Appendix A. Raleigh Sidewalk Petition Policy

Requesting Sidewalks

Residents/citizens can contact City Staff about any street that is missing sidewalks. Staff will research the request and forward it to the appropriate Division/Department based on the classification of the street where the sidewalk is being requested.

Requests for sidewalk on streets that are classified as "non-residential" streets do not require a petition. To have a non-residential street with existing curb and gutter considered for sidewalk, a verbal or written request is sufficient for placing that street on a priority list. The request will be received by the Office of Transportation Planning then evaluated and ranked against other requests for inclusion in the sidewalk program.

Requests for sidewalks on streets that are classified as "residential" streets do require a petition for consideration, with the exception of "small missing gaps between existing sidewalks which may be considered without petition. These requests will be received by the Assessment Staff in the Design/Construction Division of the Public Works Department. In order for the street to be considered for a petition project, the request must meet the following criteria:

» The street must have curb and gutters, otherwise, the request must go through the normal street improvement petition process and will include sidewalk. Under certain circumstances, an alternative asphalt sidewalk may be desirable. This would not require the inclusion of a curb and gutter street. City Staff will determine if this is an option.
» The street must have a minimum one block length missing sidewalk or complete missing sections of sidewalk for consideration

Validity of a sidewalk petition

After determining the requested street meets the requirements for a sidewalk petition, Staff will prepare and mail a Petition Form Letter along with a return postage paid envelope to all property owners along both sides of the street to be improved requesting their signature(s) should they be in favor of adding sidewalk to their street and be included in the petition accordingly. In order for the petition to be valid for inclusion in the priority ranking, we must receive signatures in favor from at least 75% of the abutting property owners. The petition will remain open for a period of 45 days from the date of mailing. Once the petition period has been closed, staff will validate the responses received for sufficiency. If the petition meets the 75% sufficiency requirement it is considered "valid" and the street will be added to the Sidewalk Requests for Residential Streets list to be evaluated/assigned a priority ranking for construction consideration.

In preparation of mailing the petition letters, Staff will research current ownership with the
Wake County Register of Deeds and Tax Office records. The petition letters will be mailed accordingly. In order to be counted in the petition sufficiency, the signatures must be as identified in the letter. In the case where there is no single-family ownership such as an estate, trust, or business, a representative may sign the form as long as they clearly indicate their association and must provide a phone number so that the signature can be verified.

The petitioner and all property owners will be notified by letter of the results of the petition. A resulting unsuccessful petition will not be allowed another petition request for a one year period.

**Timeline/Construction**

The timeline for construction of the sidewalk will depend on the street’s ranking and available funding. Annually streets from the Sidewalk Requests For Residential Streets list will be submitted to the City Council for authorization to be included in the following FY budget. The streets submitted will be determined on their priority ranking and available funding. Once approved by City Council and funding has been appropriated, the Engineering staff will begin the design process. During this process, property owners will be invited to a design public meeting at which time they will be given the opportunity to provide input on the sidewalk’s design. A decision on the location of the sidewalk will occur during the design process.

Upon completion of construction of the sidewalk, property owners will not be required to pay an assessment. In some instances there may be impacts to properties bordering the street right of way. In some cases, construction of the sidewalk may require that right-of-way and/or easements be acquired from abutting property owners. Other property impacts that could affect abutting property owners are personal items and/or shrubbery that has been placed in the right-of-way. Details of what areas may be impacted, and where easements may be needed will not be known until the sidewalk has been designed.

Once the design has been completed and approved and any right-of-way/easements have been acquired, the project(s) will be bid for construction.

**Sidewalk Ranking / Scoring**

**Presence of Pedestrian Generators:**

- **Provide Connection to a School**
  - ¼ mile or less – 10 points
  - ¼ mile to ½ mile – 7 points
  - ½ mile to ¾ mile – 5 points
  - ¾ mile to 1 mile – 3 points
- **Provide connection to Recreation Centers / Greenways / Libraries**
  - ¼ mile or less – 6 points
  - ¼ mile to ½ mile – 4 points
  - ½ mile to ¾ mile – 2 points
- **Provides a connection to CAT or TTA Bus Stops**
  - Along proposed sidewalk(either side of road) – 6 points
  - Within ½ mile of proposed sidewalk – 3 points
- **Commercial / Employment Center (Paths between residences / bus stops and commercial areas)**
  - ¼ mile and less – 4 points
  - ¼ mile to ½ mile – 2 points
**Connectivity to Existing Network:**
- Evidence of pedestrian use
  » 5 points
- Creates significant connectivity / fills gaps in existing network
  » Divide length of continuous sidewalk (max ½ mile from each side of project center point) by length of project. – Maximum 10 points

**Safety Factors:**
- Type of street
  » Thoroughfare in residential area. – 10 points
  » Collector – 5 points
  » Residential – 3 points
  » Cul-de-sac / Dead end < 200 ft – 0 points
- Traffic Count
  » 4,000 vehicles per day – 5 points
  » 2,000 vehicles per day – 3 points
  » 1,000 vehicles per day – 2 points
- Speed Limit along street
  » 25 mph – 2 points
  » 35 mph – 4 points
- Visual Obstructions Present
  » 5 points

**Support:**
- Petition support
  » ½ point for each percentage point above 75% - Maximum 10 points
- Recommendation of CAC
  » 5 points

**Negatives:**
(Things that would take away from the point total)
- Sidewalk on other side of road
  » 25 mph speed limit – (-10) points
Appendix B: Background Information

The following information provides a summary of factors from each of the two sources used to develop the sidewalk evaluation program described in this document.

Dot Voting at June 8, 2012 Open House. An initial draft of the recommended sidewalk evaluation program was presented at the June 8, 2011 Open House. Participants voted on the criteria they felt were most important to include in the program. The most significant results of the dot voting were:
General support for the criteria.
Little understanding of the Pedestrian Quality of Service.
Support for including low income households in the criteria.

Charlotte, NC Sidewalk Program Changes. Charlotte, North Carolina revised their sidewalk program in May 2011, moving away from a 4-tiered approach. See the table below. The goal of these changes was to create a seamless and simple system. Changes in the program include:
An extra category for specific types of pedestrian generators, such as parks.
Reserved authority for the transportation director to decide if a street may be exempt from the petition process.
Use the criteria for three city programs: Charlotte Department of Transportation’s Sidewalk Program, the Neighborhood City of Charlotte Sidewalk Retrofit Policy and Business Services’ Neighborhood Improvement Program and the Charlotte-Mecklenburg Planning Department’s Area Plan Implementation Program.
Two tiers instead of four, with a single set of points:
Non-thoroughfare streets will rely more on a petition-based process; and
Thoroughfares will rise to the top of the list for city review and selection each June.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ranking Criteria used for all potential projects (Points assignment is based on specific criteria)</th>
<th>Reserved Authority*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AAWT (Average Annual Weekday Traffic), 20 maximum points</td>
<td>High traffic volumes and speeds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connectivity to other sidewalks, 6 maximum points</td>
<td>Pedestrian safety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proximity to a school, 7 maximum points</td>
<td>Accessibility to transit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Type, 3 maximum points</td>
<td>Street primarily consists of land uses other than single family residential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proximity to a park, 7 maximum points</td>
<td>Street has reverse frontage lots</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenway Overland Connector, maximum points unknown</td>
<td>*A proposed or requested sidewalk will be exempt from the standards procedure if the Transportation Director, or his designee,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proximity to land uses serving elderly or people</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ranking Criteria used for all potential projects (Points assignment is based on specific criteria)</td>
<td>Reserved Authority*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with disabilities, 5 <em>maximum points</em></td>
<td>determines that the project is necessary because of factors such as these.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proximity to transit, 10 <em>maximum points</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proximity to neighborhood serving land uses, 5 <em>maximum points</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evidence of a worn path, 5 <em>maximum points</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roadway related safety need, 10 <em>maximum points</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of proposed sidewalk, 9 <em>maximum points</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existence of curb/gutter/drainage, 5 <em>maximum points</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pedestrian Friendly Index Rating (From the Charlotte Neighborhood Quality of Life Study), 3 <em>maximum points</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proximity to pedestrian overlay district, 3 <em>maximum points</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix C. Community comments from Example Locations.

The following section provides examples of comments received from the public related to pedestrian accommodations in the example project locations. These comments were collected using the online interactive map described in Chapter 2. The symbol key is provided below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>This route is safe, accessible and pleasant to walk along.</th>
<th>This intersection feels safe to cross.</th>
<th>This bus stop is easy to walk to, accessible and has a comfortable place to wait for the bus.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>This route is uncomfortable or unappealing for pedestrians, or is not accessible.</td>
<td>This intersection is difficult to cross, is not accessible or does not feel safe.</td>
<td>This bus stop is difficult to use or is not accessible.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Avent Ferry Road between Varsity Drive and Centennial Parkway

Sample comments:

» Push button placement: High volume of pedestrian activity - why no walk phase with every signal?
» Pedestrian conflicts: Conflicts with left turning and right turning traffic on all legs. Cars often cut pedestrians off in the crosswalk.
» No pedestrian facilities at bus stop: No sidewalks or pathway to bus stop.
Brier Creek Parkway between Little Briar Creek Lane and Skyland Ridge Parkway

Sample comments:

» VERY quick Walk indicator and may accidents at this intersection
» Now way to cross over Glenwood from Brier Creek Pkwy
» Alexander Place Townhomes have no access by sidewalk to the Brier Creek Shopping Center - which has bus access and is pedestrian friendly. Please add the short stretch of sidewalk between Alexander Place and the bus stop!

Glenwood Avenue and Pleasant Valley Road

Sample comments:

» No crosswalks at intersection.
» Many people try to cross in this area with no real pedestrian crossing.
» Bike/pedestrian path needed on Glenwood Avenue.
**Sample comments:**

» MLK is a high volume street designed for speeding. It separates South Park neighborhood from downtown, and MLK is very dangerous to cross—lots of older residents.
New Bern Avenue and Trawick Road

Sample comments:

» I see many pedestrians and cyclists in unsafe conditions in this area, including walking & biking along 64 over the I-440 interchange (there are paths worn in the grass) and crossing 64 mid-block near the hotels, plasma donation center, shops. I have witnessed multiple near-misses, esp. as cars take the exit/entrance ramps from I-440 to/from 64 Bus.

» This comment is 100% accurate! Getting over the overpass, on such a major traffic arterial.

Wake Forest Road between Beltline westbound off ramp and St. Albans Drive

Sample comments:

» There are no sidewalks here.

» This seems like such a great candidate for improving pedestrian traffic. The access for the hotels, restaurants, businesses and schools seems like a great opportunity. The pedestrian traffic is completely uncontrolled, and the existing sidewalk is only raised from the street level by a few inches as a barrier to exiting traffic. Three lanes turn left from the inner beltline, a fact not known to all drivers despite overhead signs. A vehicle could take the sidewalk if they were forced out by a middle lane driver turning to the outer lane.
Appendix D. Programs and Initiatives for Walkable Raleigh Profiles

This Appendix includes descriptions for eight Programs and Initiatives recommended for Early Action or Short Term Implementation. The profiled Programs and Initiatives, a combination of administrative and participatory, are critical for maintaining momentum for walking in Raleigh over the next two years. Each profile highlights the advantages of the recommended building block, keys to successful implementation, and examples from other cities across the country. See Appendix E for a summary table with short descriptions, lead agencies, potential partners, and timeframes for all 19 Programs and Initiatives.
Bus operator pedestrian safety training

What is it? Pedestrian safety training helps bus operators understand crash causes, relevant laws, safety statistics, and tips for sharing the road with pedestrians.

Advantages.

» Establishes a consistent set of safety guidelines for bus operators and clarifies roles and responsibilities in relation to pedestrians.
» Reduces the likelihood of pedestrian-bus operator crashes.
» Improves operation of roads and intersections.
» Improves quality of transit service

Examples

» Bus Driver Training Program, Washington Area Metropolitan Transit Authority (WMATA)
» Pedestrian Safety Guide for Transit Agencies (NHTSA)
» Training Modules for New Jersey Transit Staff, Project for Public Spaces

Keys to Success

» Identify able and willing instructors.
» Make part of transit agency-wide safety core value.
» Include training as part of operator scheduling.
» Include as part of new hire training.
» Provide refreshers and other reinforcements.
» Provide public messages about bus operations and safe habits when boarding and alighting buses.

Figure 1 Example presentation slides from WMATA’s Street Smart pedestrian awareness training for Metrobus
Police officer pedestrian safety training

What is it? Pedestrian safety training helps police officers better understand pedestrian safety issues and laws relevant for interactions between pedestrians and other roadway users. Trainings may be offered in a variety of formats, including on DVD. A program to train Raleigh Police Officers, “Bicyclist Safety and Law Enforcement,” was created through the Raleigh Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Commission in 2011. A complementary program (or a combined pedestrian and bicycle safety program) provides police officers with the information they need.

Advantages

- Fills a gap in officer training--many officers have not received training in pedestrian safety and laws.
- Improves officers’ capacity to enforce applicable laws and ensure pedestrian safety.
- May improve pedestrian safety overall, especially with better enforcement of motorist behavior that puts pedestrians at risk.

Keys to Success

- Identify able and willing instructors.
- Include as part of new hire training.
- Provide periodic reminders at roll call.
- Ask police chief to provide introductory remarks as a way of signaling the importance of the training.
- Partner with local walking and biking advocacy organizations.

Examples


Figure 2 Graphic from the Madison Pedestrian Safety Enforcement DVD. (Image provided by Larry Corsi, Wisconsin Department of Transportation)
Enforce moving and parking violations at crosswalks with progressive ticketing

What is it? Drivers are often unclear about parking and yielding laws at crosswalks, especially unmarked crosswalks. Progressive ticketing is an enforcement approach that aims to boost community awareness and buy-in before taking punitive action.

Figure 3 Failure-to-yield is one of the most dangerous behaviors on the street. Photo: Clarence

---

1 The term “unmarked crosswalk” is not defined in North Carolina State, but the state supreme court has defined it as “that area within an intersection which also lies within the lateral boundaries of a sidewalk projected across the intersection.” Anderson v. Carter, 272 N.C. 426, 430, 158 S.E.2d 607, 610 (1968). To have an “unmarked crosswalk,” there must be a sidewalk or “sidewalk like area” on at least one side of the intersection. Tucker v. Bruton, 102 N.C. App. 117, 401 S.E.2d 130 (1991).
Advantages

» Enforcing moving and parking violations at crosswalks can improve pedestrian safety. Research suggests that motorist failure to yield accounts for approximately 42% of crashes involving pedestrians at marked crosswalks and 32% of crashes involving pedestrians at unmarked crosswalks.² Parking in the crosswalk can also impact pedestrian safety by forcing pedestrians to cross at locations where sight lines between drivers and pedestrians are worse or traffic conditions are less advantageous for crossing.

» Progressive ticketing builds public support for enforcement by increasing public awareness of the reasons for enforcement.

» Issuing warnings instead of tickets takes much less time. Police officers are able to contact up to 20 times more non-compliant motorists, and more frequent stops have greater impact, since community members are more likely to witness them and respond by adjusting their behavior.

Keys to Success

» Establish an effective public outreach and education effort that generates community awareness of the problem. The public needs to understand the safety risks associated with violating parking and yielding laws at crosswalks. Raising awareness about the problem will change some behaviors and create public support for enforcement efforts to follow.

» Announce what action will be taken and why. Give the public time to change behaviors before ticketing starts. Fliers, signs, newspaper stories and official warnings from officers can all serve as reminders.

» After the “warning” time expires, hold a press conference announcing when and where the police operations will occur. If offenders continue their unsafe behaviors, officers issue tickets.

Examples

» San Francisco, California : www.walkfriendly.org/communities/community.cfm?ID=87


Pedestrian Counts

What is it? Pedestrian counts are regular counts of pedestrian volumes at key intersections and places where people walk.

Advantages

» Helps justify investments in pedestrian infrastructure.
» Helps the City prioritize and schedule the maintenance and repair of existing facilities.
» Helps the City understand the impact of infrastructure projects and non-infrastructure programs.
» Helps the City understand pedestrian travel trends and usage across the network.

Keys to Success

» Recognize that low pedestrian volume counts may indicate a lack of adequate pedestrian facilities rather than low pedestrian demand.
» Carefully select count days and times. At minimum, counts should be conducted on a typical day during peak pedestrian season at the peak time of day for pedestrian travel. Counts are discouraged on Mondays and Fridays, when travel and activity trends are atypical.
» Consider long-term, continuous pedestrian volume counts. Long-term, continuous counts can provide more reliable and nuanced information about specific sites and can be used to calibrate pedestrian volume models.
» Consider using automated counters to minimize labor costs, especially for long-term, continuous counts. See Error! Not a valid result for table. for a comparison of automatic

count technologies.

**Examples**


» Table 1 Automatic Count Technologies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technology</th>
<th>How it Works</th>
<th>Differentiate between bikes and peds?</th>
<th>Where can it be used?</th>
<th>Can it be moved to other locations?</th>
<th>Other Considerations</th>
<th>Technology Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Passive infrared</td>
<td>Detects a change in thermal contrast</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Sidewalk, path</td>
<td>Easily</td>
<td></td>
<td>$2,000-$3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active infrared</td>
<td>Detects an obstruction in the beam</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Sidewalk, path</td>
<td>Easily</td>
<td></td>
<td>$800-$7,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Video imaging</td>
<td>Analyzes pixel changes</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Intended for indoor use</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Difficult detection outdoors, no bike/ped application yet</td>
<td>$1,200-$8,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Video playback</td>
<td>Video analyzed by a person</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Anywhere</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Difficult detection at night and bad weather. Considerable staff time</td>
<td>$7,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piezometric Tube</td>
<td>Senses pressure on tube</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Path, on-street</td>
<td>Easily</td>
<td>Bicycles only. Potential tripping hazard</td>
<td>$1,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piezometric Pad</td>
<td>Senses pressure</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Sidewalk, path</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td>$2,000-$3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-pavement magnetic loop detectors</td>
<td>Senses magnetic field change as metal passes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Path, on-street</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Requires cutting into pavement or into ground to install</td>
<td>$2,000-$3,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4 Table created as part of the National Bicycle and Pedestrian Documentation Project, a joint effort of Alta Planning + Design and the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Pedestrian and Bicycle Council. Available online at: *http://bikepeddocumentation.org/index.php/download_file/-/view/16*. 


Sidewalk Inspection and Maintenance Programs and Policies

What is it? Sidewalk inspection maintenance policies are written documents that establish responsibilities and procedures for sidewalk inspection, repair, and replacement.

Advantages

» Helps ensure sidewalks are in good repair and clear of encroaching and overhanging vegetation.

» Guides the City’s inspection and maintenance efforts.

» Minimizes the City’s liability exposure in lawsuits.

» Serves as a tool for long-term planning and budgeting.

» Informs property owners about how the City treats sidewalk.

» Helps taxpayers understand the economic implications of sidewalk inspection and maintenance.

Keys to Success

» Include a sidewalk inspection procedure and schedule, a method for prioritizing sidewalk replacement and repair, and a procedure for addressing resident complaints and concerns.

» Include mechanisms to ensure City employees follow the policy.

» Publicize it through press releases, news stories, social media, and the City website.

» Work to get City Council adoption.

Examples


Safe Routes to School

What is it? Safe Routes to School (SRTS) is a growing movement across the US that brings together parents, schools, and community leaders to encourage students, including those with disabilities, to walk and bike to school. SRTS programs are typically built around the 5 Es of SRTS: education, encouragement, enforcement, evaluation, and engineering. The 5 Es approach recognizes that transportation issues are multifaceted and therefore must be addressed in a multifaceted way. For example, it is not enough to simply build a crosswalk (engineering). You must also teach students how to use it (education) and drivers how to respond (enforcement).

Advantages

» SRTS programs have many advantages, including:

» Community--Walking and biking to school with friends and family is fun and can create social experiences for the entire neighborhood!

» Safety--School based walking and biking programs can address barriers to physical activity by calming traffic around school and improving safety features, while raising safety awareness.

» Health--Students who walk and bike to school are more likely to reach the recommended 60 minutes of physical activity they need every day to become healthier overall.

» Environment--Increasing the number of students who arrive to school on foot and by bicycle can improve air quality around a school.

Keys to Success

» Identify a local SRTS champion. SRTS champions are people who invest their time and energy to catalyze SRTS programs when they are new and sustain them as they mature.

» Involve the right people. To succeed, SRTS programs must also have support from parents, principals, community members, and local officials.

» Make a plan. SRTS plans identify barriers to walking and bicycling to school along with potential solutions representing all 5 Es of SRTS. SRTS plans are more powerful when they echo, or are echoed by, existing plans, such as pedestrian and bicycle master plans, trail plans, and neighborhood sector plans.

» Implement the plan. Because SRTS is really a community effort, implementing a SRTS plan involves the entire community, including teachers, school PTA, police officers, local transportation staff, and students.

» Market the SRTS Program. The school PTA and the City can host community festivals with activities and information about SRTS.
SRTS Activities and Resources

» SRTS activities and resources focus on improving walking and biking conditions around schools while building healthy habits and safety skills. Popular SRTS activities include:

» International Walk to School Day
» Pedestrian and bicycle safety education
» Walking school buses and bicycle trains--Adults “drive” the walking school bus or bicycle train along a defined route, picking up kids at “stops” on the way
» Mileage clubs and contests
» Speed reduction campaigns
» Student safety patrols

Examples

Safe Routes to School, City of Raleigh,
http://www.raleighnc.gov/business/content/PWksTranServices/Articles/SafeRoutesToSchool.html
Child pedestrian safety training

What is it? Pedestrian safety training helps children develop pedestrian safety skills they can use on their way to school and in other contexts throughout their lifetimes. This kind of training is typically provided through schools and can be done in conjunction with a Safe Routes to School program.

Advantages

- Assures all children learn pedestrian (and bicycle) safety skills.
- Establishes habits that benefit children throughout their lives, regardless of whether they currently walk or bike to school.
- Establishes consistent messages for young pedestrians and bicyclists.
- Provides a refresher for parents if take-home materials are provided.

Keys to Success

- Identify able and willing instructors.
- Teach using a combination of methods, including one-time instruction (e.g. assemblies), multi-lesson classroom curricula, and skills practice.
- Ensure content is age-appropriate.
- With the proper guidance, older children can be effective pedestrian safety messengers.
- Use multiple opportunities to teach safe walking habits including during physical education classes, during after school activities, as part of preparation for emergency evaluation drills, Safe Routes to School events, and during the summer!

Examples


Figure 4 The National Highway Traffic Safety Administration offers a training curriculum for children in grades K-5. The curriculum is customized for three age groupings: K-1, 2-3, and 4-5.
Close Call Reporting

**What is it?** Close call forms allow pedestrians, bicyclists, and motorists to report “close calls,” or near accidents, with other roadway users. Close call forms are typically made available online and provide a way for citizens to report the following information:

» Their contact information
» The mode they were using when the close call occurred
» The mode used by the other person involved in the close call
» Date, time, and location of the close call
» Circumstances or additional detail

**Advantages**

» Helps cities gain a better understanding of potential conflict points and where improvements may be needed. Crashes involving pedestrians and bicyclists occur less frequently than other crash types and tend to be underreported, yet such crashes are much more likely to result in serious injury or death.

» Helps cities develop a fuller picture of locations that may be dangerous for pedestrians, which enables cities to respond before crashes happen.

**Keys to Success**

» Establish a system to record information, track trends, and identify thresholds when action is needed.
» Assign responsibility for managing the close call reporting activities to a staff member.
» Tap into the broadest possible audience by:
  » Mounting a vigorous marketing campaign, so people know about the forms and how to use them
  » Providing the form in other major languages used in the community
  » Providing alternatives to the online close call form to accommodate people with disabilities and people who lack Internet access.

**Examples**

» Close Call Form, City of Louisville, [http://www.louisvilleky.gov/BikeLouisville/close_call_form.htm](http://www.louisvilleky.gov/BikeLouisville/close_call_form.htm)


Figure 5 Louisville close call reporting form.
Appendix E. Table of Programs and Initiatives for Walkable Raleigh
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Lead Agency</th>
<th>Potential Partners</th>
<th>Potential Funding Sources</th>
<th>Timeframe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bus operator pedestrian safety training.</td>
<td>Enhance existing bus operator pedestrian safety training.</td>
<td>City of Raleigh Public Works Department</td>
<td>Triangle Transit; university bus systems. NCDOT Institute of Transportation Research &amp; Education Highway Safety Research Center</td>
<td>Transportation Enhancement Funds (TE), Section 402 Funds, Governor’s Office of Highway Safety Grant Program</td>
<td>Short term</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Police officer pedestrian safety training.</td>
<td>Provide police officers with awareness traffic laws relating to the pedestrian network as it interfaces with the roadway, including the rights and responsibilities of motorists and pedestrians.</td>
<td>City of Raleigh Police Department</td>
<td>Institute of Transportation Research &amp; Education Highway Safety Research Center</td>
<td>Transportation Enhancement Funds (TE), Section 402 Funds, Governor’s Office of Highway Safety Grant Program</td>
<td>Short term</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Timeframe Key:**
- Early Action -- Within one year
- Short Term-- Within two-five years
- Mid Term-- Within five-ten years
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Lead Agency</th>
<th>Potential Partners</th>
<th>Potential Funding Sources</th>
<th>Timeframe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Enforce moving and parking violations at crosswalks with progressive ticketing. | Using a progressive ticketing approach, enforce laws against not yielding to pedestrians in crosswalks (marked or unmarked) and parking on crosswalks (marked or unmarked). | City of Raleigh Police Department  
City of Raleigh Parklink | DMV  
City of Raleigh Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Commission | Section 402 Funds, Governor’s Office of Highway Safety Grant Program               | Early action |
| Speed feedback signs.                                      | Permanently installed speed feedback signs to increase motorists’ awareness of and compliance with the posted speed limit. | City of Raleigh Transportation Operations Division |                                                                                     | Section 402 Funds, Governor’s Office of Highway Safety Grant Program | Short term |
| Safe Routes to School (SRTS).                              | A comprehensive program aimed at increasing the number of children who walk and bike to school; and increasing the safety of walking and biking to school. | City of Raleigh Office of Transportation Planning | Wake County School District  
School PTAs  
City of Raleigh Departments: Police, Public Works  
City of Raleigh Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Commission | Transportation Enhancement Funds, Section 402 Funds, Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement Program, Governor’s Office of Highway Safety Grant Program, Recreational Trails Program, North Carolina SRTS Program, Health and Wellness Trust Fund, Partnerships | Early Action |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Lead Agency</th>
<th>Potential Partners</th>
<th>Potential Funding Sources</th>
<th>Timeframe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
City of Raleigh Transportation Operations (support) | NC DOT  
NC State  
City of Raleigh Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Commission  
Parks, Recreation & Greenways Advisory Committee  
Greater Raleigh Chamber of Commerce | Partnerships | Short term |
| GIS-based inventory of sidewalks, curb ramps, and bus stops (includes condition). | Conduct field inventory of existing sidewalks and curb ramps to identify their condition and ADA compliancy. | City of Raleigh Public Works | NCSU  
NCDOT  
Triangle Transit  
City of Raleigh Transportation Operations | | Mid term |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Lead Agency</th>
<th>Potential Partners</th>
<th>Potential Funding Sources</th>
<th>Timeframe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sidewalk maintenance policies and procedures.</td>
<td>Establish and implement regular maintenance procedures to ensure sidewalks are in good repair and are clear of encroaching and overhanging vegetation.</td>
<td>City of Raleigh Public Works</td>
<td>City of Raleigh Office of Transportation Planning</td>
<td>Powell Bill Program, Partnerships</td>
<td>Short term</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADA Transition Plan Update.</td>
<td>The City adopted an ADA Transition Plan in 1992. Many communities update their plans periodically as a way to reflect changes in the facilities for people with disabilities and identify emerging needs.</td>
<td>City of Raleigh</td>
<td>City of Raleigh Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Commission</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mid-term</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School citing policy.</td>
<td>Revised policies for new or redeveloped schools to ensure adequate pedestrian and bicycle access to the school and within the school campus.</td>
<td>City of Raleigh Planning &amp; Development Department</td>
<td>Wake County Public School District</td>
<td>Capital Area Metropolitan Planning Organization</td>
<td>Mid-term</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Participatory Programs and Initiatives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Lead Agency</th>
<th>Potential Partners</th>
<th>Potential Funding Sources</th>
<th>Timeframe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Walk Today in Raleigh!</td>
<td>A weeklong event and dedicated to celebrating Raleigh’s emerging walkable nature and raising awareness of walkability issues. Activities may include Safe Routes to School Workshops and Walkability Audits, guided walks, and promotional events, all culminating in International Walk to School Day.</td>
<td>City of Raleigh Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Commission (support)</td>
<td>SRTS program, Citizen Advisory Councils (CAC), City of Raleigh Parks &amp; Recreation Department, City of Raleigh Police Department</td>
<td>Health and Wellness Trust Fund, Partnerships</td>
<td>Short term</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking Routes Maps.</td>
<td>Maps that provide information on suggested walking routes to work, shopping and leisure. Maps can be provided in electronic and print format, and posted in public spaces.</td>
<td>City of Raleigh Office of Transportation Planning</td>
<td>SRTS program, Citizen Advisory Councils (CAC), City of Raleigh Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Commission, Triangle Transit</td>
<td>Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement Program, Health and Wellness Trust Fund, Partnerships</td>
<td>Short term</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Lead Agency</td>
<td>Potential Partners</td>
<td>Potential Funding Sources</td>
<td>Timeframe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking Groups.</td>
<td>Organized and informal walking groups that support walking in general, a healthy lifestyle, and community-building. Walking groups are usually formed for recreational walking; however, they are also organized for SRTS (called Walking School Buses) and can be organized for weekend shopping trips and other transportation needs.</td>
<td>City of Raleigh Division of Community Services</td>
<td>SRTS program Citizen Advisory Councils (CAC)</td>
<td>Health and Wellness Trust Fund, Partnerships</td>
<td>Short term</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pedestrian Safety Education.</td>
<td>Programs to create awareness and education for pedestrians on the “rules of the road and sidewalk” and to learn walking practices to increase the safety and comfort of walking.</td>
<td>City of Raleigh Office of Transportation Planning City of Raleigh Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Commission (support)</td>
<td>City of Raleigh Police Department Capital Area Metropolitan Planning Organization Highway Safety Research Center NCDOT</td>
<td>Transportation Enhancement Funds, Section 402 Funds, Governor’s Office of Highway Safety Grant Program, North Carolina SRTS Program, Partnerships</td>
<td>Short term</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Lead Agency</td>
<td>Potential Partners</td>
<td>Potential Funding Sources</td>
<td>Timeframe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Driver Education and Awareness. | Programs to create awareness and education for motorists on the “rules of the road and sidewalk” and to learn safe driving practices to reduce the risk of pedestrian-motor vehicle crashes, keep the pedestrian network usable by pedestrians, and increase pedestrian comfort. | City of Raleigh Office of Transportation Planning  
City of Raleigh Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Commission (support) | City of Raleigh Police Department  
*Capital Area Metropolitan Planning Organization*  
Highway Safety Research Center  
NCDOT | Transportation Enhancement and Awareness, Section 402 Funds, Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement Program, Governor’s Office of Highway Safety Grant Program, North Carolina SRTS Program, Partnerships | Short term |
| Close Call Reporting.          | Close call reporting is another tool that can be used to assess potential conflict points and the frequency of near misses at these locations. In general pedestrian- and bicycle-related crashes are under reported and this offers another way to address issues before they result in a crash. | City of Raleigh Office of Transportation Planning | City of Raleigh Police Department  
City of Raleigh Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Commission | Transportation Enhancement Funds, Section 402 Funds, Governor’s Office of Highway Safety Grant Program | Short Term |
Appendix F. Walk Friendly Community Designation.

A Walk Friendly Community is a city or town that has shown a commitment to improving walkability and pedestrian safety through comprehensive programs, plans and policies. Individual cities and towns can apply to the program to receive recognition in the form of a Bronze, Silver, Gold, or Platinum designation. Other areas, such as neighborhoods, cannot be considered for the Walk Friendly Communities designation. Examples of the criteria used to assess a city are provided below:

**Planning**

- Adopt a pedestrian plan or pedestrian safety action plan.
- Adopt a complete streets policy or ordinance.
- Adopt a policy for sidewalks on both sides of arterial streets.

**Encouragement & Education**

- Active and sustainable SRTS program.
- Education and encouragement campaigns, especially those that aim to include all populations.
- On-line walking tours, guides or maps.

**Engineering**

- Standards for sidewalks such as adequate width, buffers, and standards for sidewalks across driveways.
- Percentage of arterial and non-arterial streets with sidewalks on both sides; one side; or have paved shoulders (4’ minimum).
- Pedestrian signaling system (percentage of intersections covered and type of signal).

**Evaluation**

- On-going pedestrian counting or surveying program.
- Use of assessment tools to determine pedestrian needs and potential solutions (e.g., walkability checklists, health impact assessment, PLOS).
- Routine use of pre- and post-evaluations of road projects and traffic calming to determine the impact on pedestrians.

The Community Assessment Tool document is available at [http://www.walkfriendly.org/get_started.cfm](http://www.walkfriendly.org/get_started.cfm)
### Appendix G. Anticipated Funded Sidewalk projects by year

#### Fiscal Year 2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Map ID</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>From</th>
<th>To</th>
<th>Length (rounded)</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>GREEN RD**</td>
<td>New Hope Church Rd</td>
<td>Greenock Dr</td>
<td>1,200</td>
<td>$39,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>NEW HOPE CHURCH RD**</td>
<td>Wake Forest Rd</td>
<td>Brentwood Rd</td>
<td>4,500</td>
<td>$148,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>CAPITAL BLVD*</td>
<td>I-440</td>
<td>Brentwood Rd</td>
<td>2,400</td>
<td>$79,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>CLARK AVE**</td>
<td>Woodburn Rd</td>
<td>Bellwood Dr</td>
<td>1,100</td>
<td>$36,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>ML KING JR BLVD*</td>
<td>Peyton St</td>
<td>Glenbrook Dr</td>
<td>2,100</td>
<td>$69,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>185</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>S PERSON ST*</td>
<td>Hoke Street</td>
<td>Bragg Street</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>$29,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>POOLE RD**</td>
<td>Beverly Dr</td>
<td>Sunnybrook Rd</td>
<td>3,700</td>
<td>$122,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>ROCK QUARRY RD</td>
<td>Bart St</td>
<td>Raleigh Blvd</td>
<td>2,700</td>
<td>$89,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>BLUE RIDGE RD*</td>
<td>Western Blvd</td>
<td>Hillsborough Street</td>
<td>3,500</td>
<td>$115,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>170</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>OAKWOOD AVE</td>
<td>Linden Avenue</td>
<td>Tarboro Street</td>
<td>2,200</td>
<td>$72,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Grand Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$801,900.00</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*School within ¼ mile

**School within ½ mile
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Map ID</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>From</th>
<th>To</th>
<th>Length (rounded)</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>NEW BERN AVE</td>
<td>Poole Rd</td>
<td>Raleigh Blvd</td>
<td>1,900</td>
<td>$62,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>136</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>GLASCOCK ST</td>
<td>Norris Street</td>
<td>State Street</td>
<td>1,900</td>
<td>$62,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>GREEN RD</td>
<td>Kilcullen Dr</td>
<td>Hargrove Rd</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>$23,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>RALEIGH BLVD*</td>
<td>Crabtree Blvd</td>
<td>I-440</td>
<td>3,100</td>
<td>$102,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>ROCK QUARRY RD</td>
<td>Raleigh Blvd</td>
<td>Cross Link Rd</td>
<td>4,100</td>
<td>$135,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>WADE AVE**</td>
<td>Hobson Ct</td>
<td>Daniels St</td>
<td>3,500</td>
<td>$115,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>E MILLBROOK RD**</td>
<td>Atlantic Ave</td>
<td>Wallingford Dr</td>
<td>8,300</td>
<td>$273,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>GREEN RD</td>
<td>Hallmark Pl</td>
<td>Spring Forest Rd</td>
<td>2,800</td>
<td>$92,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>MAYWOOD AVE</td>
<td>Lake Wheeler Rd</td>
<td>Saunders St</td>
<td>3,200</td>
<td>$105,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>169</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>OAKWOOD AVE</td>
<td>Hill Street</td>
<td>Colleton Road</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>$49,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>BLOODWORTH ST</td>
<td>ML King Jr Blvd</td>
<td>Worth St</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>$19,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>CRABTREE BLVD</td>
<td>Capital Blvd</td>
<td>Raleigh Blvd</td>
<td>2,700</td>
<td>$89,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>WAKE FOREST RD</td>
<td>Capital Blvd</td>
<td>Creekside Dr</td>
<td>5,900</td>
<td>$194,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>CAPITAL BLVD*</td>
<td>I-440</td>
<td>Brentwood Road</td>
<td>2,300</td>
<td>$75,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>BERYL RD**</td>
<td>Hillsborough St</td>
<td>Blue Ridge Rd</td>
<td>4,600</td>
<td>$151,800</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Grand Total $1,554,300.00

*Schools within ¼ mile
**School within ½ mile
## Fiscal Year 2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Map ID</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>From</th>
<th>To</th>
<th>Length (rounded)</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>SPRING FOREST RD*</td>
<td>McHines Pl</td>
<td>Capital Blvd</td>
<td>4,800</td>
<td>$158,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>W MILLBROOK RD**</td>
<td>Light Brigade</td>
<td>Lead Mine Rd</td>
<td>4,400</td>
<td>$145,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>TRAWICK RD*</td>
<td>Capital Blvd</td>
<td>Broadlands Dr</td>
<td>2,300</td>
<td>$75,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>VARSITY DR*</td>
<td>Avent Ferry Rd</td>
<td>Western Blvd</td>
<td>3,600</td>
<td>$118,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>LAKE BOONE TRL**</td>
<td>I-440</td>
<td>Rexwoods Dr</td>
<td>3,400</td>
<td>$112,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>129</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>FAIRCLOTH ST*</td>
<td>Hillsborough Street</td>
<td>Wade Avenue</td>
<td>2,900</td>
<td>$95,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>E MILLBROOK RD</td>
<td>Old Wake Forest Road</td>
<td>Atlantic Avenue</td>
<td>1,400</td>
<td>$46,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>GLENWOOD AVE*</td>
<td>Oberlin Rd</td>
<td>Wake Dr</td>
<td>3,600</td>
<td>$118,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>WESTERN BLVD**</td>
<td>Blue Ridge Rd</td>
<td>Gorman St</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>$165,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Grand Total**

|                         | $1,036,200.00 |

*Schools within ¼ mile
**School within ½ mile

## Fiscal Year 2016

---

216
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Map ID</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>From</th>
<th>To</th>
<th>Length (rounded)</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>WESTERN BLVD</td>
<td>Blue Ridge Rd</td>
<td>Hillsborough St</td>
<td>4,700</td>
<td>$155,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62*</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>VAN DYKE AVE</td>
<td>Chamberlain St</td>
<td>Oberlin Rd</td>
<td>1,300</td>
<td>$42,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>199</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>TRIANGLE TOWN BLVD</td>
<td>Fox Road</td>
<td>I-540</td>
<td>3,100</td>
<td>$102,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51**</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>LYNN RD</td>
<td>Pleasant Pines Dr</td>
<td>Madison Ridge Way</td>
<td>5,700</td>
<td>$188,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61**</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>GORMAN ST</td>
<td>Avent Ferry Road</td>
<td>Tryon Road</td>
<td>7,800</td>
<td>$257,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140**</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>GARDNER ST</td>
<td>Van Dyke Ave</td>
<td>Wade Ave</td>
<td>2,400</td>
<td>$79,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75*</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>RALEIGH BLVD</td>
<td>Yonkers Rd</td>
<td>Appliance Ct</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>$29,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>BROOKSIDE DR</td>
<td>Wake Forest Rd</td>
<td>Vale St</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>$26,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150*</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>LAKE BOONE TRL</td>
<td>Blue Ridge Road</td>
<td>Rexwoods Drive</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>$29,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9*</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>LEAD MINE RD</td>
<td>Town &amp; Country</td>
<td>Charles Dr</td>
<td>2,200</td>
<td>$72,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>203**</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>W MILLBROOK RD</td>
<td>North Hills Drive</td>
<td>Dixon Drive</td>
<td>1,900</td>
<td>$62,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>W MILLBROOK RD</td>
<td>Light Brigade Ln</td>
<td>Still Pines Dr</td>
<td>7,600</td>
<td>$250,800</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grand Total</th>
<th></th>
<th>$1,296,900.00</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

*Schools within ¼ miles
**School within ½ mile
## Appendix H. Funding Resources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Funding Name and Description</th>
<th>Eligible Activities</th>
<th>Eligible Applicants</th>
<th>Resources/Description</th>
<th>May be an appropriate funding source for these programs &amp; initiatives</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>FEDERAL FUNDING RESOURCES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 402 Funds</td>
<td>Pedestrian safety education</td>
<td>Local law enforcement agency.</td>
<td>Highway Safety Funds are used to support state and community programs to reduce deaths and injuries on the highways. In each state, funds are administered by the Governor's Representative for Highway Safety. Pedestrian Safety has been identified as a National Priority Area and is therefore eligible for Section 402 funds. <a href="http://safety.fhwa.dot.gov/policy/section402/">http://safety.fhwa.dot.gov/policy/section402/</a></td>
<td>SRTS Enforce moving and parking violations at crosswalks with progressive ticketing. Bus operator pedestrian safety training Police officer pedestrian safety training Pedestrian safety education Driver education and awareness Speed feedback signs Close call reporting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement Program (CMAQ) (23 USC 149)</td>
<td>Infrastructure, Non-infrastructure</td>
<td>Counties, municipalities, state agencies, and universities are permitted to submit applications.</td>
<td>The CMAQ Program funds projects in non-attainment and maintenance areas that reduce transportation related emissions, such as the construction of pedestrian walkways and bicycle transportation facilities; non-construction projects for safe bicycle use. Projects do not have to be within the right-of-way of a federal-aid highway, but must demonstrate an air quality benefit. <a href="http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/environment/air_quality/cmaq/">http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/environment/air_quality/cmaq/</a></td>
<td>SRTS Pedestrian information on media traffic reports Walking route maps Driver education and awareness Streetscape Program Sidewalk Construction Greenway Construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recreational Trails Program</td>
<td>Infrastructure, Non-infrastructure</td>
<td>City governments, county governments, federal and state agencies, authorized commissions.</td>
<td>The purpose of the program is to provide and maintain recreational trails and trail-related facilities identified in, or that further a specific goal of, the Statewide Comprehensive Outdoor Recreation Plan (SCORP), as required by the federal Land and Water Conservation Fund Act (LWCF). <a href="http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/environment/recreational_trails/index.cfm">http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/environment/recreational_trails/index.cfm</a></td>
<td>SRTS Greenway construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funding Name and Description</td>
<td>Eligible Activities</td>
<td>Eligible Applicants</td>
<td>Resources/Description</td>
<td>May be an appropriate funding source for these programs &amp; initiatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>STATE FUNDING RESOURCES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Governor's Office of Highway Safety Grant Program  | Non-infrastructure | Local law enforcement agencies, county health departments, citizen groups, civic organizations, churches and faith-based communities, county councils, mayors, EMS, county agencies, not-for-profit organizations (i.e. Safe Kids of Georgia, MADD, etc. and others). | Promote highway safety awareness and reduce the number of traffic crashes in the state of North Carolina through the planning and execution of safety program. Must be for highway safety purposes only, must be necessary and reasonable; funding is performance-based, substantial progress in reducing crashes, injuries and fatalities is required as a condition of continued funding. | SRTS  
Enforce moving and parking violations at crosswalks with progressive ticketing,  
Bus operator pedestrian safety training  
Police officer pedestrian safety training  
Pedestrian safety education  
Driver education and awareness  
Speed feedback signs  
Close call reporting |
| Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)  | Infrastructure | The Statewide Transportation Improvement Program handles transportation projects in non-MPOs. | The TIP is administered by MPOs. All federally funded transportation projects, including bicycle and pedestrian projects, must be programmed in the TIP or the [Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP)](https://www.ncdot.gov/bikeped) (for non-MPO areas). | Streetscape Program  
Sidewalk Construction  
Greenway Construction  
Major Street Projects |
| North Carolina SRTS Program  |                  |                     | The NCDOT Safe Routes to School Program is a federally funded program that was initiated by the passing of the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (SAFETEA-LU) in 2005, which establishes a national SRTS program to distribute funding and institutional support to implement SRTS programs in states and communities across the country. SRTS programs facilitate the planning, development, and implementation of projects and activities that will improve safety and reduce traffic, fuel consumption, and air pollution in the vicinity of schools. The Division of Bicycle and Pedestrian Transportation at NCDOT is charged with disseminating SRTS funding. | SRTS  
Pedestrian safety education  
Driver education and awareness  
Sidewalk Construction |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Funding Name and Description</th>
<th>Eligible Activities</th>
<th>Eligible Applicants</th>
<th>Resources/Description</th>
<th>May be an appropriate funding source for these programs &amp; initiatives</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NC Adopt a Trail Grant Program</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>This program, operated by the Trails Section of the NC Division of State Parks, offers annual grants to local governments to build, renovate, maintain, sign and map and create brochures for pedestrian trails. Grants are generally capped at about $5,000 per project and do not require a match. A total of $108,000 in Adopt-A-Trail money is awarded annually to government agencies. Applications are due during the month of February.</td>
<td>Sidewalk maintenance policies and procedures. Adopt-a-stop/Adopt-a-sidewalk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Powell Bill Program</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Annually, State street-aid (Powell Bill) allocations are made to incorporated municipalities which establish their eligibility and qualify as provided by statute. This program is a state grant to municipalities for the purposes of maintaining, repairing, constructing, reconstructing or widening of local streets that are the responsibility of the municipalities or for planning, construction, and maintenance of bikeways or sidewalks along public streets and highways. Funding for this program is collected from fuel taxes. Amount of funds are based on population and mileage of town-maintained streets. For more information, visit <a href="http://www.ncdot.org/programs/Powell_Bill">http://www.ncdot.org/programs/Powell_Bill</a></td>
<td>Sidewalk maintenance policies and procedures. Sidewalk Construction Major Street Projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funding Name and Description</td>
<td>Eligible Activities</td>
<td>Eligible Applicants</td>
<td>Resources/Description</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and Wellness Trust Fund</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The NC Health and Wellness Trust Fund was created by the General Assembly as one of 3 entities to invest North Carolina’s portion of the Tobacco Master Settlement Agreement. HWTF receives one-fourth of the state’s tobacco settlement funds, which are paid in annual installments over a 25-year period. Fit Together, a partnership of the NC Health and Wellness Trust Fund (HWTF) and Blue Cross and Blue Shield of North Carolina (BCBSNC) announces the establishment of Fit Community, a designation and grant program that recognizes and rewards North Carolina communities’ efforts to support physical activity and healthy eating initiatives, as well as tobacco-free school environments. Fit Community is one component of the jointly sponsored Fit Together initiative, a statewide prevention campaign designed to raise awareness about obesity and to equip individuals, families and communities with the tools they need to address this important issue.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streetscape Utility Fees</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Streetscape Utility Fees could help support streetscape maintenance of the area between the curb and the property line through a flat monthly fee per residential dwelling unit. Discounts would be available for senior and disabled citizens. Non-residential customers would be charged a per foot fee based on the length of frontage on streetscape improvements. This amount could be capped for non-residential customers with extremely large amounts of street frontage. The revenues raised from Streetscape Utility fees would be limited by ordinance to maintenance (or construction and maintenance) activities in support of the streetscape.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

May be an appropriate funding source for these programs & initiatives

- SRTS
- Walk Today in Raleigh
- Walking route maps
- Walking groups

**LOCAL GOVERNMENT FEES AND OTHER FUNDING OPTIONS**

- Streetscape Utility Fees

**Resources/Description**

- Streetscape Construction and maintenance
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Funding Name and Description</th>
<th>Eligible Activities</th>
<th>Eligible Applicants</th>
<th>Resources/Description</th>
<th>May be an appropriate funding source for these programs &amp; initiatives</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Impact Fees</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Developers can be required to provide greenway impact fees through local enabling legislation. The City of Raleigh uses these extensively for street improvements and open space. All the street projects include sidewalk construction.</td>
<td>Major Street Projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exactions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Exactions are similar to impact fees in that they both provide facilities to growing communities. The difference is that through exactions it can be established that it is the responsibility of the developer to build the pedestrian facility that is adjacent to the property being developed</td>
<td>Sidewalk Construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partnerships</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Another method of funding pedestrian systems and greenways is to partner with public agencies and private companies and organizations. Partnerships engender a spirit of cooperation, civic pride and community participation. The key to the involvement of private partners is to make a compelling argument for their participation. Major employers and developers should be identified and provided with a “Benefits of Walking”-type handout for themselves and their employees. Very specific routes that make critical connections to place of business would be targeted for private partners’ monetary support following a successful master planning effort. Potential partners include major employers which are located along or accessible to pedestrian facilities such as multi-use paths or greenways. Name recognition for corporate partnerships would be accomplished through signage trail heads or interpretive signage along greenway systems. Utilities often make good partners and many trails now share corridors with them. Money raised from providing an easement to utilities can help defray the costs of maintenance. It is important to have a lawyer review the legal agreement and verify ownership of the subsurface, surface or air rights in order to enter into an agreement.</td>
<td>Adopt-a-stop/Adopt-a-sidewalk. SRTS Walk Today in Raleigh Walking Groups Pedestrian Safety Education Driver Education &amp; Awareness Pedestrian information on media traffic reports Sidewalk Construction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>