Raleigh has a clear strategy for addressing immediate pedestrian network needs. This strategy includes moving forward with installing sidewalks and addressing safety and comfort needs at intersections, with complementary programs and activities. These short term tactics are those that can be completed within the existing right-of-way. Conceptual design treatments recommended for the six Example Locations in Chapter 4 fit into this category.

Consistent with the vision set out in the City’s 2030 Comprehensive Plan to make Raleigh “…workable, livable, and prosperous. . .,” the City can adopt a longer term transportation strategy focus on the broader pedestrian experience by creating attractive, high quality pedestrian places. NCDOT’s Draft Final Complete Streets Planning and Design Guidelines provide an additional resource that the City has already used for major corridor projects (such as Hillsborough Street) and will continue to use.

Additional staff resources are likely needed to implement this strategy, such as staff time to complete field work, traffic analysis, and public
engagement. Greater financial resources are also needed to purchase right-of-way and build the new corridors. However, the investment is worthy of the anticipated outcome.

Two approaches are described here: one that incorporates pedestrians (and bicyclists) within the roadway through “reallocating or adding to”; the other separates non-motorized and motorized modes, drawing on examples from other U.S. cities.

**Reallocating or adding to**

Three streets included in the Example Locations work are suitable for this strategy: Avent Ferry Road, Martin Luther King Jr Boulevard, and Wake Forest Road. Figure 1 shows the design concepts for the three streets. The corridor-long cross sections reflect a strategy that includes fewer motor vehicle travel lanes, the addition of bicycle lanes, wider sidewalks, and more buffers. In some cases, a road diet may be used to accomplish these changes. Other locations may require the purchase of additional right-of-way. Corridor-long changes include a continuous median configured with pedestrian refuge features at each intersection, wider sidewalks to comfortably accommodate increased pedestrian traffic, a continuous buffer between sidewalks and the curb, continuous bike lanes with current standards for lane merging and intersection treatments, a center turn lane to complement access management and reduce places where motor vehicles can cross the sidewalk.
Figure 3. Cross-sections and locator maps.
Traffic analysis is needed before proceeding with the next level of design. The City reduced the Level of Service (LOS) standard to E with the 2030 Comprehensive Plan specifically for the purpose of multimodal improvement. This change allows for adding pedestrian (and bicycle) elements in locations where they will have a strong benefit, understanding that motor vehicle travel will be affected. The graphic sequence below shows how level of service for pedestrians, bicyclists and motor vehicles may be changed through a series of intersection improvements aimed at improving safety for each of these modes.

Typical Existing Conditions: LOS for motor vehicles is higher than that for pedestrians and bicyclists.

![Graphic sequence showing level of service changes through intersection improvements for pedestrians, bicyclists, and motor vehicles.]

Pedestrian improvement: Add a median refuge and reduce corner radii

![Graphic sequence showing pedestrian improvement with added median refuge and reduced corner radii.]
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Envisioning the transportation-land use connection

The second strategy is resolves conflicting or incompatible goals for modes traveling within the right-of-way and increases the connection between the pedestrian network and adjacent land uses. It mitigates the ever-present tension between the size of the road and the activity going on along and round the road, and across the road. In some respects, this strategy is about creating successful neighborhoods by balancing its cross-ability and throughput.

This strategy is appropriate in locations where a markedly different approach – or “Big Ideas” -- may offer the best solution.

The Big Ideas strategy is useful in areas where roadways are barriers to pedestrian travel between mixed-use areas intended to promote pedestrian-oriented transportation. While pedestrians may desire easy access on foot to the commercial and residential adjacent to both sides of the roadway, the roadway serves as a barrier. Creating access requires both innovative solutions and a significant investment of City resources to plan, design, and implement,
once community buy-in is gained.

Big ideas rely on a series of steps that take into account the context, constraints, and anticipated uses of the resulting solution. It is a fundamental planning issue of connecting land use and adjacent transportation needs. When done well, the outcome may be an icon that transforms both pedestrian transportation and land use.

Successful Big Ideas include consideration of several factors, described below. These factors are relevant to a new project or a retrofit project:

» Forward-looking. The lifespan of a big ideas solution is long. Can it serve as the leading edge of the vision for the City, especially with respect to the transportation-land use connection?

» Attention to process. Does the political will exist? Is there community support? Is there a way to involve developers?

» Network significance. How does a big ideas solution add to the pedestrian network in a way that another strategy does not?

» Flexibility in design. Drawing on its network significance and expected outcome, how flexible can the design solution be? Are lane or road diets, medians, curb extensions needed to create the pedestrian and bicycle space and safety needs?

» Attention to details. It is important to get the details right so that goals are reached. Start by creating an inventory of things that need to be addressed and deal with them appropriately.

Two solution types that help mitigate conflicting and incompatible goals are drawn from examples from...
other U.S. cities: Grade separation and parallel streets.

**Grade Separation**

While the prevailing approach to accommodating modes is the Complete Streets model (i.e., accommodate the needs of all users), grade separation may be an appropriate solution that offers a desirable outcome with respect to safety, comfort and mobility. Grade separation may be achieved by either creating pedestrian space above the intersection or moving motor vehicles under the intersection. In either case, the resulting pedestrian space needs to be well-designed, connected with adjacent land uses, and have a sense of place.

*Grade separation, move pedestrians above.* This solution goes well beyond the typical pedestrian overpass that offers little more than a way to mitigate a barrier. Well-designed pedestrian spaces that span a high capacity roadway or intersection can provide several additional functions such as a key missing link in the pedestrian network that may be more direct than sidewalks and crossings along the roadway, pocket parks, natural resources features and historical interpretation. Elements of this type of pedestrian space include comfortable connections between the ground and the elevated space; effective way-finding; sidewalk widths that can accommodate high volumes of people walking, running, bicycling, and playing; pedestrian-scale seating, lighting and landscaping; and higher quality finish materials.

The Woodrow Wilson Bridge across the Potomac River in Washington, DC is a recent example of the “pedestrian move above” grade separation strategy. The Woodrow Wilson Bridge connects Old Town Alexandria, Virginia and the National Harbor development in Prince George’s County, Maryland. The reconstructed bridge includes an FHWA-required pedestrian and bicycle connection. The pedestrian and bicycle space on the
Maryland side provides pedestrian park space that continues to National Harbor, a large mixed use development. Sited just west of a knot of roadways at the river’s edge is a large space offering pedestrians and bicyclists with vistas of the river and adjacent land. The space is a destination in and of itself and includes wide park space, benches, and interpretive signs.

*Grade separation, move motor vehicles below.* This solution provides pedestrians with a stronger connection with adjacent land uses, creating the opportunity to enhance retail and office access on foot. This strategy puts the bulk of the traffic underground, but preserves a small amount to help activate the space and provide balance. This strategy leverages the mix of travel modes to create a vibrant, workable public space, analogous to a stream restoration or storm water management project: bury a large facility underground to handle most of the volume, leaving a smaller stream on top to create interest and provide the amenity of a stream. Design and function details are important in this strategy, as pedestrians and motorists share the public right-of-way with equal quality of service and access objectives. Design elements such as determining sidewalk and street width, and sequencing modes at intersections must be considered carefully, along with the inter-play of activities among buildings, the sidewalk and the roadway.

Washington, DC’s DuPont Circle is an example of this strategy. Photo 49 shows the motor vehicle roadway traveling under the intersection, allowing pedestrian space above. Photo 50 provides a glimpse of the active street life. The details of balancing pedestrian and motor vehicle activity are shown in Photo 51. The roadway is considered part of the public realm and thus incorporates pedestrian space in the roadway with well-marked crosswalks and media islands. Trees from the DuPont Circle Park itself extend along streets, extending the park’s reach.
Parallel Streets

Establishing modal priority on paired parallel streets is another strategy that can be used successfully to establish a single corridor that accommodates high volumes for all modes. This concept involves designating one pair for higher vehicular capacity and transit use, while designating the other for more exclusive pedestrian and bicycle usage. The pedestrian and bicycle scale street may be designed as a Bike boulevards (also known as a Neighborhood greenway) Examples of this strategy include two sets of paired streets near the Golden Gate Bridge in San Francisco, California and a redeveloped set of streets near Mizner Park in Boca Raton, Florida. The San Francisco streets were established by a series of decisions about land use, infrastructure and operating standards that delineated mode priority. The streets in Boca Raton, purposefully designed during a redevelopment project, capture many of the best practice Complete Street details.

City of San Francisco. The City of San Francisco created different mode priorities – one for pedestrians and bicyclists and the other for motor vehicle streets. These paired streets function together as a system that accommodates all modes. Two examples of these streets are the Pacific Heights neighborhood near the Gold Gate Bridge (see table X).

Both non-motorized streets offer a mix of retail and residential land uses, with sidewalks that serve as pedestrian gathering places and transportation, along narrow streets with slower-moving motor vehicles.

The motorized priority streets are wider, accommodating vehicles with 3 lanes in each direction.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Non-motorized Priority</th>
<th>Motorized Priority</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>East-West</td>
<td>Chestnut Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>North-South</td>
<td>Polk Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lombard Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Van Ness Avenue</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Photo 52. Polk Street.

Photo 53. Chestnut Street.

Photo 54. Lombard Street.

Photo 55. Van Ness Avenue.
Boca Raton. Mizner Park in Boca Raton is a pedestrian-oriented retail area situated between Route 1/North Federal Highway and Mizner Road. While retail and housing lines both sides of Route 1/North Federal Highway, the motor vehicles are the priority mode, reducing its desirability for pedestrian travel. A redevelopment project created the opportunity to establish high quality pedestrian-priority space. Much like the DuPont Circle example, the street blends pedestrian-priority space with a small amount of motor vehicle parking and travel lanes.

Photo 56  Pedestrians and bicyclists have priority on this street in Mizner Park.

Photo 57  Mizner Park area

Photo 58  Motor vehicle priority on Route 1.

Photo 59. A center greenway and gazebo serves as a gather space for pedestrians in Mizner Park.
Raleigh Examples

Two intersections in Raleigh can be re-considered in light of the types of solutions described in this chapter: Six Forks Road at Dartmouth (North Hills) and Martin Luther King Jr Boulevard at Salisbury Street and Wilmington Street. Common characteristics of both intersections are:

» Designed to handle large volumes of pedestrian and motor vehicle traffic
» Both are near freeway inter-changes
» Adjacent development designed for motor vehicle access, but considered pedestrian-accessible (North Hills was designed to be a pedestrian oriented development).
» Pedestrian crossing facilities do not provide safe and comfortable travel, owing in part to long crossing distances and dedicated right turn and left turn lanes on most approaches with protected turn phases
» Access management is generally handled well, with the exception of Six Forks north of Dartmouth and South Wilmington north of Martin Luther King Jr Boulevard

In addition, characteristics specific to each intersection include:

Six Forks Road, North Hills

Phased development with phase 2 (North Hills East) to be built by 2014.

Pedestrian wait times are very long, encouraging some pedestrians to cross mid-block.

Martin Luther King Jr. Boulevard and Salisbury/Wilmington, Shaw University

Staff recommends a traffic circle in this location. Can you show Dupont Circle example as potential solution?

Current intersection configuration is the result of the interchange design and construction, just to the west.

Shaw University campus facilities are all but one corner. A regional training center in southwest corner. Pedestrian-motorist conflicts are common, especially when motorists are turning.
### Potential big ideas lessons for Six Forks Road, North Hills

- Ensure parallel streets are pedestrian- and bicycle-priority through engineering and operational features.

- Shift motor vehicle traffic away from the intersection with an underpass along the road with the greatest ADT (most likely Six Forks Road).

### Potential big ideas lessons for Martin Luther King Jr Boulevard, Shaw University

- Use the existing topography to establish pedestrian space above the intersection, with pedestrian walkways that connect to buildings and the ground.

Photo 60  Pedestrians crossing Six Forks Road may cross up to 10 lanes of traffic.