Certified Recommendation
Raleigh Planning Commission
CR# 11620

Case Information: Z-37-14 - Brier Creek Parkway

| Location | Brier Creek Parkway, west side, at its intersection with Skyland Ridge Parkway  
Address: 7850 Brier Creek Parkway  
PIN: 0768488348 |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Request</td>
<td>Rezone property from Shopping Center Conditional Use District &amp; Thoroughfare Conditional Use District with Planned Development District (SC CUD &amp; TD CUD w/ PDD) to Commercial Mixed Use-7 stories-Conditional Use (CX-7-CU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area of Request</td>
<td>8.76 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property Owner</td>
<td>SLF Ruby Jones LLC/ c/o Ryan LLC/ PO Box 56607/ Atlanta, GA 30343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applicant</td>
<td>Michael Birch/ Morningstar Law Group/ 630 Davis Drive, Suite 200/ Morrisville, NC 27560: (919) 590-0388; <a href="mailto:mbirch@morningstarlawgroup.com">mbirch@morningstarlawgroup.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citizens Advisory Council (CAC)</td>
<td>Northwest--Jay Gudeman, Chair: (919) 789-9884; <a href="mailto:jay@kilpatrickguteman.com">jay@kilpatrickguteman.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC Recommendation Deadline</td>
<td>June 22, 2015</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comprehensive Plan Consistency
The rezoning case is ☒ Consistent ☐ Inconsistent with the 2030 Comprehensive Plan.

Future Land Use Map Consistency
The rezoning case is ☒ Consistent ☐ Inconsistent with the Future Land Use Map.

Comprehensive Plan Guidance

| FUTURE LAND USE URBAN FORM | Regional Mixed Use  
Center: City Growth  
Corridor: None designated |
|---|---|
| CONSISTENT Policies | Policy LU 2.6 – Zoning and Infrastructure Impacts  
Policy LU 6.2 – Complementary Uses and Urban Vitality  
Policy LU 6.4 – Bus Stop Dedication  
Policy LU 8.11 – Development of Vacant Sites  
Policy T 4.15 – Enhanced Rider Amenities  
Policy EP 2.5 – Protection of Water Features  
Policy UD 6.2 – Encouraging Pedestrian-Oriented Uses  
Policy UD 7.3 – Design Guidelines |
| INCONSISTENT Policies | (None.) |
Summary of Proposed Conditions

1. Adult establishment prohibited.
2. Build-to and minimum percentage of building within build-to specified along Brier Creek Parkway; street-facing entrance with direct pedestrian access required.
3. Scenarios for maximum site development specified (three).
4. Transit easement offered.

Public Meetings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Neighbor Meeting</th>
<th>CAC</th>
<th>Planning Commission</th>
<th>City Council</th>
<th>Public Hearing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10/28/14</td>
<td>11/12/14; 2/10/15: Y - 6, N - 4, Abs - 2</td>
<td>3/24/15 (recommended approval)</td>
<td>4/7/15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Attachments
1. Staff Report
2. Traffic Impact Analysis Worksheet

Planning Commission Recommendation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Approve with conditions.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>City Council may now schedule this proposal for Public Hearing, or refer it to committee for further study and discussion.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Findings &amp; Reasons</th>
<th>1. The proposal is consistent with the Future Land Use Map, Urban Form Map, and pertinent policies of the Comprehensive Plan.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. The proposed rezoning is reasonable and in the public interest. The proposal will eliminate existing split zoning of the site, while providing greater flexibility of site use and development within an established mixed-use center.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. The proposal is compatible with the surrounding area. Permitted development would be of an intensity consistent with that existing, planned, and possible nearby.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Motion and Vote</th>
<th>Motion: Swink</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Second: Braun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>In Favor: Braun, Fluhrer, Hicks, Lyle, Schuster, Swink, Terando and Whitsett</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This document is a true and accurate statement of the findings and recommendations of the Planning Commission. Approval of this document incorporates all of the findings of the attached Staff Report.

3/24/15

Planning Director Date Planning Commission Chairperson Date
Case Summary

Overview
The request is to rezone a single parcel to allow greater intensity and flexibility of site development. Rezoning would also eliminate the current split-zoning of the parcel.

The tract is one of four lots which comprise “Tract 10” of the Alexander Place Planned Development District (PDD). Three of the Tract 10 properties front ACC Boulevard, extending eastward to the intersection with Brier Creek Parkway. Brier Creek Parkway forms the eastern boundary of the subject site, which itself extends south to the street’s intersection with Skyland Ridge Parkway. A power line easement roughly follows the southern boundary of the property to that street intersection. The 1¾-acre portion of the property under and south of the easement is outside the PDD, and is currently zoned Shopping Center Conditional Use District, the same as the properties south of Skyland Ridge Parkway.

On the property’s northern, western, and southern borders, topography separates the site from adjacent tracts, with lot lines there roughly following natural stream courses. Elevation drops some 50 feet from Brier Creek Parkway to the stream banks on the northwest.

The PDD portion of the property is fully wooded; the SC portion, being under the power lines, is mostly open but likewise vacant. In contrast, properties east and south are extensively developed. The 415,000 sf Alexander Promenade shopping center stands directly across Brier Creek Parkway, with townhouse and multi-family housing north of the retail area. The Shops at Alexander Place and Alexander Place II retail center line Skyland Ridge Parkway, south and southwest of the site.

The Master Plan designates Tract 10 for 22,000 square feet of retail uses, but includes two alternative development scenarios: one allowing either 12,000 square feet of retail and 72 dwelling units, and another 12,000 square feet of retail with 32,000 square feet of office uses. To date, two of the three properties along ACC Boulevard have been developed, one as a retail use (4,700 sf), and the other as office (11,400 sf). However, since the PDD zoning was approved (November 21, 2000), no development has occurred on the third ACC Boulevard tract, or on the subject site. The SC portion, being under the power lines, is not able to develop with habitable space.

Outstanding Issues

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outstanding Issues</th>
<th>Suggested Mitigation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Traffic impacts, and sewer and fire flow matters may need to be addressed upon development.</td>
<td>1. Address traffic impacts, and sewer and fire flow capacities at the site plan stage.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Request:
8.76 acres from
CUD TD w/PDD, CUD SC
to CX-7-CU
Rezoning Case Evaluation

1. Compatibility Analysis

1.1 Surrounding Area Land Use/ Zoning Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject Property</th>
<th>North</th>
<th>South</th>
<th>East</th>
<th>West</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Existing Zoning</td>
<td>Thoroughfare Conditional Use; Shopping Center Conditional Use</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shopping Center Conditional Use</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shopping Center Conditional Use</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thoroughfare Conditional Use</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional Overlay</td>
<td>Planned Development</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Planned Development</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(none)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(none)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Planned Development</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future Land Use</td>
<td>Regional Mixed Use</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Regional Mixed Use; Office &amp; Residential Mixed Use</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Regional Mixed Use</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Regional Mixed Use</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Office &amp; Residential Mixed Use</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Land Use</td>
<td>Vacant; Power Line Easement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Office; Retail;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Retail Uses</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shopping Center</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Retail Uses</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban Form</td>
<td>City Growth Center</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>City Growth Center</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>City Growth Center</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>City Growth Center</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.2 Current vs. Proposed Zoning Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Existing Zoning</th>
<th>Proposed Zoning*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Residential Density:</td>
<td>8.22 DUs/ acre</td>
<td>36.75 DUs/ acre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(72 DUs max., per Master Plan)</td>
<td>(322 DUs max.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Setbacks (min.):</td>
<td>Per Master Plan:</td>
<td>If Mixed Use building type:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15 feet (Brier Creek Parkway); 5 feet</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 feet</td>
<td>0 or 6 feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 feet</td>
<td>0 or 6 feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retail Intensity Permitted:</td>
<td>22,000 (per Master Plan)</td>
<td>72,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office Intensity Permitted:</td>
<td>32,000 (per Master Plan)</td>
<td>81,500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.3 Estimated Development Intensities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Existing Zoning</th>
<th>Proposed Zoning*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Acreage</td>
<td>8.76</td>
<td>8.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zoning</td>
<td>SC CUD &amp; TD CUD w/ PDD</td>
<td>CX-7-CU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. Gross Building SF (if applicable)</td>
<td>32,000 (if office, per Master Plan)</td>
<td>81,500 (if office)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. # of Residential Units</td>
<td>72 (per Master Plan)</td>
<td>322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. Gross Office SF</td>
<td>32,000 (per Master Plan)</td>
<td>81,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. Gross Retail SF</td>
<td>22,000 (per Master Plan)</td>
<td>72,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. Gross Industrial SF</td>
<td>- 0 -</td>
<td>- 0 -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential F.A.R</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The development intensities for proposed zoning districts were estimated using an impact analysis tool. The estimates presented are only to provide guidance for analysis.

The proposed rezoning is:

☑ **Compatible** with the property and surrounding area.

☐ **Incompatible.**

Analysis of Incompatibility:

(N/A)
Request:
8.76 acres from
CUD TD w/PDD, CUD SC
to CX-7-CU

Submittal Date
11/26/2014
Request:
8.76 acres from CUD TD w/PDD, CUD SC to CX-7-CU
2. Comprehensive Plan Consistency Analysis

### 2.1 Comprehensive Plan

Determination of the conformance of a proposed use or zone with the Comprehensive Plan includes consideration of the following questions:

- Is the proposal consistent with the vision, themes, and policies contained in the Comprehensive Plan?
- Is the use being considered specifically designated on the Future Land Use Map in the area where its location is proposed?
- If the use is not specifically designated on the Future Land Use Map in the area where its location is proposed, is it needed to service such a planned use, or could it be established without adversely altering the recommended land use and character of the area?
- Will community facilities and streets be available at City standards to serve the use proposed for the property?

The proposal is consistent with the vision, themes, and policies of the Comprehensive Plan; permitted site uses and built form are commensurate with existing build-out and anticipated future build-out and land uses nearby.

The Future Land Use map places the site within a Regional Mixed Use area, which the Comprehensive Plan describes as being “major retail and service hubs that draw customers from across the city,” adding that “These areas may include high-density housing, office development, hotels, and region-serving retail uses such as department stores and specialty stores. These areas would typically be zoned CX.” CX is the zoning requested by the rezoning proposal.

The Urban Form Map of the Comprehensive Plan shows the subject property to be within a City Growth Center. The Comprehensive Plan describes such areas as being “where significant infill development and redevelopment are anticipated in the future.” The subject Growth Center encompasses some 3,000 acres, with the tallest buildings, defining the Core of the mixed-use area, located on the south side of Glenwood Avenue (at Arco Corporate Drive). No low- to moderate-density residential areas, which would define the Edge of the greater mixed-use area, are located within 150 of the subject site. The site can thereby be considered within the “General” range for determining appropriate building height. In Regional Mixed Use areas, a height of 7 stories is the maximum recommended, the same height as that requested in the rezoning proposal.

Existing community facilities and streets appear sufficient to accommodate the redevelopment possible under the proposed rezoning.

### 2.2 Future Land Use

**Future Land Use designation:** Regional Mixed Use

**The rezoning request is:**

- ☑ Consistent with the Future Land Use Map.
- ❌ Inconsistent

  Analysis of Inconsistency:

  (N/ A)
2.3 Urban Form

Urban Form designation: City Growth Center

☐ Not applicable (no Urban Form designation)

The rezoning request is:

☒ Consistent with the Urban Form Map.

☐ Inconsistent

Analysis of Inconsistency:

(N/A)

2.4 Policy Guidance

The rezoning request is inconsistent with the following policies:

(None.)

2.5 Area Plan Policy Guidance

The rezoning request is not within a portion of the City subject to an Area Plan.

3. Public Benefit and Reasonableness Analysis

3.1 Public Benefits of the Proposed Rezoning

- The proposal would permit potentially more diverse mix of land uses on site, well served by existing infrastructure, in close proximity to other development.
- The proposal would increase opportunities for bringing a long-vacant tract into productive use, in a fashion consistent with Comprehensive Plan guidance.

3.2 Detriments of the Proposed Rezoning

(None identified.)
4. Impact Analysis

4.1 Transportation
Brier Creek Parkway currently exists as a 4-lane, divided street; Skyland Ridge Parkway is a 2-lane street. The nearest traffic signal is located at Brier Creek Parkway and Glenwood Avenue. The intersection of Brier Creek and Skyland Ridge has been modified to prohibit eastbound left turns from Skyland Ridge Parkway.

There are no Raleigh CIP projects slated for this area in FY 2015 – FY 2019. The NCDOT’s project U-5518, currently in the planning stage, proposes an interchange at Brier Creek Parkway and US-70/ Glenwood Avenue.

Cross access to adjacent properties will be determined at site plan review. Access onto Brier Creek Parkway is currently limited to Right-In/Right-Out. Any changes to increase access will be determined at site plan review and may require an additional traffic study.

Rezoning case Z-37-2014 could potentially add 114 vehicles per hour to the adjacent intersection of Brier Creek Pkwy and US-70. A traffic impact analysis report is required for this case, but will be deferred until a site plan and/or building permits are submitted.

Impact Identified: TIA report required upon submittal of site plan.

4.2 Transit
This area is currently served by CAT Route 70X Brier Creek Express and by DATA Route 15 The closest stop is on Alexander Promenade Place beside Kohl’s. CAT 70X is a limited stop route at this time but is expected to become a circulator in the future.

Higher density and increased mixed use development may increase the demand for transit in this area. The dedication of a transit easement and contribution of $4500 towards the installation of a shelter will mitigate this impact.

Impact Identified: None.

4.3 Hydrology

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Floodplain</th>
<th>City of Raleigh Flood Study #348</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Drainage Basin</td>
<td>Little Brier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stormwater Management</td>
<td>Article 9.2 UDO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overlay District</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Subject to Article 9.2 of UDO for Stormwater Regulations; Subject to Article 9.3 of UDO for Floodplain located on site; Neuse River Buffers exist on site.

Impact Identified: None.

4.4 Public Utilities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maximum Demand (current)</th>
<th>Maximum Demand (proposed)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>109,500 gpd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste Water</td>
<td>109,500 gpd</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The proposed rezoning would add approximately 121,500 gpd to the wastewater collection and water distribution systems of the City. There is an existing water main adjacent to the property. The existing sanitary sewer main is located in the northwestern portion of the property.
**Impact Identified:** The developer may be required to submit a downstream sanitary sewer capacity study and those required improvements identified by the study must be permitted and constructed in conjunction with and prior to the proposed development being constructed.

Verification of available capacity for water fire flow is required as part of the building permit process. Any water system improvements required to meet fire flow requirements will also be required.

### 4.5 Parks and Recreation
Site is not adjacent to existing or planned greenway trail or greenway connector. Nearest greenway trail is Mine Creek Trail (6.0 miles) Park services are provided by Brier Creek Community Center (2.2 miles).

**Impact Identified:** None.

### 4.6 Urban Forestry
This site is wooded, over 2 gross acres and therefore required to comply with UDO Article 9.1 Tree Conservation when a Subdivision or Site Plan is submitted for review. Primary Tree Conservation Areas should be identified first, including Thoroughfare Yard and Neuse Riparian Buffer Zone 2, or any other Primary Tree Conservation area listed under Section 9.1.4A., until 10 percent of the net site acreage is met.

**Impact Identified:** None.

### 4.7 Designated Historic Resources
The site does not include and is not within 1,000 feet of any Raleigh Historic Landmarks or properties listed on the National Register of Historic Places.

**Impact Identified:** None.

### 4.8 Community Development
This site is not located within a redevelopment plan area.

**Impact Identified:** None.

### 4.9 Impacts Summary
Traffic impacts, and sewer and fire flow matters may need to be addressed upon development.

### 4.10 Mitigation of Impacts
Address traffic impacts, and sewer and fire flow capacities at the site plan stage.

## 5. Conclusions

The proposed rezoning would permit greater flexibility in site development, of a scale and intensity consistent with the Comprehensive Plan, and compatible with the surrounding area.
Rezoning Application

Rezoning Request

☐ General Use  ☑ Conditional Use  ☐ Master Plan

Existing Zoning Classification: SC CUD (Z-57-00) and TD CUD with PDD (Z-58-00/M-1-00)
Proposed Zoning Classification Base District: CX Height: ~7 Frontage: N/A

If the property has been previously rezoned, provide the rezoning case number: Z-57-00 and Z-56-00

Provide all previous transaction numbers for Coordinated Team Reviews, Due Diligence Sessions or Pre-Submittal Conferences. 410444

OFFICE USE ONLY

Transaction Number

410444

GENERAL INFORMATION

Property Address: 7850 Brier Creek Parkway

Property PIN: 0768-48-8348

Nearest Intersection: Brier Creek Parkway and Skyland Ridge Parkway

Property size (in acres): 8.76 ac

Property Owner/Address:
SLF Ruby Jones, LLC
c/o Ryan LLC
PO Box 66607
Atlanta, GA 30343

Deed Reference (Book/Page): Book 8499, Page 2170
Lot 102 in Book of Maps 2006, Page 1668

Phone: 214.239.2376  Fax

Email: bredwine@stratfordland.com

Project Contact Person/Address:
Michael Birch, Morningstar Law Group
630 Davis Drive, Suite 200
Morrisville, NC 27560

Phone: 919.590.0388  Fax

Email: mbirch@morningstarlawgroup.com

Owner/Agent Signature

SEE ATTACHED SIGNATURE AFFIDAVIT

Email: gwiggins@stratfordland.com

A rezoning application will not be considered complete until all required submittal components listed on the Rezoning Checklist have been received and approved.
Zoning Case Number: Z-37-14
Date Submitted: March 18, 2015
Existing Zoning: TD CUD w/ PDD and SC CUD
Proposed Zoning: CX-7-CU

NARRATIVE OF ZONING CONDITIONS OFFERED

1. The following principal uses shall be prohibited on the property: adult establishment.

2. There shall be a build-to area along Brier Creek Parkway, between a minimum of zero (0) feet to a maximum of one-hundred (100) feet, as measured perpendicular to the Brier Creek Parkway public right-of-way. The minimum percentage of building width in this build-to area shall be twenty-five percent (25%). This minimum percentage of building width in the build-to area shall be counted against the entirety of the property’s frontage and not on a lot-by-lot basis in the event of a subdivision of the property. Each building located within the build-to area along Brier Creek Parkway shall have a minimum of one building entrance facing Brier Creek Parkway, and direct pedestrian access shall be provided between this street-facing entrance and the public sidewalk located along Brier Creek Parkway.

3. The maximum development intensities for the property shall be one of the following scenarios, at the election of the property owner:
   1. 54,000 square feet of Commercial land uses, of which no more than 20,000 square feet may be used for Commercial land uses other than Medical and Office land uses; or
   2. 34,000 square feet of Medical and Office land uses and a hotel with up to 150 rooms; or
   3. Any land use or mix of land uses permitted on the property so long as the overall development does not exceed 162 AM peak hour trips and 317 PM peak hour trips.

   References to land uses in this condition shall have the meaning as ascribed in the Allowed Principal Use Table (UDO section 6.1.4). Election of the specific scenario will occur prior to the issuance of the first building permit, or the recording of a subdivision plat, whichever occurs first. However, this shall not preclude the subsequent election of another scenario so long as it complies with this condition. The election and any amendment thereto will be in writing and recorded with the Wake County Register of Deeds. Any amendment to the initial election requires the consent of the City Planning Director, as evidenced by the signature of the City Planning Director on the recorded instrument, and which consent shall be given if the subsequent election complies with this condition.

4. Prior to the issuance of a building permit for new development or recording of a subdivision plat, whichever occurs first, a transit easement shall be deeded to the City and recorded in the Wake County Registry. Prior to recordation of the transit easement, the dimensions (not to exceed 15 feet in depth and 20 feet in width) and location of the easement along Brier Creek Parkway shall be approved by the Public Works Department and the easement deed approved by the City Attorney’s Office. Prior to the issuance of a certificate of occupancy for new development, the property owner shall pay to the City an amount of $4,500 as contribution toward the future installation of a bus shelter.

These zoning conditions have been voluntarily offered by the property owner. All property owners must sign each condition page. This page may be photocopied if additional space is needed.
SLF Ruby Jones, LLC,  
a North Carolina limited liability company

By: Stratford Land Advisors, L.P.,  
a Texas limited partnership,  
its Manager

By: Stratford Advisors, LLC,  
a Texas limited liability company,  
its General Partner

By: Phillip F. Wiggins  
Manager
### Comprehensive Plan Analysis

The applicant is asked to analyze the impact of the rezoning request. State Statutes require that the rezoning either be consistent with the adopted Comprehensive Plan, or that the request be reasonable and in the public interest.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OFFICE USE ONLY</th>
<th>Transaction Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zoning Case Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Z-37-14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### STATEMENT OF CONSISTENCY

Provide brief statements regarding whether the rezoning request is consistent with the future land use designation, the urban form map and any applicable policies contained within the 2030 Comprehensive Plan.

1. The property is designated “Regional Mixed Use” on the Future Land Use Map, which identifies major retail and service hubs and recommends office, hotel and retail development. The category description notes that these areas would be typically zoned CX. The rezoning request for CX is consistent with this guidance.

2. The property is located within a city growth center, which provides significant opportunities for new economic development given the proximity to transit corridors and major interchanges. The property does not front along a corridor designated on the Urban Form Map. The rezoning request is consistent with the Urban Form Map guidance.

3. The property is located in a “general area” as used in the context of Table LU-2. Given such designation and the Regional Mixed Use designation, the recommended height is a maximum of seven stories. The rezoning request for seven stories is consistent with this guidance.

4. The rezoning request is consistent with the following Comprehensive Plan policies: LU 1.2 “Future Land Use Map & Zoning Consistency”, LU 1.3 “Conditional Use District Consistency”, LU 3.2 “Location of Growth”, and LU 6.1 “Composition of Mixed-Use Centers”.

### PUBLIC BENEFITS

Provide brief statements regarding the public benefits derived as a result of the rezoning request.

1. The rezoning request provides a public benefit by rezoning the property consistent with the Future Land Use Map and Comprehensive Plan.

2. The rezoning request provides a public benefit by permitting a mix of commercial land uses on property within a City Growth Center, well-served by existing transportation infrastructure, and in close proximity to residential development.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>URBAN DESIGN GUIDELINES</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>If the property to be rezoned is shown as a “mixed use center” or located along a Main Street or Transit Emphasis Corridor as shown on the Urban Form Map in the Comprehensive Plan, the applicant must respond to the Urban Design Guidelines contained in the 2030 Comprehensive Plan.</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **1.** All Mixed-Use developments should generally provide retail (such as eating establishments, food stores, and banks), and other such uses as office and residential within walking distance of each other. Mixed uses should be arranged in a compact and pedestrian friendly form.  
Response: The rezoning request provides additional retail and office opportunities in close proximity to other retail and office development as well as existing residential uses, consistent with this guideline. |  |
| **2.** Within all Mixed-Use Areas buildings that are adjacent to lower density neighborhoods should transition (height, design, distance and/or landscaping) to the lower heights or be comparable in height and massing.  
Response: The property is not adjacent to lower density development, so this guideline is not applicable. |  |
| **3.** A mixed use area’s road network should connect directly into the neighborhood road network of the surrounding community, providing multiple paths for movement to and through the mixed use area. In this way, trips made from the surrounding residential neighborhood(s) to the mixed use area should be possible without requiring travel along a major thoroughfare or arterial.  
Response: Streets should interconnect within a development and with adjoining development. Cul-de-sacs or dead-end streets are generally discouraged except where topographic conditions and/or exterior lot line configurations offer no practical alternatives for connection or through traffic. Street stubs should be provided with development adjacent to open land to provide for future connections. Streets should be planned with due regard to the designated corridors shown on the Thoroughfare Plan. |  |
| **4.** Streets should interconnect within a development and with adjoining development. Cul-de-sacs or dead-end streets are generally discouraged except where topographic conditions and/or exterior lot line configurations offer no practical alternatives for connection or through traffic. Street stubs should be provided with development adjacent to open land to provide for future connections. Streets should be planned with due regard to the designated corridors shown on the Thoroughfare Plan. |  |
| **5.** New development should be comprised of blocks of public and private streets (including sidewalks). Block faces should have a length generally not exceeding 600 feet. Where commercial driveways are used to create block structure, they should include the same pedestrian amenities as public or private streets.  
Response: The proposed development fronts along Brier Creek Parkway and Skyland Ridge Parkway, but the existing block lengths of these two streets do not meet the 600’ guideline. |  |
| **6.** A primary task of all urban architecture and landscape design is the physical definition of streets and public spaces as places of shared use. Streets should be lined by buildings rather than parking lots and should provide interest especially for pedestrians. Garage entrances and loading areas should be located at the side or rear of a property.  
Response: It is anticipated that some buildings will be located in close proximity to the road. |  |
| **7.** Buildings should be located close to the pedestrian-oriented street (within 25 feet of the curb), with off-street parking behind and/or beside the buildings. When a development plan is located along a high volume corridor without on-street parking, one bay of parking separating the building frontage along the corridor is a preferred option.  
Response: It is anticipated that some buildings will be located in close proximity to the road, with parking beside and/or behind these buildings. |  |
| **8.** If the site is located at a street intersection, the main building or main part of the building should be placed at the corner. Parking, loading or service should not be located at an intersection.  
Response: Although the site is located at the corner, there is a wide power line easement and existing power line facilities that makes locating a building near the intersection difficult. |  |
| **9.** To ensure that urban open space is well-used, it is essential to locate and design it carefully. The space should be located where it is visible and easily accessible from public areas (building entrances, sidewalks). Take views and sun exposure into account as well.  
Response: Outdoor amenity areas will be provided in accordance with the UDO, consistent with this guideline. |  |
| **10.** New urban spaces should contain direct access from the adjacent streets. They should be open along the adjacent sidewalks and allow for multiple points of entry. They should also be visually permeable from the sidewalk, allowing passersby to see directly into the space.  
Response: Outdoor amenity areas will be provided in accordance with the UDO, consistent with this guideline. |  |
| **11.** The perimeter of urban open spaces should consist of active uses that provide pedestrian traffic for the space including retail, cafes, and restaurants and higher-density residential.  
Response: Outdoor amenity areas will be provided in accordance with the UDO, consistent with this guideline. |  |
| **12.** A properly defined urban open space is visually enclosed by the fronting of buildings to create an outdoor "room" that is comfortable to users.  
Response: Outdoor amenity areas will be provided in accordance with the UDO, consistent with this guideline. |  |
| **13.** New public spaces should provide seating opportunities.  
Response: Outdoor amenity areas will be provided in accordance with the UDO, consistent with this guideline. |  |
| **14.** Parking lots should not dominate the frontage of pedestrian-oriented streets, interrupt pedestrian routes, or negatively impact surrounding developments.  
Response: It is anticipated that some buildings will be located in close proximity to the road, with parking beside and/or behind these buildings. |  |
<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 15. | Parking lots should be located behind or in the interior of a block whenever possible. Parking lots should not occupy more than 1/3 of the frontage of the adjacent building or not more than 64 feet, whichever is less.  
Response: It is anticipated that some buildings will be located in close proximity to the road, with parking beside and/or behind these buildings. |
| 16. | Parking structures are clearly an important and necessary element of the overall urban infrastructure but, given their utilitarian elements, can give serious negative visual effects. New structures should merit the same level of materials and finishes as that a principal building would, care in the use of basic design elements can make a significant improvement.  
Response: No parking structures are contemplated as part of this development. |
| 17. | Higher building densities and more intensive land uses should be within walking distance of transit stops, permitting public transit to become a viable alternative to the automobile.  
Response: The proposed development is within walking distance to the transit stops within the Alexander Promenade Shopping Center development for the CAT Route 79X/Brier Creek Express service. |
| 18. | Convenient, comfortable pedestrian access between the transit stop and the building entrance should be planned as part of the overall pedestrian network.  
Response: Sidewalks will be provided from the buildings to the sidewalk within the right-of-way, which will connect with other pedestrian connections to the transit stops, consistent with this guideline. |
| 19. | All development should respect natural resources as an essential component of the human environment. The most sensitive landscape areas, both environmentally and visually, are steep slopes greater than 16 percent, watercourses, and floodplains. Any development in these areas should minimize intervention and maintain the natural condition except under extreme circumstances. Where practical, these features should be conserved as open space amenities and incorporated in the overall site design.  
Response: Environmentally sensitive areas on the property will be protected in accordance with the UDO, consistent with this guideline. |
| 20. | It is the intent of these guidelines to build streets that are integral components of community design. Public and private streets, as well as commercial driveways that serve as primary pedestrian pathways to building entrances, should be designed as the main public spaces of the City and should be scaled for pedestrians.  
Response: No new public streets are contemplated as part of this development. |
| 21. | Sidewalks should be 5-8 feet wide in residential areas and located on both sides of the street. Sidewalks in commercial areas and Pedestrian Business Overlays should be a minimum of 14-18 feet wide to accommodate sidewalk uses such as vendors, merchandising and outdoor seating.  
Response: Sidewalks will be provided in accordance with the UDO and in context with the existing sidewalk network. |
| 22. | Streets should be designed with street trees planted in a manner appropriate to their function. Commercial streets should have trees which complement the face of the buildings and which shade the sidewalk. Residential streets should provide for an appropriate canopy, which shadows both the street and sidewalk, and serves as a visual buffer between the street and the home. The typical width of the street landscape strip is 6-8 feet. This width ensures healthy street trees, precludes tree roots from breaking the sidewalk, and provides adequate pedestrian bufferings. Street trees should be at least 6 1/4" caliper and should be consistent with the City's landscaping, lighting and street sight distance requirements.  
Response: Street trees will be provided in accordance with the UDO, consistent with this guideline. |
| 23. | Buildings should define the streets spatially. Proper spatial definition should be achieved with buildings or other architectural elements (including certain tree plantings) that make up the street edges aligned in a disciplined manner with an appropriate ratio of height to width.  
Response: It is anticipated that buildings will be located in close proximity to the road, which along with street trees will help spatially define the street. |
| 24. | The primary entrance should be both architecturally and functionally on the front facade of any building facing the primary public street. Such entrances shall be designed to convey their prominence on the fronting facade.  
Response: It is anticipated that buildings will be located in close proximity to the road, but the location of entrances will be determined at site plan. |
| 25. | The ground level of the building should offer pedestrian interest along sidewalks. This includes windows entrances, and architectural details. Signage, awnings, and ornamentation are encouraged.  
Response: The building types permitted in a mixed use district require a minimum amount of transparency that will provide pedestrian interest consistent with this guideline. |
| 26. | The sidewalks should be the principal place of pedestrian movement and casual social interaction. Designs and uses should be complementary to that function.  
Response: Sidewalks will be provided consistent with the UDO and in context to the sidewalk existing to the immediate east. |
Pursuant to applicable provisions of the Unified Development Ordinance, a meeting was held with respect to a potential rezoning with adjacent property owners on Tuesday, October 28, 2014, at 6:00 p.m. The property considered for rezoning totals approximately 8.76 acres, and has the address of 7850 Brier Creek Parkway, with Wake County Parcel Identification Number 0768-48-8348. This meeting was held at the office of Morningstar Law Group, located at 630 Davis Drive, Suite 200, Morrisville, NC 27560. All owners of property within 100 feet of the subject property were invited to attend the meeting. Attached hereto as Exhibit A is a copy of the neighborhood meeting notice. A copy of the required mailing list for the meeting invitations is attached hereto as Exhibit B. A summary of the items discussed at the meeting is attached hereto as Exhibit C. Attached hereto as Exhibit D is a list of individuals who attended the meeting.
EXHIBIT A

NEIGHBORHOOD MEETING NOTICE

Michael Birch | Attorney
630 Davis Drive, Suite 200
Morrisville, NC 27560
919-590-0388
mbirch@morningstarlawgroup.com
www.morningstarlawgroup.com

To: Neighboring Property Owner
From: Michael Birch
Date: October 13, 2014
Re: Notice of meeting to discuss potential rezoning of property located in northwest corner of the intersection of Brier Creek Parkway and Skyland Ridge Road, containing approximately 8.76 acres, with the address of 7850 Brier Creek Parkway, and having Wake County Parcel Identification Number 0768-48-8348 (the “Property”).

We are counsel for Davis Moore Capital, LLC (“DMC”), a developer that is considering rezoning the above-captioned Property. The Property is currently split-zoned Shopping Center Conditional Use and Thoroughfare District Conditional Use with a Planned Development Conditional Use Overlay District. The proposed zoning district is Commercial Mixed Use (CX) Conditional Use.

You are cordially invited to attend a meeting to discuss the potential rezoning. We have scheduled a meeting with surrounding property owners on Tuesday, October 28, 2014 at 6:00 p.m. This meeting will be held at our office, located at 630 Davis Drive, Suite 200, Morrisville, NC 27560.

This meeting is required by the City of Raleigh and is intended to afford neighbors an opportunity to ask questions about the potential rezoning and for the owners to obtain suggestions and comments you may have about it. You are not required to attend, but are certainly welcome. After the meeting, we will prepare a report for the Raleigh Planning Department regarding the items discussed at the meeting.

Please do not hesitate to contact me directly should you have any questions or wish to discuss any issues. I can be reached at (919) 590-0388 or mbirch@morningstarlawgroup.com.
EXHIBIT B

LIST OF PROPERTY OWNERS TO WHOM NOTICES WERE SENT

BANK OF HAMPTON ROADS THE
641 LYNNHAVEN PKWY
VIRGINIA BEACH VA 23452-7307
0768583613

BRIER CREEK LP
1900 AVENUE OF THE STARS STE 2400
LOS ANGELES CA 90067-4505
0768478715

DUKE REALTY BRIER CREEK DEVELOPMENT LLC
ATTN: REGIONAL VP
PO BOX 40509
INDIANAPOLIS IN 46240-0509
0768481917

GHTD BRIER CREEK LLC
4500 CAMERON VALLEY PKWY STE 350
CHARLOTTE NC 28211-3553
0768488788

GOODWILL COMMUNITY FOUNDATION INC
DAN HAWLEY
4808 CHIN PAGE RD
DURHAM NC 27703-8476
0768476854

PNC OF NORTH CAROLINA LLC EMGEE LLC
10765 TREGO TRL
RALEIGH NC 27614-9660
0768480312

SLF RUBY JONES LLC
RYAN LLC
PO BOX 56607
ATLANTA GA 30343-0607
0768488348

AKE COUNTY BOARD OF ALCOHOLIC CONTROL
1212 WICKER DR
RALEIGH NC 27604-1428
0768581750

AKEMED PROPERTY SERVICES
3000 NEW BERN AVE
RALEIGH NC 27610-1231
0768481917
EXHIBIT C

SUMMARY OF DISCUSSION ITEMS

On Tuesday, October 28, 2014, at 6:00 p.m., the applicant held a neighborhood meeting for the property owners adjacent to the parcels subject to the proposed rezoning. No nearby property owners attended the meeting, so no items were discussed.
EXHIBIT D

NEIGHBORHOOD MEETING ATTENDEES

1. No attendees.