

## 1. Approval of Minutes

## 2. New Project Timeline

## 3. Public Input Summary

### 4. Draft Master Plan Discussion:

- Can we alter the design so that the path goes around, rather than through the interpretive pavilion?
- Outdoor patio: Would this be located on the site where we currently have the stage for events?
  - Yes, this would be there as something that could function as a stage, including utility access for electricity
- Is the potential to have a statue still on the table?
  - Yes, that is something that could be discussed during the detailed design & review process
- Can we show some more examples or concepts of what exactly the indoor interpretive pavilion would look like? And can we show the types of programming or activities that would be hosted on the space?

#### a. Consensus vote

Consensus vote was taken on whether to show this iteration of the draft Master Plan during the next round of public input:

- Five CPC members attended: All five voted with a “1” for full consensus

### 5. Prioritization Discussion:

1. Path would have to go first in Phase 1
2. Heavy work should be done first (like plantings and invasives removal). We would like this to be human-centered, adding paths and park.

Comment 1:

- Plantings
- Site entrance
- Paths & benches
- Historic Signs
- Latta House Outline

Comment 2:

- Site entrance is not necessarily the key thing, and could be moved down on the priority list.

Comment 3:

- The site is already very usable. However, what has been missing this entire time has been the historic signage. I would be concerned to leave the historic signage for last and risk running out of money.
- Can historic signage be prioritized to make sure that at least some of it comes in?

Question about Phase 2: How likely is it that we get to phase 2? Can we do part of phase 2 without doing all of it? We want to make sure that the big project that doesn't hold up the larger projects.

- 2 ways of doing the timing/budgeting
  - o Timing can be handled from the operational perspective
  - o For master plan purposes, we should prioritize in terms of BUDGETING (not in terms of timing)
    - We would prefer to hear the CPC's perspective in terms of what is most important to allocate the \$80,000 budget toward
- We could potentially break it out into Phase 2 and Phase 3
  - o We will be advocating to get it done all at once rather than dragging it out between Phase 2 and Phase 3
  - o For master plan purposes, we can prioritize within Phase 2, but prioritize based on budget needs
    - We can propose the budget
    - The reality is that the City will take all that we recommend, and then PRCR improvements are weighed against all other needs (public utilities, transportation maintenance, etc.)
    - We also look for partnerships as an opportunity to help make certain projects a higher priority. For example, the natural interpretive signage at the Lake Johnson Woodland Center
- When would the City be interested in doing the programming on this site?
  - o Right now that is a staff resource issue more than anything else
  - o The master plan does not necessarily get into operational assignments, but instead is focusing on the built environment
  - o Can the university get involved to provide programming? How can this get involved in part of the curriculum of NCSU or other universities? Something that is more holistic, not just City-driven. Broughton and other high schools should be planning field trips out here once a year to talk about the history of the area.
  - o
    - We can list potential partners and other stakeholder groups that can be included in the master plan for future programming opportunities
    - Anything of historical significance should not just be City-driven, but should be a more holistic community effort
  - o How can the CPC help to reach out to doctor's offices, etc. in the neighborhood ...
- Phase 1 should be organized by budget priority
  - o Historic Signage

- Outline could be one of the most impactful... along with signage and outline (which supports the historic preservation and learning opportunity first, and a park play area that could be second)
  - Benches & paths are the most important to make it human-centered... signage can be moved later... the historic marker is still there at the entrance.
    - But the whole project is built around articulating that history in a different way
    - The historic significance needs to be up front because of that reason
  - If we are thinking about different partnerships, and motivating the university and schools to use this site, then the interpretive elements need to be in place now
  - Enhanced site entrances (includes trash cans and signs)
- 
- Clarify: Latta HOUSE outline improvements

## 6. Next Steps:

October 19<sup>th</sup> – Public Input Meeting – Jaycee Community Center – 9:30am - Noon

November 15<sup>th</sup> – Final CPC Meeting – Jaycee Community Center – 4:00 pm - 5:30 pm