—Design Guidelines	
INCONSISTENT Policies	Policy EP 4.2—Floodplain Conservation	

SUMMARY OF PROPOSED CONDITIONS

- 1. Limits height to 40 feet and 2 stories,
- 2. Limits non-residential space to 5,000 square feet
- 3. Requires a vegetated buffer on the southern property boundary

#### PUBLIC MEETINGS

Neighborhood Meeting	CAC	Planning Commission	City Council
08/16/17	12/12/17 (Y-21, N-0)	1/9/18	1/16/18

## PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION

[Select one of the following and fill in details specific to the case.]

The rezoning case is **Consistent** with the relevant policies in the Comprehensive Plan, and **Approval** of the rezoning request is reasonable and in the public interest.

The rezoning case is **Consistent** with the relevant policies in the comprehensive Plan, but **Denial** of the rezoning request is reasonable and in the public interest.

The rezoning is **Inconsistent** with the relevant policies in the Comprehensive Plan, and **Denial** of the rezoning request is reasonable and in the public interest.

The rezoning case is **Inconsistent** with the relevant policies in the Comprehensive Plan, but **Approval** of the rezoning request is reasonable and in the public interest due to changed circumstances as explained below. Approval of the rezoning request constitutes an amendment to the Comprehensive Plan to the extent described below.

Reasonableness and	The request is consistent with a number of relevant policies in		
Public Interest	the Comprehensive Plan and is in the public interest due to the		
	positive vote from the CAC.		
Recommendation	Approve. City Council may now schedule this proposal for Public		
	Hearing or refer it to committee for further study and discussion.		
Motion and Vote	Motion: Jeffreys		
	Second: Novak		
	In Favor: Braun, Hicks, Jeffreys, Novak, Queen, Swink and		
	Tomasulo		

## ATTACHMENTS

1. Staff report

This document is a true and accurate statement of the findings and recommendations of the Planning Commission. Approval of this document incorporates all of the findings of the attached Staff Report and Comprehensive Plan Amendment Analysis.

Planning Director	Date	Planning Commission Chairperson	Date
Staff Coordinator:	John Anagnost: (9:	19) 996-2638; <u>John.Anagnost@raleighnc.</u> ;	gov



ZONING STAFF REPORT - CASE Z-34-17

CONDITIONAL USE DISTRICT

## **OVERVIEW**

The rezoning site is a 1.2-acre portion of a 4.32-acre parcel at the southwest corner of McNeil Street and Wake Forest Road. The area of the rezoning request is the southernmost part of the parcel. A site plan for the parcel was approved in 2014 (SP-17-2014) which allows for construction of a shopping center composed of four one-story buildings. The site is currently under construction. Prior to the start of construction, the site was mostly wooded with an upward slope from McNeil Street and Wake Forest Road toward the rear of the parcel. The floodplain of Crabtree Creek extends about 100 feet into the parcel along the entirety of both street frontages. This floodplain has likely been modified due to grading associated with the construction on the parcel.

The larger area around the rezoning site is a transition area between single family neighborhoods to the east, west, and south and a commercial node to the north at the intersection of Six Forks Road and Wake Forest Road. Crabtree Creek runs west to east along Hodges Street just north of the site. Capital Boulevard and multiple railroad rights-ofway lie to the south. Joyner Elementary School and the Kiwanis Park are located at the western terminus of McNeil Street, about one-quarter mile west. The Hi-Mount National Register Historic District (NRHD) lies to the south. The area immediately to the north and northeast is occupied by small-scale office, retail, personal service, heavy commercial, and light industrial uses. The scale of these uses grows larger towards Six Forks Road.

The existing zoning is Office Mixed Use in the rezoning area. The remainder of the parcel is Neighborhood Mixed Use. To the west and south are multi-family developments zoned Residential Mixed Use and Residential-10 respectively. Residential-10 zoning continues to the east, west, and south. The zoning to the north and northeast is Commercial Mixed Use. Industrial Mixed Use is present along Capital Boulevard and the rail corridors.

The Future Land Use Map is Neighborhood Mixed Use on the rezoning area and parcel. This designation continues north to Crabtree Creek, where Public Parks and Open Space is designated for the stream channel. Beyond the creek is Community Mixed Use. The multi-family developments to the west and south of the rezoning area are designated for Medium and Moderate Density residential. Low Density Residential is present on the Hi-Mount NRHD and other nearby single family neighborhoods. The Urban Form Map shows a City Growth Center extending from the rezoning parcel north and east, covering the area bounded by Capital Boulevard, Wake Forest Road, Six Forks Road, and the I-440 Beltline. Wake Forest Road is a Transit Emphasis Corridor at the site and in the nearby area.

The rezoning request proposes Neighborhood Mixed Use in place of Office Mixed Use. A three-story maximum height and the Parking Limited frontage are present for both the existing and proposed zoning. The three conditions offered in the proposed zoning are

holdovers from the existing zoning. These conditions limit height to 40 feet and two stories, limit non-residential space to 5,000 square feet, and require a 20-foot vegetated buffer on the southern boundary of the parcel. Some existing conditions would be removed by the proposed zoning. These are related to connectivity, residential density, building orientation and articulation, right-of-way reimbursement, and dedication of a transit easement. A transit easement has been dedicated as part of the approved site plan.

#### **OUTSTANDING ISSUES**

Outstanding	1. None.	Suggested	1. N/A
Issues		Mitigation	

## **Comprehensive Plan**

Determination of the conformance of a proposed use or zone with the Comprehensive Plan includes consideration of the following questions:

A. Is the proposal consistent with the vision, themes, and policies contained in the Comprehensive Plan?

Yes, the proposal provides for a mix of uses in a transit-oriented, urbanized area. This is consistent with the Managing Our Growth Vision Theme. The request also allows for development that is appropriate in size, scale, and form to create a transition between residential areas to the south and more intense commercial areas to the north. The Growing Successful Neighborhoods and Communities Vision Theme supports this example of "careful infill" that "complements existing character".

B. Is the use being considered specifically designated on the Future Land Use Map in the area where its location is proposed?

Yes, the Future Land Use Map calls for the district that has been requested.

C. If the use is not specifically designated on the Future Land Use Map in the area where its location is proposed, is it needed to service such a planned use, or could it be established without adversely altering the recommended land use and character of the area?

Not applicable. The proposed district is recommended by the Future Land Use Map.

D. Will community facilities and streets be available at City standards to serve the use proposed for the property?

Yes, the area of the request is close to Raleigh's core and served by existing infrastructure.

## **Future Land Use**

#### Future Land Use designation: Neighborhood Mixed Use

#### The rezoning request is:

**Consistent** with the Future Land Use Map.

#### Inconsistent

Analysis of Inconsistency: The Future Land Use Map designation for the rezoning site recommends the proposed zoning district. The scale of the site and the proposed height are consistent with the scale recommendations of the Future Land Use Map. The

Future Land Use designation calls for small scale commercial uses with heights of three to five stories.

## <u>Urban Form</u>

Urban Form designation: City Growth Center, Transit Emphasis Corridor

#### The rezoning request is:

**Not applicable** (no Urban Form designation)

**Consistent** with the Urban Form Map.

#### **Inconsistent**

Analysis of Inconsistency: Both of the Urban Form designations relating to the proposal recommend a hybrid frontage. The request includes the Parking Limited frontage, which is consistent with the Urban Form Map recommendations.

## <u>Compatibliity</u>

The proposed rezoning is:

**Compatible** with the property and surrounding area.

#### Incompatible.

Analysis of Incompatibility: The scale of the site and the uses allowed in the Neighborhood Mixed Use district are compatible with surrounding uses. The adjacent zoning and development pattern provide appropriate transitions to single family neighborhoods to the south. The offered conditions also ensure any future development will have height and scale that are compatible with the surrounding area.

## Public Benefits of the Proposed Rezoning

The request increases the options for goods and services available to the surrounding community.

## **Detriments of the Proposed Rezoning**

The expanded range of uses allowed in the proposed district may have negative impacts on surrounding properties due to noise and light.

## **Policy Guidance**

The rezoning request is **consistent** with the following policies:

#### Policy LU 1.2—Future Land Use Map and Zoning Consistency

The Future Land Use Map shall be used in conjunction with the Comprehensive Plan policies to evaluate zoning consistency including proposed zoning map amendments and zoning text changes.

The Future Land Use Map recommends the height and uses that would be allowed by the proposed zoning.

#### Policy LU 1.3-Conditional Use District Consistency

All conditions proposed as part of a conditional use district (CUD) should be consistent with the Comprehensive Plan.

The offered conditions support the scale of commercial uses recommended by the Future Land Use Map. Conditions also ensure appropriate buffering and transitions in density between commercial uses and residential uses.

#### Policy LU 5.6-Buffering Requirements

New development adjacent to areas of lower intensity should provide effective physical buffers to avoid adverse effects. Buffers may include larger setbacks, landscaped or forested strips, transition zones, fencing, screening, height and/or density step downs, and other architectural and site planning measures that avoid potential conflicts.

An offered condition provides a vegetated area between the rezoning site and a neighboring residential development.

#### Policy LU 7.4-Scale and Design of New Commercial Uses

New uses within commercial districts should be developed at a height, mass, scale, and design that is appropriate and compatible with surrounding areas.

The requested zoning district and offered conditions limit the height and scale of commercial uses on the rezoning site.

#### Policy UD 1.10-Frontage

Coordinate frontage across multiple sites to create cohesive places. Encourage consistency with the designations on the Urban Form Map. Development in centers and along corridors targeted for public investment in transit and walkability should use a compatible urban form.

The request includes the Parking Limited frontage which is recommended by both Urban Form designations on the rezoning site.

#### Policy UD 7.3-Design Guidelines

The design guidelines in **Table UD-1** shall be used to review rezoning petitions and development applications for mixed-use developments; or rezoning petitions and development applications along Main Street and Transit Emphasis corridors; or in City Growth, TOD and Mixed-Use centers, including preliminary site and development plans, petitions for the application of the Pedestrian Business or Downtown Overlay Districts, Planned Development districts, and Conditional Use zoning petitions.

The Parking Limited frontage has been requested. This frontage requires buildings to be placed within 100 feet of the street.

The rezoning request is **inconsistent** with the following policies:

#### Policy EP 4.2—Floodplain Conservation

Development should be directed away from the 100-year floodplain.

A portion of the rezoning site is in the floodplain. The rezoning request allows for a greater amount of residential development in this flood hazard area.

## Area Plan Policy Guidance

There is no area plan policy guidance relating to this site.

## Impact Analysis

#### Transportation

The Z-34-2017 site is located near the southwest quadrant of Wake Forest Road at McNeil Street. It is a portion of the previously approved site plan SP-17-2014 for McNeil Pointe. Wake Forest Road (SR 2000) is maintained by the NCDOT and currently has a four-lane cross section with curbs on both sides and sidewalk on the west side. Wake Forest Road is classified as a major street in the Raleigh Street Plan (Avenue, 4-Lane, Divided). McNeil Street is classified as a Neighborhood Street and currently has curbs and sidewalks on both sides. It is noted that construction drawings for McNeil Pointe show a new 6' sidewalk along the west side of Wake Forest Road and a new 6' sidewalk along the south side of McNeil Street. The intersection of Wake Forest and McNeil is signalized but lacks pedestrian signals or crosswalks. There is a City of Raleigh Capital Improvement Project (CIP) to install sidewalk along the east side of Wake Forest Road between Hodges Street and Georgetown Road with a slated completion timeline of Fall 2019. Concurrently, the project would provide crosswalks and pedestrian signals at the Wake Forest/McNeil intersection. The Z-34-2017 site is served by GoRaleigh Transit route 2; buses run every 30 minutes throughout the day. The nearest transit stop is located 0.15 miles westward at McNeil Street and Bernard Street, however the McNeil Pointe development will dedicate a 15' x 20' transit easement on Wake Forest Road at a location to be approved by the Transit Division. There are no exclusive bike lanes in the vicinity of the Z-34-2017 parcel, though bike lanes along Wake Forest Road are shown in the BikeRaleigh Long Term Bikeway Plan.

A Design Adjustment from the UDO block perimeter requirements for SP-17-2014, McNeil Pointe was approved by the Public Works Director on September 3, 2014. The design adjustment was noted in Certified Action #1350. The McNeil Pointe development is not required to construct a public street within the subject parcel and is not required to provide a public street connection to Crown Court. The conditions of approval for McNeil Pointe do require a pedestrian cross access agreement with the existing residential property to the south.

Site access will be provided via Wake Forest Road and McNeil Street in accordance with Certified Action #1350 for the McNeil Pointe development. Construction drawings for McNeil Pointe were approved by the City of Raleigh and building permits were issued on April 11, 2017. Any future site plans or subdivisions of the subject parcel will be held to UDO standards.

The existing land is under development and generates construction traffic but no residential or commercial traffic. Approval of case Z-34-2017 would increase average peak hour trip volumes by 9 veh/hr in the AM peak and by 9 veh/hr in the PM peak; daily trip volume will increase by 108 veh/day. These volumes are long-term averages and will vary from day to day. A traffic study for McNeil Pointe was reviewed by Transportation Planning staff in 2014. It found that overall levels of service for Wake Forest Road at Hodges Street, Wake Forest Road at McNeil Street and Wake Forest Road at Mills Street would operate at LOS-B or better during the AM and PM peak hours. However, the minor street approaches are expected to operate at LOS-E or LOS-F. Additional traffic analysis is waived by Transportation Planning staff due to small change peak hour trips for case Z-34-2017.

#### Impact Identified: None.

#### Transit

The rezoning site is currently served by Route #2 Falls of Neuse, which runs westbound on McNeil Street and northbound on Wake Forest Road. The route does not pass on the same side of the street as the rezoning site. There are no stops on the rezoning site. A transit easement has been dedicated as part of the approved site plan. The easement was based on an earlier layout of the Falls of Neuse route that ran southbound on Wake Forest Road and directly adjacent to the rezoning area.

#### Impact Identified: None.

#### Hydrology

Floodplain	FEMA Floodplain is present on site
Drainage Basin	Crabtree
Stormwater Management	Article 9.2 UDO
Overlay District	none

#### Impact Identified: No impacts identified.

#### **Public Utilities**

	Maximum Demand (current use)	Maximum Demand (current zoning)	Maximum Demand (proposed zoning)
Water	0 gpd	1,300 gpd	7,250 gpd
Waste Water	0 gpd	1,300 gpd	7,250 gpd

- 1. The proposed rezoning would add approximately 5,950 gpd to the wastewater collection and water distribution systems of the City. There are existing sanitary sewer and water mains adjacent to the proposed rezoning area.
- 2. At the time of development plan submittal, a Downstream Sewer Capacity Study may be required to determine adequate capacity to support the proposed development. Any improvements identified by the study would be required to be permitted prior to the issuance of Building Permit & constructed prior to release of a Certificate of Occupancy.
- 3. Verification of water available for fire flow is required as part of the Building Permit process. Any water system improvements recommended by the analysis to meet fire flow requirements will also be required of the Developer.

#### **Impact Identified: None**

#### **Parks and Recreation**

- 1. There are no existing or planned greenway corridors associated with this site.
- 2. Nearest park access is provided by Kiwanis Park, approximately 0.3 miles away.
- 3. Nearest greenway access is provided by the Crabtree Valley Greenway Trail, approximately 0.3 miles away.

#### Impact Identified: None.

#### **Urban Forestry**

Tree Conservation Area is already recorded on this property BM2015 PG 1255 along a portion of the south property line at a minimum 40 ft. width in two areas.

**Impact Identified:** Existing recorded tree conservation areas should be excluded from the current less stringent condition listed for tree preservation.

#### **Designated Historic Resources**

The site is not located within a National Register Historic District or Raleigh Historic Overlay District. It does not include any National Register individually-listed properties or Raleigh Historic Landmarks.

#### Impact Identified: None.

#### Impacts Summary

No significant impacts have been identified to infrastructure and facilities in the rezoning area.

#### Mitigation of Impacts

No mitigation is recommended.

#### <u>Conclusion</u>

The request is to rezone a portion of a parcel at the corner of McNeil Street and Wake Forest Road. The majority of the parcel is zoned Neighborhood Mixed Use. The subject portion is currently zoned Office Mixed Use and is proposed to be zoned Neighborhood Mixed Use. The current height and frontage are proposed to remain the same. Three conditions of the existing zoning would be retained in the request. These address height, scale, and a vegetated buffer at the south of the rezoning area. The request would have minimal impact on local infrastructure. It is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan and the Future Land Use Map. Some impacts may be experienced by neighboring properties due to a more intense range of uses that would be allowed if the rezoning is approved.

### <u>Case Timeline</u>

Date	Revision [change to requested district, revised conditions, etc.]	Notes
11/8/2017	Case submitted	
12/5/2017	Staff review complete	
1/9/2018	Planning Commission review begins	

## Appendix

## Surrounding Area Land Use/Zoning Summary

	Subject Property	North	South	East	West
Existing Zoning	OX-3-PL-CU	CX-3-PL	R-10	CX-3, OX-3- PL, R-10	RX-3-CU, R- 10
Additional Overlay	None	None	None	None	None
Future Land Use	Neighborhood Mixed Use	Neighborhood Mixed Use	Moderate Density Residential, Low Density Residential	Neighborhood Mixed Use, Moderate Density Residential, Low Density Residential	Medium Density Residential, Moderate Density Residential
Current Land Use	Vacant	Restaurant, Vehicle Sales	Multi- family, Single Family	Carwash, Personal Service, Office, Single Family	Multi-family
Urban Form (if applicable)	City Growth Center, Transit Emphasis Corridor	City Growth Center, Transit Emphasis Corridor	Transit Emphasis Corridor	City Growth Center, Transit Emphasis Corridor	City Growth Center

## <u>Current vs. Proposed Zoning Summary</u>

Existing Zoning

Proposed Zoning

Zoning	OX-3-PL-CU	NX-3-PL-CU
Total Acreage	1.2	1.2
Setback/Build-to:		
Front:	0'-100' (Parking Limited)	0'-100' (Parking Limited)
Side Street:	0'-100' (Parking Limited)	0'-100' (Parking Limited)
Side:	0' or 6'	0' or 6'
Rear:	0' or 6'	0' or 6'
Residential Density:	7.5 dua.	22.5 dua.
Max. # of Residential Units	9	27
Max. Gross Building SF	14,900	35,400
(if applicable)		
Max. Gross Office SF	5,000	5,000

Max. Gross Retail SF	5,000	5,000
Max. Gross Industrial SF	Not permitted	Not permitted
Potential F.A.R	0.36	0.86

\*The development intensities for proposed zoning districts were estimated using an impact analysis tool. The estimates presented are only to provide guidance for analysis.







	Z-34-2017 Existing Land Use	Daily	AM	РМ	
(Vacant) 0		0	0		
Z-34-2017 Current Zoning Entitlements Daily		AM	PM		
(Retail & Residential) 595		26	48		
Z	-34-2017 Proposed Zoning Maximums	Daily	AM	PM	
	(Retail & Residential)	703	35	57	
	Z-34-2017 Trip Volume Change	Daily Trips (vpd)	AM peak trips (vph)	PM peak trips (vph)	
(Propo	sed Maximums minus Current Entitlements)	108	9	9	
6.23.4	Trip Generation	Z-34-2017	7 Traffic Study Worksheet Meets TIA Conditions? (Y/N)		
0.23.4	1		Meets TTA Conditions: (T/N)		
Α	Peak Hour Trips $\geq 150$ veh/hr		No		
В	Peak Hour Trips $\geq 100$ veh/hr if primary access	ss is on a 2-lane street	No, the change in average peak hour trip volume is less t	han 10 veh/hr	
С	More than 100 veh/hr trips in the peak direction	on	No		
D	Daily Trips $\geq$ 3,000 veh/day		No, the change in average daily trip volume is less than 150 veh/day		
Е	Enrollment increases at public or private schools		Not Applicable		
6.23.5			Meets TIA Conditions? (Y/N)		
А	Affects a location with a high crash history [Severity Index $\ge$ 8.4 or a fatal crash within the past three years]		No		
В	Takes place at a highly congested location [volume-to-capacity ratio $\geq 1.0$ on both major street approaches]		No		
С	Creates a fourth leg at an existing signalized intersection		No		
D	Exacerbates an already difficult situation such as a RR Crossing, Fire Station Access, School Access, etc.		No		
Е	Access is to/from a Major Street as defined by the City's Street Plan Map		Yes, Wake Forest Rd (SR 2000) is classified as Avenue, Traffic Study waived by staff due to small change peak h		
F	Proposed access is within 1,000 feet of an interchange		No		
G	Involves an existing or proposed median crossover		No		
Н	Involves an active roadway construction project		No		
Ι	Involves a break in controlled access along a corridor		No		
6.23.6	5.23.6 Miscellaneous Applications		Meets TIA Conditions? (Y/N)		
А	Planned Development Districts		No		
В	In response to Raleigh Planning Commission or Raleigh City Council resolutions		None noted as of Nov. 27, 2017		

# **Rezoning Application**

**\*** RALEIGH DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING



NOV 82017 PM 1:52

i. A

A DE ANTE AND

Department of City Planning | 1 Exchange Plaza, Suite 300 | Raleigh, NC 27601 | 919-996-2626

	REZO	NING REQUEST		
☐ General Use	Height <sup>3</sup> Fron Height <sup>3</sup> F for the address to be rezu	tage PL $Overlay(s) N/A$ rontage PL $Overlay(s) N/A$ oned, then turn on the 'Zoning' and	J/A I 'Overlay' layers.	OFFICE USE ONLY Transaction # Rezoning Case #
Provide all previous transaction numb	ers for Coordinated Te	am Reviews, Due Diligence Se	essions, or Pre-Submit	tal Conferences:
520204				
	GENER	AL INFORMATION		
Date 09/14/2017 Date	ate Amended (1) 09/	/14/2017 Date	e Amended (2) 09/	14/2017
Property Address 2740 Mcl	Veil Street	(portion of)		
Property PIN 171501404	0 (portion	Of) Deed Reference (book/	<sup>(page)</sup> 015769	/0065
	Street and	Wake Forest R	Road	
Property Size (acres) 1.2 acres	(For PD Applications	only) Total Units	Total Square Feet	
Property Owner/Address Centre Development Company PO Box 32269 Raleigh, NC 27622-2269		Phone	Fax	
		Email		
Project Contact Person/Address Michael Birch Morris, Russell, Eagle & Worley, PLLC 2235 Gateway Access Point, Suite 201 Raleigh, NC 27607		Phone 919.645.4	317 Fax	
		Email mbirch@morrisrussell.com		
Owner/Agent Signature	Leurs		riseRoc	

A rezoning application will not be considered complete until all required submittal components listed on the Rezoning Checklist have been received and approved.

REZONING APPLICATION ADDENDUM #1			
Comprehensive Plan Analysis The applicant is asked to analyze the impact of the rezoning request. State Statutes require that the rezoning either be consistent with the adopted Comprehensive Plan, or that the request be reasonable and in the public interest.	OFFICE USE ONLY Transaction # Rezoning Case #		
STATEMENT OF CONSISTENCY			
Provide brief statements regarding whether the rezoning request is consistent with the futu urban form map, and any applicable policies contained within the 2030 Comprehensive Pla			
The property is designated Neighborhood Mixed Use on the Future Land Use Map, 1. to pedestrian-oriented retail districts that serve the immediately surrounding neighb rezoning to NX is consistent with this guidance, as NX permits neighborhood-servir	orhood. The proposed		
The property is within a City Growth Center, along a Transit Emphasis Corridor and within the half-mile transit buffer 2. as shown on the Urban Form Map. These designations encourage significant infill redevelopment and suggest a hybrid approach to urban form. The proposed Parking Limited Frontage is consistent with this guidance.			
The property is located within a Core/Transit area but could also be considered an Edge condition, so the the General area height guidance 3. applies. The Recommended Height Table recommends up to four stories for property classified Neighborhood Mixed Use within a General area. The proposed rezoning is consistent with this guidance based on the zoning condition limiting height to two stories and 40 feet.			
The proposed rezoning is consistent with the following Comprehensive Plan policies: LU 1.2 "Future Land Use Map and Zoning Consistency"; LU 1.3 "Conditional Use District Consistency", LU 2.6 "Zoning and Infrastructure Impacts", LU 3.2 "Location of Growth", LU 4.4 "Reducing VMT 4. Through Mixed Use", LU 4.9 "Corridor Development", LU 5.2 "Managing Commercial Development Impacts", LU 5.6 "Buffering Requirements", LU 6.4 "Bus Stop Dedication", LU 7.4 "Scale and Design of New Commercial Uses", and LU 7.6 "Pedestrian-Friendly Development."			
PUBLIC BENEFITS			
Provide brief statements regarding the public benefits derived as a result of the rezoning re	quest.		
The proposed rezoning benefits the public by rezoning land consistent wit <sup>1.</sup> Map, Urban Form Map and key Comprehensive Plan policies.	h the Future Land Use		
The proposed rezoning benefits the public by providing neighborhood reta <sup>2.</sup> to low- and moderate density residential areas.	il uses in close proximity		
3.			
4.			

**REVISION 02.13.17** 

REZONING APPLICATION ADDENDUM #2		
Impact on Historic Resources	OFFICE USE ONLY	
The applicant is asked to analyze the impact of the rezoning request on historic resources. For the purposes of this section, a historic resource is defined as any site, structure, sign, or other feature of the property to be rezoned that is listed in the National Register of Historic Places or designated by the City of Raleigh as a landmark or contributing to a Historic Overlay District.	Transaction # Rezoning Case #	
INVENTORY OF HISTORIC RESOURCES		
List in the space below all historic resources located on the property to be rezoned. For each proposed zoning would impact the resource.	n resource, indicate how the	
There are no historic resources located on the property.		
PROPOSED MITIGATION Provide brief statements describing actions that will be taken to mitigate all negative impacts	s listed above.	
Not applicable.	<u>n - Anterna Anterna (m. 1997).</u> 1977 - Electro Anterna (m. 1997)	

**REVISION 02.13.17** 

i.

	URBAN DESIGN GUIDELINES
a) b)	applicant must respond to the Urban Design Guidelines contained in the 2030 Comprehensive Plan if: The property to be rezoned is within a "City Growth Center" or "Mixed-Use Center", <u>or</u> The property to be rezoned is located along a "Main Street" or "Transit Emphasis Corridor" hown on the Urban Form Map in the 2030 Comprehensive Plan.
	Urban Form Designation: City Growth Center
	Click <u>here</u> to view the Urban Form Map.
1.	All Mixed-Use developments should generally provide retail (such as eating establishments, food stores, and banks), and other such uses as office and residential within walking distance of each other. Mixed uses should be arranged in a compact and pedestrian friendly form. <b>Response:</b> The proposed zoning allows for restaurants, small professional offices, and residential uses, consistent with this
	guideline.
2.	Within all Mixed-Use Areas buildings that are adjacent to lower density neighborhoods should transition (height, design, distance and/or landscaping) to the lower heights or be comparable in height and massing. <b>Response:</b>
	The proposed zoning limits height, consistent with this guideline.
3.	A mixed use area's road network should connect directly into the neighborhood road network of the surrounding community, providing multiple paths for movement to and through the mixed use area. In this way, trips made from the surrounding residential neighborhood(s) to the mixed use area should be possible without requiring travel along a major thoroughfare or arterial. <b>Response:</b>
	McNeil Street connects to the nearby neighborhood, consistent with this guideline.
4.	Streets should interconnect within a development and with adjoining development. Cul-de-sacs or dead-end streets are generally discouraged except where topographic conditions and/or exterior lot line configurations offer no practical alternatives for connection or through traffic. Street stubs should be provided with development adjacent to open land to provide for future connections. Streets should be planned with due regard to the designated corridors shown on the Thoroughfare Plan. <b>Response:</b>
	No new streets are contemplated as part of this development.
5.	New development should be comprised of blocks of public and/or private streets (including sidewalks). Block faces should have a length generally not exceeding 660 feet. Where commercial driveways are used to create block structure, they should include the same pedestrian amenities as public or private streets. <b>Response:</b>
	No new streets or intersections are contemplated as part of this development. Commercial driveways will be provided per the approved site plan.

COLUMN CO

6.	A primary task of all urban architecture and landscape design is the physical definition of streets and public spaces as places of shared use. Streets should be lined by buildings rather than parking lots and should provide interest especially for pedestrians. Garage entrances and/or loading areas should be located at the side or rear of a property. <b>Response:</b>
7.	Buildings should be located close to the pedestrian-oriented street (within 25 feet of the curb), with off-street parking behind and/or beside the buildings. When a development plan is located along a high volume corridor without on-street parking, one bay of parking separating the building frontage along the corridor is a preferred option. <b>Response:</b> The Parking Limited frontage and the approved site plan for the property are consistent with this guideline.
8.	If the site is located at a street intersection, the main building or main part of the building should be placed at the corner. Parking, loading or service should not be located at an intersection. <b>Response:</b> The Parking Limited frontage and the approved site plan for the property are consistent with this guideline.
9.	To ensure that urban open space is well-used, it is essential to locate and design it carefully. The space should be located where it is visible and easily accessible from public areas (building entrances, sidewalks). Take views and sun exposure into account as well. <b>Response:</b> Outdoor amenity areas will be provided consistent with the UDO.
10.	New urban spaces should contain direct access from the adjacent streets. They should be open along the adjacent sidewalks and allow for multiple points of entry. They should also be visually permeable from the sidewalk, allowing passersby to see directly into the space. <b>Response:</b> Outdoor amenity areas will be provided consistent with the UDO.
11.	The perimeter of urban open spaces should consist of active uses that provide pedestrian traffic for the space including retail, cafés, and restaurants and higher-density residential. <b>Response:</b> The proposed rezoning would allow for retail and restaurant spaces that serve the adjacent residential area, consistent with this guideline.
12.	A properly defined urban open space is visually enclosed by the fronting of buildings to create an outdoor "room" that is comfortable to users. <b>Response:</b> The site plan approved for this property is consistent with this guideline.

L.N.L

DE LESSEN DE LES

13.	New public spaces should provide seating opportunities. Response:
	Outdoor amenity areas will be provided consistent with the UDO.
14.	Parking lots should not dominate the frontage of pedestrian-oriented streets, interrupt pedestrian routes, or negatively impact surrounding developments. <b>Response:</b>
	The Parking Limited frontage and the approved site plan for the property are consistent with this guideline.
15.	Parking lots should be located behind or in the interior of a block whenever possible. Parking lots should not occupy more than 1/3 of the frontage of the adjacent building or not more than 64 feet, whichever is less. <b>Response:</b>
	The Parking Limited frontage and the approved site plan for the property are consistent with this guideline.
16.	Parking structures are clearly an important and necessary element of the overall urban infrastructure but, given their utilitarian elements, can give serious negative visual effects. New structures should merit the same level of materials and finishes as that a principal building would, care in the use of basic design elements cane make a significant improvement. <b>Response:</b>
	No parking structures are contemplated as part of the development of the property.
17.	Higher building densities and more intensive land uses should be within walking distance of transit stops, permitting public transit to become a viable alternative to the automobile. <b>Response:</b>
	The site plan approved for this property required dedication of a transit easement, and this easement is already dedicated to the City.
18.	Convenient, comfortable pedestrian access between the transit stop and the building entrance should be planned as part of the overall pedestrian network. <b>Response:</b>
	A transit easement along the property's frontage on Wake Forest Road is already dedicated to the City, consistent with this guideline.
	All development should respect natural resources as an essential component of the human environment. The most sensitive
19.	landscape areas, both environmentally and visually, are steep slopes greater than 15 percent, watercourses, and floodplains. Any development in these areas should minimize intervention and maintain the natural condition except under extreme circumstances. Where practical, these features should be conserved as open space amenities and incorporated in the overall site design. <b>Response:</b>
	The approved site plan is consistent with this guideline.

## WWW.RALEIGHNC.GOV

**REVISION 02.13.17** 

ſ.

TOPE CONTRACTORY CONTRACT

20.	It is the intent of these guidelines to build streets that are integral components of community design. Public and private streets, as well as commercial driveways that serve as primary pedestrian pathways to building entrances, should be designed as the main public spaces of the City and should be scaled for pedestrians. Response:
	Sidewalks will be provided per the UDO.
21.	Sidewalks should be 5-8 feet wide in residential areas and located on both sides of the street. Sidewalks in commercial areas and Pedestrian Business Overlays should be a minimum of 14-18 feet wide to accommodate sidewalk uses such as vendors, merchandising and outdoor seating. <b>Response:</b>
	Sidewalks will be provided per the UDO.
22.	Streets should be designed with street trees planted in a manner appropriate to their function. Commercial streets should have trees which complement the face of the buildings and which shade the sidewalk. Residential streets should provide for an appropriate canopy, which shadows both the street and sidewalk, and serves as a visual buffer between the street and the home. The typical width of the street landscape strip is 6-8 feet. This width ensures healthy street trees, precludes tree roots from breaking the sidewalk, and provides adequate pedestrian buffering. Street trees should be at least 6 1/4" caliper and should be consistent with the City's landscaping, lighting and street sight distance requirements. <b>Response:</b>
	Street trees will be provided in accordance with the UDO.
23.	Buildings should define the streets spatially. Proper spatial definition should be achieved with buildings or other architectural elements (including certain tree plantings) that make up the street edges aligned in a disciplined manner with an appropriate ratio of height to width. <b>Response:</b>
	The approved site plan and Parking Limited frontage are consistent with this guideline.
24.	The primary entrance should be both architecturally and functionally on the front facade of any building facing the primary public street. Such entrances shall be designed to convey their prominence on the fronting facade. <b>Response:</b>
	The Parking Limited frontage is consistent with this guideline.
25.	The ground level of the building should offer pedestrian interest along sidewalks. This includes windows entrances, and architectural details. Signage, awnings, and ornamentation are encouraged. <b>Response:</b>
	The building will comply with the applicable UDO standards.
26.	The sidewalks should be the principal place of pedestrian movement and casual social interaction. Designs and uses should be complementary to that function. <b>Response:</b>
	Sidewalks will comply with the applicable UDO standards.

#### WWW.RALEIGHNC.GOV

1325

b

THE PARTY AND IN THE

÷,

REZONING APPLICATION SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS ("Rezoning Checklist")						
TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT			and the states of	COMPLETED BY CITY STAFF		
General Requirements – General Use or Conditional Use Rezoning	YES	N/A	YES	NO	N/A	
<ol> <li>I have referenced this Rezoning Checklist and by using this as a guide, it will ensure that I receive a complete and thorough first review by the City of Raleigh</li> </ol>	, , , X		$ \varphi $			
2. Rezoning application review fee (see Fee Schedule for rate)	X		$\overline{\mathbf{v}}$			
3. Completed application; Include electronic version via cd or flash drive	x		$\overline{1}$			
<ol> <li>Two sets of stamped envelopes addressed to all property owners within 100 feet of property to be rezoned</li> </ol>	x		Ý			
5. Pre-Application Conference	×		5			
6. Neighborhood Meeting notice and report	×		B		<u>는 이</u> 관하 - 이건문의	
7. Trip Generation Study		X			$\checkmark$	
8. Traffic Impact Analysis		×			50	
9. Completed and signed zoning conditions	x		2		$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}$	
10. Completed Comprehensive Plan Consistency Analysis	X		50			
11. Completed Response to the Urban Design Guidelines	×		.h			
12. For applications filed by a third party, proof of actual notice to the property owner		×	Y		Ø	
13. Master Plan (for properties requesting Planned Development or Campus District)		x			Ŷ	

ſ

## WWW.RALEIGHNC.GOV

**REVISION 02.13.17** 

ć

CONDITIONAL USE DISTRICT ZONING CONDITIONS			
Zoning Case Number	OFFICE USE ONLY		
Date Submitted	Transaction #		
Existing Zoning OX-3-PL-CU Proposed Zoning NX-3-PL-CU	Rezoning Case #		
Narrative Of Zoning Conditions Offered			
No more than 5,000 square feet of non-residential building square footag	ge shall be permitted.		
2. No building shall exceed two (2) stories or forty feet (40') in height.			
Tree preservation areas at least twenty feet (20') in width shall be maintained along the common boundary line <sup>3.</sup> with residential property the property to the south known by Deed Book 2880, Page 110, Wake County Registry.			
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
8.			
9.			
10.			

These zoning conditions have been voluntarily offered by the property owner. All property owners must sign each condition page. This page may be photocopied if additional space is needed.

Owner/Agent Signature _	Bortin Lewin	Print Name	Lewis
	ð		<i>F</i>

WWW.RALEIGHNC.GOV

**REVISION 02.13.17** 

#### REZONING OF PROPERTY CONSISTING OF +/- 4.32 ACRES LOCATED IN THE SOUTHWEST QUADRANT OF THE INTERSECTION OF MCNEIL STREET AND WAKE FOREST ROAD, IN THE CITY OF RALEIGH

# REPORT OF MEETING ADJACENT PROPERTY OWNERS ON AUGUST 16, 2017

Pursuant to applicable provisions of the Unified Development Ordinance, a meeting was held with respect to a potential rezoning with adjacent property owners on Wednesday, August 16, 2017 at 6:00 p.m. The property considered for this potential rezoning totals approximately 4.32 acres, and is located in the southwest quadrant of the intersection of McNeil Street and Wake Forest Road, in the City of Raleigh, having Wake County Parcel Identification Number 1715-01-4040. This meeting was held at the Lions Park Community Center, located at 516 Dennis Avenue, Raleigh, NC 27604. All owners of property within 100 feet of the subject property were invited to attend the meeting. Attached hereto as **Exhibit A** is a copy of the neighborhood meeting notice. A copy of the required mailing list for the meeting invitations is attached hereto as **Exhibit B**. A summary of the items discussed at the meeting is attached hereto as **Exhibit C**. Attached hereto as **Exhibit D** is a list of individuals who attended the meeting.

#### **EXHIBIT A – NEIGHBORHOOD MEETING NOTICE**



Michael Birch | Partner 421 Fayetteville Street, Suite 530 Rateigh, NC 27601 919-590-0388 mbirch@morningstarlawgroup.com www.morningstarlawgroup.com

To:	Neighboring Property Owner
From:	Michael Birch

Date: August 4, 2017

Re: Notice of meeting to discuss potential rezoning of a parcel located in the southwest quadrant of the intersection of McNeil Street and Wake Forest Road, containing approximately 4.32 acres, with address of 2740 McNeil Street, and having Wake County Parcel Identification Number 1715-01-4040 (the "Property").

We are counsel for a developer that is considering rezoning the Property. Currently, the Property is split zoned NX-3-PL-CU and OX-3-PL-CU. The developer is considering rezoning the Property such that all of it will be zoned NX-3-PL-CU. The purpose of the rezoning is to permit retail uses on the portion of the property zoned OX-3-PL-CU.

You are cordially invited to attend a meeting to discuss the potential rezoning. We have scheduled a meeting with surrounding property owners on Wednesday, August 16, 2017 at 6:00 p.m. This meeting will be held at Lions Park Community Center located at 516 Dennis Avenue, Raleigh, NC 27604.

The City of Raleigh requires a neighborhood meeting involving the owners of property within 100 feet of the site prior to filing a rezoning application. After the meeting, we will prepare a report for the Raleigh Planning Department regarding the items discussed at the meeting.

Please do not hesitate to contact me directly should you have any questions or wish to discuss any issues. I can be reached at 919.590.0388 or mbirch@morningstarlawgroup.com. Also, for more information about rezoning, you may visit www.raleighnc.gov or contact the Raleigh City Planning Department at (919) 996-2626 or rezoning@raleighnc.gov.

#### **EXHIBIT B – NOTICE LIST**

1715014640 CENTRE DEVELOPMENT COMPANY LLC PO 8QX 32259 RALEIGH NC 27622-2269

1705916265 FROMSON, KATHRYN E 2209 BERNARD ST RALEIGH NC 27608-1711

1705916265 LYONS, CHARLES SCOTT 3001 DUNKIRK DR RALEIGH NG 27615-4384

1705916285 TOL WILSON LLC PO BOX 20969 RALEIGH NG 27619-0969

1706916265 TMW MCOONALD LLC 1701 MCDONALD LN RALEIGH NC 27608-2111

1705918285 AUBREY, LINDA J CALHOUN, EDWARD J 3825 COTTAGE ROSE LN RALEIGH NC 27812-6952

1705916265 MOORE, CHRISTOPHER T 2315 BERNARD ST RALEIGH NC 27908-1713

1705916265 TMW MCDONALD LLC 1701 MCDONALD LN RALEIGH NC 27608-2111

1705916265 ARCHER, SARAH HAHN 2311 BERNARD ST RALEIGH NC 27608-1713

1705916265 GREEN, ANNA K 2329 BERNARD ST RALEIGH NC 27608-1700 1705916265 MASTR CD COTTAGES @ WHITAKER CONDOS PO 80X 79832 CHARLOTTE NG 28271-0030

1705016265 MANESS, KIMBERLY E 2211 BERNARD ST RALEIGH NC 27808-1711

1705915265 MORRISON, MARY CAROLINE 2217 BERNARD ST RALEIGH NC 27608-1711

1705916285 HARHELL, CAROL ANNE 2223 BERNARD ST RALEIGH NC 27608-1711

1705016265 TMW MCDONALD LLC 1701 MCDONALD LN RALEIGH NC 27608-2111

1705916265 CRAMER, GARY CARL CRAMER, MARY JO CARLEND 3521 TALL OAK TRL RALEIGH NC 27612-4311

1705916265 VAUGHN, WELLIAM BURLESON-VAUGHN, MEREDITH L 606 SCALES TOWNE CT RALEIGHINC 27608-2471

1705916265 HOOGE, JOSEPHINE L 2327 BERNARD ST RALEIGH NG 27608-1713

1705916265 BRUMMETT, NIJA LEC 2317 BERNARD ST RALEIGH NC 27508-1713

1705916265 NORRIS, SARAH E 2331 BERNARD ST RALEIGH NG 27603-1700 1705916265 CHATHAM, DAVIO A CHATHAM, LISA A 2614 MCNEILL ST RALEIGH NC 27608-1744

1205916265 VAUGHN, WILLIAM VAUGHN, MEREDITH BURLESON BOR SCALES TOWNE CT RALEIGH NC 37608-2471

1705916265 GRANNAN, HILARY J 5944 DUNBARTON WAY RALEIGHING 27613-6856

1705916209 SCHEUNEMANN, LESLIE PAGE 2227 BERNARD ST RALEIGH NC 27609-1711

1705916265 TMW MCDONALD LLC 1701 MCDONALD LN RALEIGH NC 27608-2111

1705916265 WHLIAMSON, PAULA TMW MCDONLD LLC 2309 BERNARD ST RALEIGH NC 27608-1713

1705916265 SMITH, CYNTHIA E 2321 BERNARD ST RALEIOH NG 27606-1713

1705916265 DEES, MARION BLOUNT 2225 BERNARD ST RALEIGH NC 27658-1711

17D5916265 FITZGERALD FAMILY, LLC 3715 NATIONAL DR STE 122 RALEIGH NC 27612-4863

1705916265 NEWTON, MICHAEL T 2333 BERNARD S7 RALEIGH NC 27808-1703

#### **EXHIBIT B – NOTICE LIST, CONT.**

1205916265 WILLIAMS, MARY EDNA WILLIAMS, CHARLES K 2619 FAIRVIEW RD RALEIGH NC 27608-1347

1705916265 YOUNGMAN, LAUREN M 2345 BERNARD ST RALEIGH NC 27608-1700

1705916265 E PROPERTIES LLC 1328 RIDGE RD RALEIGH NC 27607-6837

1705916285 CORCHIANI, STEWART HARRIS 1106 HARVEY ST RALEIGH NG 27608 2205

1705916285 OUROLEY, MEOHAN 2363 BERNARD ST RALEIGH NC 27608-1714

1705916265 SIMMONS, JORDAN 2624 MCNEILL ST BALEIGH NC 27608-1744

1705916265 SMITH, JOSHUA S 2618 MONEILI, S7 RALEIGH NC 27608-1744

1205916265 BADGER, ALEXANDER S JR BADGER, REBECCA H 1026 COLLETON WAY TRENT WOODS NC 28562-7257 1706916265 ALOHAKEAKUA LLC 1095 PINELLAS POINT DR 5 APT 330 ST PETERSBURG FL 33705 4374

1705918265 BLAZEJACK, THOMAS J LEVINSON, SUZANNE T 400 W NORTH ST APT \$116 RALEIGHING 27603-4566

1705916265 ITB RENTALS LLC 2113 ARENDELL ST MOREHEAD CITY NC 28557-3957

1706916265 ANDREWS, JOHN R B ANDREWS, CREECY S & 15 MARLOWE RD APT 4 RALEIGH NG 27809-7048

1706916285 HARRIS, MERRIT E 2365 BERNARD ST RALEIGH NG 27608-1714

1705916265 LAMB, ANDREW S LAMB, DAVID STEPHEN 2622 MCREILL ST RALEIGH NC 27506-1744

1705916265 MCCELLOUGH PROPERTY HOLDINGS LLC 2335 BERNARD ST RALEIGH NC 27608-1700

1705919265 ALBRIGHT, JAMES A ALBRIGHT, HUGH A 2207 BERNARO ST RALEISH NC 27608-1711 1705916265 PALMER, BETH WILLIAMS 2343 BERNARD ST RALFIGH NC 27608-1700

1705916265 UANGE, JACQUELINE C 2349 BERNARD ST RALEIGHING 27608-1700

1705916265 POWELL, JOHN H JR 2355 BERNARD ST RALEIGH NC 27508-1700

1705916265 MCGEE, JONATHAN D MCGEE, ANGELA ALSTON 1729 WYSONG CT RALEIGH NC 27612-8443

1706916265 CORCHANI, STEWART H HARRIS, W TOM JR 1106 HARVEY ST RALEIGH NC 27608-2205

1705916265 ST. CLAIR, JAY 2620 MCNEILL ST RALEICH NG 27609-1744

1705916265 AWC PROPERTIES LLC 2713 WEBB ST RALEIGH NC 27609-7710

## **EXHIBIT B – NOTICE LIST, CONT.**

5

ADAMS, EMILY C 5019 BECKWYCK DR FUQUAY VARINA NC 27526-7529	BELCHER, MATTHEW P 2326 BERNARD ST RALEIGH NC 27608-1758	BREWER STREET APTS LTD PO BOX 6171 RALEIGH NC 27628-6171
BRINCEFIELD, RAY N BRINCEFIELD, NATALIE T 516 MIAL ST RALEIGH NC 27608-1818	BROWNING, DEBORAH T 65 GLEN RD STE 182 GARNER NC 27529-7943	BRUNK, ERICH C 850 HISTORIAN ST RALEIGH NC 27603-3489
CENTRE DEVELOPMENT COMPANY LLC PO BOX 32269 RALEIGH NC 27622-2269	CLOUSE, WILLIAM G 2342 BERNARD ST RALEIGH NC 27608-1757	COTTAGES AT WHITAKER CONDOS PO BOX 79032 CHARLOTTE NC 28271-0030
COX, PATRICK D 2340 BERNARD ST RALEIGH NC 27608-1757	CURTIS, STEVEN L CURTIS, BARBARA C 107 MONTGOMERY ST RALEIGH NC 27607-4057	DANIEL, ROBERT A 2324 BERNARD ST RALEIGH NC 27608-1758
DOZIER, R GRAHAM JR DOZIER, BARBARA B 3405 LUBBOCK DR RALEIGH NC 27612-5013	DUMS, MEREDITH L 2202 BREWER ST RALEIGH NC 27608-1814	FLOYD, CHARLOTTE FRANCES A 3036 MEDLIN DR RALEIGH NC 27607-6628
FOX, CASEY FOX, CASSIE 1323 BROOKS AVE RALEIGH NC 27607-3703	GODOY, ELIAS ELLIS-GODOY, KRISTINA 5017 PERCEPTION LN RALEIGH NC 27613-6238	GOLDSTON, JIMMY WILLIAM TRUSTEE 136 STAGECREST DR RALEIGH NC 27603-5502
HALLMAN, LEIGH ANN 5 WINSLOW PL CHAPEL HILL NC 27517-9409	HANKIN, JENNIFER E 2216 BREWER ST RALEIGH NC 27608-1814	HANNA PROPERTIES LLC 6629 REST HAVEN DR RALEIGH NC 27612-2167
HESTER, STACI LYNN 2212 BREWER ST RALEIGH NC 27608-1814	ITB RENTALS LLC 2113 ARENDELL ST MOREHEAD CITY NC 28557-3957	KUPPIN, WARNER TYLER KUPPIN, BONNIE RAINES THOMPSON 2224 OXFORD RD RALEIGH NC 27608-1637
LANE STREET VENTURES LLC 1610 SAINT MARYS ST RALEIGH NC 27608-2219	LANGE, CAROLYN J 2206 BREWER ST RALEIGH NC 27608-1814	LEA, MADELINE LEA, HERBERT JR 2316 BERNARD ST RALEIGH NC 27608-1758
LILES, KELLY E 2200 BREWER ST RALEIGH NC 27608-1814	MAHAN, PRESTON 2322 BERNARD ST RALEIGH NC-27608-1758	MARTIN, BRENDA MARTIN, PATRICIA 2332 BERNARD ST RALEIGH NC 27608-1757

#### **EXHIBIT B – NOTICE LIST, CONT.**

MCCOLLUM, MARY KATHARINE 8125 BELLOW ST RALEIGH NC 27609-3617

O'CONNOR, BRIAN D 2214 BREWER ST RALEIGH NC 27608-1814

PALME REAL ESTATE HOLDINGS LLC 2401 WAKE FOREST RD RALEIGH NC 27608-1709

RALEIGH RENTAL HOMES LLC PO BOX 6356 RALEIGH NC 27628-6356

SNOTHERLY, W RONNIE SNOTHERLY, MARY C 2308 WAKE FOREST RD RALEIGH NC 27608-1756

WATSON, SUSAN P WATSON, CHRISTIAN E PO BOX 1085 PRINCETON NC 27569-1085

WILLIS, VACHELLE D 2344 BERNARD ST RALEIGH NC 27608-1757 MOORE, CHRISTIAN 2702 MCNEILL ST RALEIGH NC 27608-1759

OWEN, DIANE C OWEN, WILLIAM FREDERICK 106 PINE CONE DR OXFORD NC 27565-2742

PENN, VIRGINIA SCOTT 2306 BERNARD ST RALEIGH NC 27608-1758

REA, REITA 104 HUGHES PLANTATION RD POLLOCKSVILLE NC 28573-8783

TALLY, MICHAEL TALLY, DENISE 2350 BERNARD ST RALEIGH NC 27608-1760

WHITE OAK COMMERCIAL INC 403 E SIX FORKS RD RALEIGH NC 27609-7829

WINZ, STEPHAN MANFRED WINZ, NANCY E 8217 OLD DEER TRL RALEIGH NC 27615-5126 MULLINS, KELLY S 2334 BERNARD ST RALEIGH NC 27608-1757

PADGETTE, POLLY W PADGETTE, RONNIE E 1212 TILIA CT KNIGHTDALE NC 27545-9250

RALEIGH CITY OF 222 W HARGETT ST RALEIGH NC 27601-1316

ROWLAND, GYPSY 8145 LAKISTA POINT LN GARNER NC 27529-9096

THOMAS, JOHN ERIC 108 W OWENS ST STE 3 SOUTHPORT NC 28461-3485

WILLIAMS RENTAL CO 2100 BANBURY RD RALEIGH NG 27608-1124

YOUNG, ALLIE R 2308 BERNARD ST RALEIGH NC 27608-1758

#### **EXHIBIT C – ITEMS DISCUSSED**

1. The purpose of the rezoning, to permit retail uses in building approved for office uses

.

- 2. Description of the approved site plan and status of construction
- 3. Impact of the rezoning request on the existing site plan
- 4. The allowed uses in the proposed district

t,

- 5. Potential tenants for rezoning area and other parts of approved site plan
- 6. Maintaining buffers and tree conservation as shown on the approved site plan
- 7. Timing of rezoning process and development process

### **EXHIBIT D – MEETING ATTENDEES**

1. Richard E. Barham

2. Hunter Barham

3. Will Owen

ea 1 %