
Existing Zoning



Z-87-2021

40

Map by Raleigh Department of Planning and Development (mansolfj): 12/6/2021

DX-40-SH-CU

Requested

Zoning

Rezoning Application and Checklist



Planning and Development Customer Service Center • One Exchange Plaza, Suite 400 | Raleigh, NC 27601 | 919-996-2500

Please complete all sections of the form and upload via the Permit and Development Portal (permitportal.raleighnc.gov). Please see page 18 for information about who may submit a rezoning application. A rezoning application will not be considered complete until all required submittal components listed on the Rezoning Checklist have been received and approved. For questions email <u>rezoning@raleighnc.gov</u>.

		1	Rez	oning Reques	st		
Rezoning	General	I Use X Conditional Use Master Plan		Office Use Only Rezoning case #			
Туре	Text cha	change to zoning conditions					
Existing zoning base district: DX Height: 7 Frontage:			ntage: SH	Overlay(s):			
Proposed zoning base district: DX Height: 40 Frontage: SH Overlay(s):							
Helpful Tip: View the Zoning Map to search for the address to be rezoned, then turn on the 'Zoning' and 'Overlay' layers.							
If the property has bee	n previously rezone	ed, prov	vide	the rezoning ca	ase i	number:	

	General	Information			
Date:	Date amended (1):	Date amended (2):			
Property address: See Table A					
Property PIN: See Table A					
Deed reference (book/page): See Table A					
Nearest intersection: See Attachment A		Property size (acres): See Table A			
For planned development	Total units:	Total square footage:			
applications only	Total parcels:	Total buildings:			
Property owner name and address: See Table A					
Property owner email: mstuart@morningstarlawgroup.com					
Property owner phone: 919-890-3318					
Applicant name and address: Lanewood 3 LLC, 509 W North Street, Raleigh, NC 27603					
Applicant email: mstuart@morningstarlawgroup.com					
Applicant phone: 919-890-3318					
Applicant signature(s):	ng I lan	for LANEWOOD3LLC			
Additional email(s):					

REVISION 07.20.21

<u>Table A</u>

Property List

PIN	Address	Current	Proposed	Deed	Nearest	Acreage	Owner Name/Address/Email/Phone
		Zoning	Zoning	Page/Book	Intersection		
1704406546	300 Glenwood	DX-7-SH	DX-40-SH	14372/1503	W Lane St &	0.12	LANEWOOD 3 LLC, 509 W North St, Raleigh,
	Ave				Glenwood		NC 27603
					Ave		
1704406652	304 Glenwood	DX-7-SH	DX-40-SH	15779/2254	W North St &	0.09	LANEWOOD 3 LLC, 509 W North St, Raleigh,
	Ave				Glenwood		NC 27603
					Ave		
1704406657	306 Glenwood	DX-7-SH	DX-40-SH	16222/690	W North St &	0.09	LANEWOOD 3 LLC, 509 W North St, Raleigh,
	Ave				Glenwood		NC 27603
					Ave		
1704407684	509 W North	DX-7-SH	DX-40-SH	14364/1954	W North St &	0.73	NORTHLANE LLC, 509 W North St, Raleigh,
	Street				W Lane St		NC 27603
1704407730	517 W North	DX-7-SH	DX-40-SH	14420/1382	W North St &	0.05	NORTHLANE2 LLC, 509 W North St, Raleigh,
	Street				Glenwood		NC 27603
					Ave		
1704407700	519 W North	DX-7-SH	DX-40-SH	8436/2547	W North St &	0.06	ASHE AVENUE VENTURES LLC, C/O
	Street				Glenwood		WINIFRED BOLTON, 1500 DELLWOOD DR,
					Ave		RALEIGH NC 27607-6719

	Conditional Use District Zoning Condition	ns
Zoning case #:	Date submitted:	Office Use Only Rezoning case #
Existing zoning: DX-7-SH	Proposed zoning: DX-40-SH	

Narrative of Zoning Conditions Offered

The following uses shall be prohibited on the property; Dormitory, fraternity, sorority; pawnshop; detention center, jail, prison; self-service storage; vehicle repair; vehicle fuel sales; and adult establishment.

The property owner(s) hereby offers, consents to, and agrees to abide, if the rezoning request is approved, the conditions written above. All property owners must sign each condition page. This page may be photocopied if additional space is needed.

Property Owner(s)	Signature:	me 1	In	For	LONEWIG	2766
	Charles		Long	, 		
			1			

Page 2 of 11

REVISION 07.20.21

C	conditional Use District Zoning Condition	ns
Zoning case #:	Date submitted:	Office Use Only Rezoning case #
Existing zoning: DX-7-SH	Proposed zoning: DX-40-SH	

Narrative of Zoning Conditions Offered

The following uses shall be prohibited on the property; Dormitory, fraternity, sorority; pawnshop; detention center, jail, prison; self-service storage; vehicle repair; vehicle fuel sales; and adult establishment.

The property owner(s) hereby offers, consents to, and agrees to abide, if the rezoning request is approved, the conditions written above. All property owners must sign each condition page. This page may be photocopied if additional space is needed.

Property Owner(s) Signature: <u>Lima / on For Northlows L</u>LC Printed Name(s): <u>Charles L Lowg</u>

Page **2** of **11**

REVISION 07.20.21

	Conditional Use District Zoning Condition	IS
Zoning case #:	Date submitted:	Office Use Only Rezoning case #
Existing zoning: DX-7-SH	Proposed zoning: DX-40-SH	Rezoning case #

Narrative of Zoning Conditions Offered

The following uses shall be prohibited on the property; Dormitory, fraternity, sorority; pawnshop; detention center, jail, prison; self-service storage; vehicle repair; vehicle fuel sales; and adult establishment.

The property owner(s) hereby offers, consents to, and agrees to abide, if the rezoning request is approved, the conditions written above. All property owners must sign each condition page. This page may be photocopied if additional space is needed.

Ashe Ventures LLC by.
Property Owner(s) Signature: Winifred W. Bolton MGR.
Printed Name(s): Winifred W. Bolton

Page 2 of 11

REVISION 07.20.21

Cor	nditional Use District Zoning Conditi	ions
Zoning case #:	Date submitted:	Office Use Only Rezoning case #
Existing zoning: DX-7-SH	Proposed zoning: DX-40-SH	

Narrative of Zoning Conditions Offered

The following uses shall be prohibited on the property; Dormitory, fraternity, sorority; pawnshop; detention center, jail, prison; self-service storage; vehicle repair; vehicle fuel sales; and adult establishment.

The property owner(s) hereby offers, consents to, and agrees to abide, if the rezoning request is approved, the conditions written above. All property owners must sign each condition page. This page may be photocopied if additional space is needed. Churl R. Rosa

Property Owner(s) Signature:

Printed Name(s): Charles L. Long for Northlane2 LLC

Page **2** of **11**



Rezoning case a respective of the rezoning request and its consistency with the Comprehensive Plan. The applicant is also asked to acyptain how the rezoning request is reasonable and in the public interest. Statement of Consistency Provide brief statements regarding whether the rezoning request is consistent with the future land use desi he urban form map, and any applicable policies contained within the 2030 Comprehensive Plan. The proposed rezoning is consistent with the Future Land Use Map designation for the properties of Central Musiness District (CBD). The CBD category is intended to enhance Downtown Raleigh as a vibrant mixed use urban enter. CBD recognizes Downtown as the "heart of the city" with high-intensity office, retail, housing, institutional, ultural and visitor-serving uses. DX is the primary district for the CBD. The properties are within the Urban Form Map, which supports the proposed urban frontage. Consequently, the ezoning will enhance the streets in this area, providing amenities for pedestrians and visitors. The proposed rezoning neets a number of Urban Design policies, including Policy UD 2.1 (Building Orientation), Policy UD 2.3 (Activating the three of the and DF-4. The proposed height of 40 stories is consistent with policies contained in Table LU-2 as a core/transit area within the the proposed height of 40 stories is consistent with policies, including Policy ED 2.4 (Attracting Investment to leighborhoods). Policy DT 4.1 (Encouraging Downtown Housing) given the location of the site, Policy 4.7 (Capitalizing or cocess) and Policy 4.8 (Station Area Land Uses). Public Benefits	the rezoning request and its applicant is also asked to and in the public interest. Rezoning case # attement of Consistency
The applicant is asked to analyze the impact of the rezoning request and its consistency with the Comprehensive Plan. The applicant is also asked to explain how the rezoning request is reasonable and in the public interest. Statement of Consistency Provide brief statements regarding whether the rezoning request is consistent with the future land use desite urban form map, and any applicable policies contained within the 2030 Comprehensive Plan. The proposed rezoning is consistent with the Future Land Use Map designation for the properties of Central Useiness District (CBD). The CBD category is intended to enhance Downtown Raleigh as a vibrant mixed use urban enter. CBD recognizes Downtown as the "heart of the city" with high-intensity office, retail, housing, institutional, ultural and visitor-serving uses. DX is the primary district for the CBD. The properties are within the Urban Form Map, which supports the proposed urban frontage. Consequently, the ezoning will enhance the streets in this area, providing amenities for pedestrians and visitors. The proposed rezoning threet of Urban Design policies, including Policy UD 2.1 (Building Orientation), Policy UD 2.3 (Activating the tireet) and Policy UD 3.4 (Enhanced Streetwalls). In particular, Hargett Street and Martin Street are priority pedestrian ind green streets per Map DT-4. The proposed rezoning would facilitate the redevelopment of underutilized sites in downtown (Policy DT 1.3). mportantly, it meets several economic development and other policies, including Policy ED 2.4 (Attracting Investment to leighborhoods), Policy DT 4.1 (Encouraging Downtown Housing) given the location of the site, Policy 4.7 (Capitalizing circes) and Policy 4.8 (Station Area Land Uses).	The rezoning request and its applicant is also asked to and in the public interest. Tetement of Consistency rezoning request is consistent with the future land use designation, a contained within the 2030 Comprehensive Plan. Tezoning request is consistent with the properties of Central d to enhance Downtown Raleigh as a vibrant mixed use urban e city" with high-intensity office, retail, housing, institutional, trict for the CBD. Ch supports the proposed urban frontage. Consequently, the g amenities for pedestrians and visitors. The proposed rezoning olicy UD 2.1 (Building Orientation), Policy UD 2.3 (Activating the barticular, Hargett Street and Martin Street are priority pedestrian policies contained in Table LU-2 as a core/transit area within the Urban Form Map UD-1 and consequently, the proposed height is popment of underutilized sites in downtown (Policy DT 1.3). nd other policies, including Policy ED 2.4 (Attracting Investment to Emerging with Housing) given the location of the site, Policy 4.7 (Capitalizing on Transi Public Benefits coning request is reasonable and in the public interest.
Provide brief statements regarding whether the rezoning request is consistent with the future land use desine the urban form map, and any applicable policies contained within the 2030 Comprehensive Plan. The proposed rezoning is consistent with the Future Land Use Map designation for the properties of Central Business District (CBD). The CBD category is intended to enhance Downtown Raleigh as a vibrant mixed use urban enter. CBD recognizes Downtown as the "heart of the city" with high-intensity office, retail, housing, institutional, ultural and visitor-serving uses. DX is the primary district for the CBD. The properties are within the Urban Form Map, which supports the proposed urban frontage. Consequently, the ezoning will enhance the streets in this area, providing amenities for pedestrians and visitors. The proposed rezoning neets a number of Urban Design policies, including Policy UD 2.1 (Building Orientation), Policy UD 2.3 (Activating the Batteret) and Policy UD 3.4 (Enhanced Streetwalls). In particular, Hargett Street and Martin Street are priority pedestrian and green streets per Map DT-4. The proposed height of 40 stories is consistent with policies contained in Table LU-2 as a core/transit area within the Central Business District. The site is in the core of the Urban Form Map UD-1 and consequently, the proposed height is ppropriate. The proposed rezoning would facilitate the redevelopment of underutilized sites in downtown (Policy DT 1.3). mportantly, it meets several economic development and other policies, including Policy ED 2.4 (Attracting Investment to leighborhoods). Policy DT 4.1 (Encouraging Downtown Housing) given the location of the site, Policy 4.7 (Capitalizing of Access) and Policy 4.8 (Station Area Land Uses). Public Benefits	rezoning request is consistent with the future land use designation, s contained within the 2030 Comprehensive Plan. a Land Use Map designation for the properties of Central d to enhance Downtown Raleigh as a vibrant mixed use urban e city" with high-intensity office, retail, housing, institutional, trict for the CBD. ch supports the proposed urban frontage. Consequently, the g amenities for pedestrians and visitors. The proposed rezoning olicy UD 2.1 (Building Orientation), Policy UD 2.3 (Activating the boarticular, Hargett Street and Martin Street are priority pedestrian policies contained in Table LU-2 as a core/transit area within the Urban Form Map UD-1 and consequently, the proposed height is opment of underutilized sites in downtown (Policy DT 1.3). nd other policies, including Policy ED 2.4 (Attracting Investment to Emerging win Housing) given the location of the site, Policy 4.7 (Capitalizing on Transi Public Benefits coning request is reasonable and in the public interest.
 Business District (CBD). The CBD category is intended to enhance Downtown Raleigh as a vibrant mixed use urban center. CBD recognizes Downtown as the "heart of the city" with high-intensity office, retail, housing, institutional, cultural and visitor-serving uses. DX is the primary district for the CBD. 2. The properties are within the Urban Form Map, which supports the proposed urban frontage. Consequently, the ezoning will enhance the streets in this area, providing amenities for pedestrians and visitors. The proposed rezoning neets a number of Urban Design policies, including Policy UD 2.1 (Building Orientation), Policy UD 2.3 (Activating the Street) and Policy UD 3.4 (Enhanced Streetwalls). In particular, Hargett Street and Martin Street are priority pedestrian and green streets per Map DT-4. 3. The proposed height of 40 stories is consistent with policies contained in Table LU-2 as a core/transit area within the Central Business District. The site is in the core of the Urban Form Map UD-1 and consequently, the proposed height is appropriate. 4. The proposed rezoning would facilitate the redevelopment of underutilized sites in downtown (Policy DT 1.3). mportantly, it meets several economic development and other policies, including Policy ED 2.4 (Attracting Investment to Neighborhoods), Policy DT 4.1 (Encouraging Downtown Housing) given the location of the site, Policy 4.7 (Capitalizing caccess) and Policy 4.8 (Station Area Land Uses). 	a contained within the 2030 Comprehensive Plan. a Land Use Map designation for the properties of Central d to enhance Downtown Raleigh as a vibrant mixed use urban a city" with high-intensity office, retail, housing, institutional, trict for the CBD. ch supports the proposed urban frontage. Consequently, the g amenities for pedestrians and visitors. The proposed rezoning olicy UD 2.1 (Building Orientation), Policy UD 2.3 (Activating the particular, Hargett Street and Martin Street are priority pedestrian policies contained in Table LU-2 as a core/transit area within the Urban Form Map UD-1 and consequently, the proposed height is pomment of underutilized sites in downtown (Policy DT 1.3). Ind other policies, including Policy ED 2.4 (Attracting Investment to Emerging win Housing) given the location of the site, Policy 4.7 (Capitalizing on Transi Public Benefits coning request is reasonable and in the public interest. Dic interest because it provides greater zoning
 neets a number of Urban Design policies, including Policy UD 2.1 (Building Orientation), Policy UD 2.3 (Activating the Street) and Policy UD 3.4 (Enhanced Streetwalls). In particular, Hargett Street and Martin Street are priority pedestrian and green streets per Map DT-4. 8. The proposed height of 40 stories is consistent with policies contained in Table LU-2 as a core/transit area within the Central Business District. The site is in the core of the Urban Form Map UD-1 and consequently, the proposed height is appropriate. 4. The proposed rezoning would facilitate the redevelopment of underutilized sites in downtown (Policy DT 1.3). mportantly, it meets several economic development and other policies, including Policy ED 2.4 (Attracting Investment to Neighborhoods), Policy DT 4.1 (Encouraging Downtown Housing) given the location of the site, Policy 4.7 (Capitalizing cAccess) and Policy 4.8 (Station Area Land Uses). 	d to enhance Downtown Raleigh as a vibrant mixed use urban e city" with high-intensity office, retail, housing, institutional, trict for the CBD. ch supports the proposed urban frontage. Consequently, the g amenities for pedestrians and visitors. The proposed rezoning olicy UD 2.1 (Building Orientation), Policy UD 2.3 (Activating the barticular, Hargett Street and Martin Street are priority pedestrian policies contained in Table LU-2 as a core/transit area within the Urban Form Map UD-1 and consequently, the proposed height is opment of underutilized sites in downtown (Policy DT 1.3). Ind other policies, including Policy ED 2.4 (Attracting Investment to Emerging win Housing) given the location of the site, Policy 4.7 (Capitalizing on Transi Public Benefits coning request is reasonable and in the public interest.
 rezoning will enhance the streets in this area, providing amenities for pedestrians and visitors. The proposed rezoning meets a number of Urban Design policies, including Policy UD 2.1 (Building Orientation), Policy UD 2.3 (Activating the Street) and Policy UD 3.4 (Enhanced Streetwalls). In particular, Hargett Street and Martin Street are priority pedestrian and green streets per Map DT-4. 3. The proposed height of 40 stories is consistent with policies contained in Table LU-2 as a core/transit area within the Central Business District. The site is in the core of the Urban Form Map UD-1 and consequently, the proposed height is appropriate. 4. The proposed rezoning would facilitate the redevelopment of underutilized sites in downtown (Policy DT 1.3). mportantly, it meets several economic development and other policies, including Policy ED 2.4 (Attracting Investment to Neighborhoods), Policy DT 4.1 (Encouraging Downtown Housing) given the location of the site, Policy 4.7 (Capitalizing cAccess) and Policy 4.8 (Station Area Land Uses). 	g amenities for pedestrians and visitors. The proposed rezoning olicy UD 2.1 (Building Orientation), Policy UD 2.3 (Activating the barticular, Hargett Street and Martin Street are priority pedestrian policies contained in Table LU-2 as a core/transit area within the Urban Form Map UD-1 and consequently, the proposed height is opment of underutilized sites in downtown (Policy DT 1.3). nd other policies, including Policy ED 2.4 (Attracting Investment to Emerging win Housing) given the location of the site, Policy 4.7 (Capitalizing on Transi Public Benefits coning request is reasonable and in the public interest.
mportantly, it meets several economic development and other policies, including Policy ED 2.4 (Attracting Investment to Neighborhoods), Policy DT 4.1 (Encouraging Downtown Housing) given the location of the site, Policy 4.7 (Capitalizing cAccess) and Policy 4.8 (Station Area Land Uses). Public Benefits	Urban Form Map UD-1 and consequently, the proposed height is opment of underutilized sites in downtown (Policy DT 1.3). Ind other policies, including Policy ED 2.4 (Attracting Investment to Emergins with Housing) given the location of the site, Policy 4.7 (Capitalizing on Transi Public Benefits coning request is reasonable and in the public interest.
	And other policies, including Policy ED 2.4 (Attracting Investment to Emerging wn Housing) given the location of the site, Policy 4.7 (Capitalizing on Transi Public Benefits coning request is reasonable and in the public interest. Dic interest because it provides greater zoning
	coning request is reasonable and in the public interest.
Dravide brief statements evaluating how the recenting request is reasonable and in the public interest	blic interest because it provides greater zoning
Provide prier statements explaining now the reconning request is reasonable and in the public interest.	blic interest because it provides greater zoning
The rezoning is reasonable and in the public interest because it provides greater zoning flexibility for a site that is ripe for development given its current underutilization and location. The rezoning is reasonable and in the public interest given the strategic importance of this site walking distance to the proposed rail and bus rapid transit stations.	o i

REVISION 07.20.21

Rezoning Application Addendum #2	2
Impact on Historic Resources	
The applicant is asked to analyze the impact of the rezoning request on historic resources. For the purposes of this section, a historic resource is defined as any site, structure, sign, or other feature of the property to be rezoned that is listed in the National Register of Historic Places or designated by the City of Raleigh as a landmark or contributing to a Historic Overlay District.	Office Use Only Rezoning case #
Inventory of Historic Resources	
List in the space below all historic resources located on the property to be rezo the proposed zoning would impact the resource.	ned. For each resource, indicate how
N/A	
Public Mitigation	
Provide brief statements describing actions that will be taken to mitigate all neg	ative impacts listed above.
N/A	

Design Guidelines

The Applicant must respond to the **Urban Design Guidelines** contained in the 2030 Comprehensive Plan if:

- a) The property to be rezoned is within a "City Growth Center", "Mixed-Use Center", or "Transit Station Areas", OR;
- b) The property to be rezoned is located along a "Main Street" or "Transit Emphasis Corridor" as shown on the Urban Form Map in the 2030 Comprehensive Plan.

Policy UD 7.3:

The Design Guidelines in Table UD-1 shall be used to review rezoning petitions and development applications for mixed-use developments; or rezoning petitions and development applications along Main Street and Transit Emphasis Corridors or in City Growth, TOD and Mixed-Use Centers, including preliminary site plans and development plans, petitions for the application of Downtown Overlay Districts, Planned Development Districts, and Conditional Use zoning petitions.

The Applicant must respond to the **Downtown Urban Design Guidelines** contained in the 2030 Comprehensive Plan if:

a) The property to be rezoned is within "Downtown" as shown on the Urban Form Map in the 2030 Comprehensive Plan.

Policy DT 7.18:

The design guidelines in Table DT-1 shall be used to review rezoning, alternative means of compliance, special use permits, and planned development master plan applications in downtown.

Please click here to download the Design Guidelines Addendum if required.

Urban Form Designation: Downtown

Click <u>here</u> to view the Urban Form map.

Please continue to the next page for the Rezoning Checklist Submittal Requirement.

REVISION 07.20.21

	Downtown Urban Design Guidelines
The A	 Applicant must respond to the Downtown Urban Design Guidelines contained in the 2030 Comprehensive Plan if: a) The property to be rezoned is within "Downtown" as shown on the Urban Form Map in the 2030 Comprehensive Plan.
The d	y DT 7.18 : design guidelines in Table DT-1 shall be used to review rezoning, alternative means of compliance, special use its, and planned development master plan applications in downtown.
Click	here to view the Urban Form map
	Fayetteville Street should be free of service elements, including loading docks, mechanical equipment, and driveways.
1	Response: _{N/A}
2	Loading or service entrances should be embedded within the block where possible. If embedding the loading dock is not possible, the loading dock should be located to the side or rear of a building. The width should be minimized and doors or gates should shield the loading docks from view. Roll-down gates should be decorative if facing the public realm.
	Response: Based on the proposed Shopfront Frontage, loading or service entrances will be minimized.
	Surface and structured parking should be landscaped, emphasizing interior tree canopies in surface lots, formal borders, and street trees to reinforce the streetwall.
3	Response: Based on the proposed Shopfront Frontage, there shall be no surface parking between any building and the street.
4	Mechanical equipment, satellite or microwave dishes, elevator penthouses, and other utilitarian equipment should be screened from view by a structure that complements the design of the building through the use of similar materials, colors, finishes, and architectural details. Views from buildings above should also be considered when designing rooftop mechanical equipment.
	Response: The owner's intent is to design the project so rooftop utilities do not detract from the views of the development.
5	The widths of all curb cuts at parking deck entrances should be minimized. Design techniques should be used (such as lane splits within the deck to encourage consolidated single exit or entrance lanes at the street side, and/or columns between lanes to reduce the perceived size of the openings), while maintaining adequate ingress and egress capacity to provide efficient operations and meet air quality conformity.
	Response: Based on the Shopfront Frontage, curb cuts shall be minimized.
	Building entries should be emphasized with architectural features, changes in roofline, different massing, or unique materials.
6	Response: Based on the Shopfront Frontage, buildings shall have street facing entrances. The owner intends to emphasize these elements.

	The primary pedestrian building entrances should be located along the store front. For buildings that front on three streets, the primary pedestrian entrances should be located on the axial street or the corner if the building is located at an intersection.
7	Response:
	Based on the Shopfront Frontage, the buildings shall have street facing entrances.
8	Building entries should be at grade.
	Response:
	Based on the Shopfront Frontage, building entries shall be at grade.
	The level of architectural detail should be most intense at street level, within view of pedestrians on the sidewalk.
9	Response:
	The owner intends to emphasize the street level architectural details.
	The use of solid roll-down security gates is discouraged.
10	Response:
	There are no plans for solid roll-down security gates.
	Façades should be broken into distinct 20-30 foot modules or bays from side to side to prevent a monolithic edge to the street.
11	Response:
	Based on UDO building type requirements, facades shall be broken up and blank walls avoided.
	Large unarticulated walls are discouraged and should have a window or functional public access at least every 10 feet.
12	Response:
	Based on the UDO's, the building type requirements and UDO standards for tall buildings, the buildings shall have sufficient transparency and articulation.
	The articulation of the façade should be designed to appear more vertical than horizontal.
13	Response:
	Through the UDO standards for tall buildings, the buildings shall address vertical design.
14	Entries that provide access to a building's upper floors should be located along a street to promote street life. They should be designed as separate entries and distinguished from ground level spaces with different architectural details, materials, colors, lighting, signage, and/or paving so that it is clear which entries are public and which are private.
	Response:
	Based on the Shopfront Frontage, buildings shall provide pedestrian accessible street facing entrances.
45	Recessed entries are encouraged. They should be no wider than one-third of the width of the storefront or 20 feet, whichever is less. Recessed entries should be a minimum of 4 feet deep, except where necessary to meet fire code.
15	Response:
	It is anticipated that entrances for tall commercial buildings will be recessed.
	1

	A minimum of 2/3 of the first story façade should be windows. Of the total amount of glass on the first-floor façade, a minimum of 85 percent must be transparent. Tinted or reflective glass is discouraged. First-story windows should be located a maximum of three (3) feet above the adjacent sidewalk.				
16	Response:				
	Based on UDO building type requirements, buildings shall have substantial transparency.				
	Windows should be used to display products and services and maximize visibility into storefronts. Windows should not be obscured with elements that prevent pedestrians from seeing inside.				
17	Response:				
	Based on the UDO building type standards and proposed Shopfront Frontage, windows will be used to display products and services.				
	The first-story, floor-to-floor height of any new building on Fayetteville Street should be a minimum of twenty (20) feet.				
18	Response:				
	Based on UDO building type standards, first story, floor-to-floor height will be substantial.				
	If ceilings must be lowered below the height of ground level windows, provide an interior, full-height, three (3) foot minimum deep space immediately adjacent to the window before the drop in the ceiling.				
19	Response:				
	The owner has no intent to have ceilings below ground level height.				
	The use of deep awnings and canopies on the first story is recommended to help mitigate wind, reduce glare, and shade ground level spaces.				
20	Response:				
	The owner intends to use deep awnings and canopies on the first story.				
	Arcades, colonnades, and galleries are discouraged within the public right-of-way.				
21	Response:				
	The owner has no intent to have arcades, colonnades, or galleries within the public right-of-way.				
	Stairs and stoops in the public right-of-way are discouraged along Fayetteville Street in order to make entries more accessible.				
22	Response:				
	The owner does not intend to have stairs and stoops in the public right-of-way.				
23	An outdoor ground plane that abuts or is adjacent to the public right-of-way should be paved with terrazzo, concrete pavers, concrete, stone, brick, tile, or another high-quality hardscape material. Asphalt and loose paving materials such as gravel are discouraged. The paving design and materials should complement the building or storefront architecture.				
	Response:				
	The owner intends to have outdoor ground plane of high-quality material that does not include asphalt or loose materials.				

24	In larger courtyard style spaces visible from the public right-of-way, use groundcovers, shrubs, and flowers to accent and fill blank areas with interest. Minimize the use of bare mulch and rocks. Areas of bare earth are discouraged.					
	Response:					
	The owner intends to have courtyard spaces with groundcovers, shrubs, etc and avoid bare earth, bare mulch and rocks.					
	Walls of buildings should parallel the orientation of the street grid.					
25	Response:					
	The owner intends for the walls of buildings to be parallel to the orientation of the street grid.					
	Towers or high-rise buildings should have three zones: a streetwall or base zone, a tower transition zone, and a tower top zone. Cornices should be considered to separate base zone from tower transition zone.					
26	Response: The owner intends to have variable vertical articulation.					
	Distance between towers on different blocks should be a minimum of 100 feet to ensure access to light and air.					
27	Response:					
	Based on UDO standards for tall buildings, there shall be adequate spacing for light and air.					
	Public art, performance facilities, and/or civic monuments should be an integral part of any building plan.					
28	Response:					
	The owner will consider public art, performance facilities, and/or civic monuments in the buildings.					
29	Fences, railings, and walls are discouraged except to screen surface parking lots and unimproved lots, to protect pedestrians from grade changes, and to delineate a private courtyard. Fences are preferred over walls except where designed to hold grade.					
29	Response:					
	The owner does not intend to have fences, railings, or walls.					
20	Fences should be a minimum of 36 inches and a maximum of 42 inches tall and a minimum of 70 percent open. Railings should be 42 inches tall. Solid walls should be a minimum of 18 inches and a maximum of 32 inches tall.					
30	Response:					
	The owner does not intend to have any fences or solid walls.					
	Fences, railings, and walls should be designed to complement the adjacent architecture through the use of similar materials, colors, finishes, and architectural details.					
31	Response:					
	The owner intends to design any fences, railings, and walls to complement the adjacent architecture.					

	Designs should be contextual to adjacent buildings, including their cornice lines and horizontal banding.
32	Response:
	The owner intends for the design to be contextual to adjacent buildings.
33	Innovative design and unusual lighting of the exterior of the building is important to emphasize the monumentality of government buildings.
	Response: The owner intends to have innovative design.
	The principal building entrance should be easily identified by building features and landscape elements; additional public entrances should be provided at every street face.
34	Response:
	Based on the proposed Shopfront frontage, the building entrances shall be easily identified.
	Building materials should be of stone, brick, or similar durable, high quality materials. Building form, articulation, and materials should respect and be sympathetic to the major governmental and institutional buildings in the area.
35	Response:
	The owner intends to use high quality materials that will respect major buildings in the area.
	Preferred materials (other than glass) include metal, brick, stone, concrete, plaster, and wood trim; discouraged materials include vinyl siding, pressed wood siding, and exterior insulated finishing systems (EIFS).
36	Response:
	The owner intends to use a mixture of one or more of the following: metal, brick, stone, concrete, plaster, and wood trim.
	Materials covering original architectural features of historic or architecturally significant buildings are discouraged.
37	Response:
	The owner does not intend to cover architectural features of historic or architecturally significant buildings.
	A minimum of 35 percent of each upper story should be windows.
38	Response:
	Based on UDO building types, upper stories shall have substantial transparency.
	Building corners that face an intersection should strive for a distinctive form and high level of articulation.
39	Response:
	The owner intends for corners that face an intersection to be distinctive and have high level articulation.
	Buildings may step back further at intersections in order to articulate the corners.
40	Response: The owner intends for buildings to acknowledge the intersections at the corners.

41	Buildings downtown and in Pedestrian Business Overlays should have stepbacks and articulated facades to mitigate wind effects and increase light and air. Buildings should step back 10 to 15 feet at the 60-foot point above the ground on a wide street and 15 feet on a narrow street. A wide street is 75 feet in width or more.
	Response:
	Based on UDO standards for tall buildings, stepbacks will be wide enough to mitigate wind and increase light and air.
42	Flat roof buildings should have decorative parapets with elements, such as detailed cornices, corbeling, applied medallions, or other similar architectural treatments.
	Response:
	The owners intend for flat roof buildings to have decorative architectural treatments.
	Signage should be compatible in scale, style, and composition with the building or storefront design as a whole.
43	Response:
	Based on signage requirement in the UDO, the signage shall be compatible with the building or storefront design as a whole.
	Diverse graphic solutions are encouraged to help create the sense of uniqueness and discovery found in an urban, mixed-use environment.
44	Response:
	The owner intends to have diverse graphics, creating a sense of uniqueness and discovery.
	All mechanical and electrical mechanisms should be concealed.
45	Response:
	The owner intends for all mechanical and electrical mechanisms to be concealed.
	Signs should not obscure a building's important architectural features, particularly in the case of historic buildings.
46	Response:
	The owner intends for signs to not obscure the buildings architectural features.
	Signs should be constructed with durable materials and quality manufacturing.
47	Response:
	The owner intends for signs to be constructed of durable materials and quality manufacturing.
	Sign bands above transom and on awnings are preferred signage locations.
48	Response:
	The owner intends to utilize a signage plan in keeping with the high quality of the building design.
	Only the business name, street address, building name, and logo should be on an awning or canopy. The lettering should not exceed 40 percent of the awning area.
49	Response:
	The owner intends to utilize a signage plan in keeping with the high quality of the building design.

	Illuminated signs should avoid the colors red, yellow, and green when adjacent to a signal controlled vehicular intersection.
50	Response: The owner intends for signs to utilize a signage plan in keeping with the high quality of the building design.
	Allowed sign types: channel letter signs, silhouette signs (reverse channel), individualized letter signs, projecting signs, canopy/marquee signs, logo signs, awning signs, and interior window signs.
51	Response: Based on the UDO signage requirements, the buildings will only have allowed sign types.
52	Discouraged sign types: signs constructed of paper, cardboard, styrofoam-type materials, formed plastic, injected molded plastic, or other such materials that do not provide a sense of permanence or quality; signs attached with suction cups or tape; signs constructed of luminous vacuum-formed plastic letters; signs with smoke-emitting components. Changeable copy signs are prohibited.
	Response: The owner intends to utilize a signage plan in keeping with the high quality of the building design.

Rezoning Checklist (Submittal Requirements)							
To be completed by Applicant					To be completed by staff		
General Requirements – General Use or Conditional Use Rezoning:	Yes	N/A	Yes	No	N/A		
1. I have referenced this Rezoning Checklist and by using this as a guide, it will ensure that I receive a complete and thorough first review by the City of Raleigh	\checkmark						
2. Pre-application conference	\checkmark						
3. Neighborhood meeting notice and report	\checkmark						
4. Rezoning application review fee (see <u>Development Fee Guide</u> for rates).	\checkmark						
5. Completed application submitted through Permit and Development Portal	\checkmark						
6. Completed Comprehensive Plan consistency analysis	\checkmark						
7. Completed response to the urban design or downtown design guidelines	\checkmark						
8. Two sets of stamped envelopes addressed to all property owners of area to be rezoned and properties with 500 feet of area to be rezoned	\checkmark						
9. Trip generation study		\checkmark					
10. Traffic impact analysis		\checkmark					
For properties requesting a Conditional Use District:	Yes	N/A	Yes	No	N/A		
11. Completed zoning conditions, signed by property owner(s)	\checkmark						
If applicable, see page 18:	Yes	N/A	Yes	No	N/A		
12. Proof of Power of Attorney or Owner Affidavit	\checkmark						
For properties requesting a Planned Development or Campus District:	Yes	N/A	Yes	No	N/A		
13. Master plan (see Master Plan submittal requirements)							
For properties requesting a text change to zoning conditions: Yes N/A				No	N/A		
14. Redline copy of zoning conditions with proposed changes							
15. Proposed conditions signed by property owner(s)		\checkmark					

Please continue to the next page for the Master Plan Submittal Requirements checklist.

REVISION 07.20.21

Master Plan (Submittal Requirements)						
To be completed by Applicant	To be completed by staff					
General Requirements – Master Plan:	Yes	N/A	Yes	No	N/A	
1. I have referenced this Master Plan Checklist and by using this as a guide, it will ensure that I receive a complete and thorough first review by the City of Raleigh		\checkmark				
2. Total number of units and square feet		\checkmark				
3. 12 sets of plans		\checkmark				
4. Completed application submitted through Permit and Development Portal		\checkmark				
5. Vicinity Map		\checkmark				
6. Existing Conditions Map		\checkmark				
7. Street and Block Layout Plan		\checkmark				
8. General Layout Map/Height and Frontage Map		\checkmark				
9. Description of Modification to Standards, 12 sets		\checkmark				
10. Development Plan (location of building types)		\checkmark				
11. Pedestrian Circulation Plan		\checkmark				
12. Parking Plan		\checkmark				
13. Open Space Plan		\checkmark				
14. Tree Conservation Plan (if site is two acres or more)		\checkmark				
15. Major Utilities Plan/Utilities Service Plan		\checkmark				
16. Generalized Stormwater Plan		\checkmark				
17. Phasing Plan		\checkmark				
18. Three-Dimensional Model/renderings		\checkmark				
19. Common Signage Plan		\checkmark				

Date: August 16, 2021

Re:

300 Glenwood Ave	1704406546
304 Glenwood Ave	1704406652
306 Glenwood Ave	1704406657
509 W North Street	1704407684
519 W North Street	1704407700

Dear Neighbors:

We are counsel for Centerline Properties, LLC ("Centerline"), which is considering rezoning the above-captioned Property, a context map of which appears on the back side of this notice. Currently, the Property is zoned DX-7-SH. Centerline is considering rezoning the Property to Downtown Mixed Use, up to forty stories, with Shopfront frontage (DX-40-SH). The purpose of the rezoning is to increase the maximum height of the building to up to forty stories.

You are cordially invited to attend a meeting to discuss the potential rezoning. We have scheduled a meeting with surrounding neighbors on Thursday, August 26, 2021, from 6:00 p.m. to 7:00 p.m. This meeting will be held at Hampton Inn & Suites Downtown Raleigh, Glenwood Room, located at 600 Glenwood Avenue, Raleigh.

The City of Raleigh requires a neighborhood meeting involving the owners and tenants of property within 500 feet of the site prior to filing a rezoning application. After the meeting, we will prepare a report for the Raleigh Planning Department regarding the items discussed at the meeting.

Please do not hesitate to contact me directly should you have any questions or wish to discuss any issues. I can be reached at (919) 590-0377 or <u>mpaul@mstarlaw.com</u>. Information about the rezoning process is available online; visit <u>www.raleighnc.gov</u> and search for "Rezoning Process." Complete application materials may be viewed at <u>https://raleighnc.gov/services/zoning-planning-and-development/current-development-activity</u>. If you have further questions about the rezoning process, please contact:

JP Mansolf Raleigh Planning & Development (919) 996-2180 JP.Mansolf@raleighnc.gov

If you have any concerns or questions about this potential rezoning, I can be reached at:

Mack Paul Morningstar Law Group 919-590-0377 <u>mpaul@mstarlaw.com</u>

Sincerely,

N. I. P.M


Neighborhood Meeting Agenda

- I. Introductions
- II. The rezoning process
- III. The project
- IV. Question and answer period

Aerial Photo



<u>Zoning</u>



Rezoning Application and Checklist



Planning and Development Customer Service Center • One Exchange Plaza, Suite 400 | Raleigh, NC 27601 | 919-996-2500

Please complete all sections of the form and upload via the Permit and Development Portal (permitportal.raleighnc.gov). Please see page 8 for information about who may submit a rezoning application. A rezoning application will not be considered complete until all required submittal components listed on the Rezoning Checklist have been received and approved. For questions email rezoning@raleighnc.gov.

Rezoning Request						
Rezoning	General Use 🖌 Conditional Use Master Plan		Office Use Only Rezoning case #			
Туре	Text cha	nge to zoning conditions				
Existing zoning base district: DX		Height: 7	Frontage: SH	Overlay(s):		
Proposed zoning base	district: DX	Height: 40 Frontage: SH		Overlay(s):		
Helpful Tip: View the Zoning Map to search for the address to be rezoned, then turn on the 'Zoning' and 'Overlay' layers.						
If the property has been previously rezoned, provide the rezoning case number:						

General Information						
Date:	Date amended (1):			Date amended (2):		
Property address: See Attachment A						
Property PIN: See Attachment A						
Deed reference (book/page): See	Attac	hment A				
Nearest intersection: See Attachm	ent A		Property size (ac	res): See Attachment A		
For planned development applications only		Total units:		Total square footage:		
		Total parcels:		Total buildings:		
Property owner name and addres	ss: See	e Attachment A				
Property owner email:						
Property owner phone:						
Applicant name and address: Centerline Properties, LLC, c/o Charles Long, President, 519 W North Street, Raleigh, NC 27603						
Applicant email: charles@centerline.net						
Applicant phone:						
Applicant signature(s):						
Additional email(s):						

Conditional Use District Zoning Conditions						
Zoning case #:	Date submitted:	Office Use Only Rezoning case #				
Existing zoning: DX-7-SH	Proposed zoning: DX-40-SH					

Narrative of Zoning Conditions Offered

The following uses shall be prohibited on the property; Dormitory, fraternity, sorority; pawnshop; detention center, jail, prison; self-service storage; vehicle repair; vehicle fuel sales; and adult establishment.

The property owner(s) hereby offers, consents to, and agrees to abide, if the rezoning request is approved, the conditions written above. All property owners must sign each condition page. This page may be photocopied if additional space is needed.

Property Owner(s) Signature:

Printed Name(s): _____

Page **2** of **11**

REVISION 05.05.21

Property List

PIN	Address	Current	Proposed	Deed	Nearest	Acreage	Owner Name/Address/Email/Phone
		Zoning	Zoning	Page/Book	Intersection		
1704406546	300 Glenwood	DX-7-SH	DX-40-SH	14372/1503	W Lane St &	0.12	LANEWOOD 3 LLC, 509 W North St,
	Ave				Glenwood		Raleigh, NC 27603
					Ave		
1704406652	304 Glenwood	DX-7-SH	DX-40-SH	15779/2254	W North St &	0.09	LANEWOOD 3 LLC, 509 W North St,
	Ave				Glenwood		Raleigh, NC 27603
					Ave		
1704406657	306 Glenwood	DX-7-SH	DX-40-SH	16222/690	W North St &	0.09	LANEWOOD 3 LLC, 509 W North St,
	Ave				Glenwood		Raleigh, NC 27603
					Ave		
1704407684	509 W North	DX-7-SH	DX-40-SH	14364/1954	W North St &	0.73	NORTHLANE LLC, 509 W North St,
	Street				W Lane St		Raleigh, NC 27603
1704407700	519 W North	DX-7-SH	DX-40-SH	8436/2547	W North St &	0.06	ASHE AVENUE VENTURES LLC, C/O
	Street				Glenwood		WINIFRED BOLTON, 1500 DELLWOOD
					Ave		DR, RALEIGH NC 27607-6719

SUMMARY OF ISSUES

A neighborhood meeting was held on August 26, 2021 (d	late) to discuss a potential rezoning located at
See Attachment A	(property address). The neighborhood
Meeting was held at Hampton Inn & Suites, Raleigh	(location). There were approximately 9

(number) neighbors in attendance. The general issues discussed were:

Summary of Issues:

Participants asked about the	location of propertie	s to be included in the re	ezoning.

Participants asked about zoning heights of other properties in the area.

Participants asked whether there are any specific development plans at this time.

Participants asked about traffic impacts.

Participants asked about compatibility with the 222 Glenwood Avenue development from the standpoint

Participants asked about potential development of properties within the block not included in the rezonin

+

+

REVISION 07.20.21

Page **10** of **11**

Attendance Roster					
Name	Address				
Bryan Budchad	400 4. North 84. #632				
WenVeller	400 W. North St. #1032				
Bot Atchen	1500 Dellarook Dr.				
Lex Leonard	400 W. NOTTH St. #804				
TIM ESRO	222 GLENWOOD AVE # 519				
To Baker	510 Glenwood ste 317				
Texterner Summons	APT #24 BOYLAVE Telesch 27603				
Marge Fredand	222 Generood The #203				
Lenny Haghes	222 Clenwood Ave #301				
Long magnes	And Children If I - I				
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

OWNER'S AFFIDAVIT

STATE OF North Carolina COUNTY OF Wall

NORTHLANE LLC ("Owner"), the Owner of the premises located at 509 W North Street, Raleigh, North Carolina and having PIN 1704407684 (the "Property"), hereby acknowledges and agrees that Lanewood 3 LLC ("Applicant"), as represented by Morningstar Law Group, intends to file a petition for rezoning of the Property with the City of Raleigh, and that Applicant, as represented by Lanewood 3 LLC, Charles L. Long, Morningstar Law Group, Mack Paul, or Molly Stuart, are authorized by Owner to undertake and prosecute such rezoning. Affiant acknowledges on behalf of Owner that zoning conditions must be signed, approved, and consented to by Owner.

AFFIANT:

Name

State of North Cardina County of Wake

Subscribed and sworn to (or affirmed) before me on this day of <u>November</u>, 2021, by <u>Charles LOng</u>, as <u>Monog re</u> (title) of NORTHLANE LLC, personally known to me or proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person who appeared before me.

(Notary Public)

JULIA OGDEN NOTARY PUBLIC WAKE COUNTY, N.C.

OWNER'S AFFIDAVIT

STATE OF NC)) COUNTY OF)

Ashe Avenue Ventures LLC ("Owner"), the Owner of the premises located at 519 W North Street, Raleigh, North Carolina and having PIN 1704407700 (the "Property"), hereby acknowledges and agrees that Lanewood 3 LLC ("Applicant"), as represented by Morningstar Law Group, intends to file a petition for rezoning of the Property with the City of Raleigh, and that Applicant, as represented by Lanewood 3 LLC, Charles L. Long, Morningstar Law Group, Mack Paul, or Molly Stuart, are authorized by Owner to undertake and prosecute such rezoning. Affiant acknowledges on behalf of Owner that zoning conditions must be signed, approved, and consented to by Owner.

AFFIANT: Ashe Avene Ventur, LLC

Botton, MGR Bolton fred W Name:

State of County of

Subscribed and sworn to (or affirmed) before me on this day of day of 2021, by <u>Diverses in porton</u>, as <u>Manager</u> (title) of Ashe Avenue Ventures LLC, personally known to me or proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person who appeared before me.

BEAT - CAMERON VILLAGE 611 OBERLIN RD. RALEIGH, NC 2700

(Notary Public)

APRIL FENNELL Notary Public Wake Co.. North Carolina My Commission Expires Sept. 20. 2022

OWNER'S AFFIDAVIT

STATE OF North Cendina) COUNTY OF Walk)

NORTHLANE2 LLC ("Owner"), the Owner of the premises located at 517 W North Street, Raleigh, North Carolina and having PIN 1704407730 (the "Property"), hereby acknowledges and agrees that Lanewood 3 LLC ("Applicant"), as represented by Morningstar Law Group, intends to file a petition for rezoning of the Property with the City of Raleigh, and that Applicant, as represented by Lanewood 3 LLC, Charles L. Long, Morningstar Law Group, Mack Paul, or Molly Stuart, are authorized by Owner to undertake and prosecute such rezoning. Affiant acknowledges on behalf of Owner that zoning conditions must be signed, approved, and consented to by Owner.

AFFIANT:

Name:

State of North Cendling. County of War

Subscribed and sworn to (or affirmed) before me on this <u>19</u> day of <u>November</u>, 2021, by <u>(Narles L. Long</u>, as <u>MonAgen</u> (title) of NORTHLANE2 LLC, personally known to me or proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person who appeared before me.

(Notary Public

JULIA OGDEN NOTARY PUBLIC WAKE COUNTY, N.C.